Diurnal dynamics of the Arabidopsis rosette proteome and phosphoproteome

R. Glen Uhrig¹, Sira Echevarría-Zomeño¹, Pascal Schlapfer¹, Jonas Grossmann², Bernd Roschitzki², Niklas Koerber³, Fabio Fiorani³, and Wilhelm Gruissem⁴

¹ETH Zürich ²Functional Genomics Center Zurich ³Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH ⁴ETH Zurich

May 22, 2020

Abstract

Plant growth depends on the diurnal regulation of cellular processes, but it is not well understood if and how transcriptional regulation controls diurnal fluctuations at the protein-level. Here we report a high-resolution Arabidopsis thaliana (Arabidopsis) leaf rosette proteome acquired over a 12 h light : 12 h dark diurnal cycle and the phosphoproteome immediately before and after the light-to-dark and dark-to-light transitions. We quantified nearly 5000 proteins and 800 phosphoproteins, of which 288 fluctuated in their abundance and 226 fluctuated in their phosphorylation status. Of the phosphoproteins, 60% were quantified for changes in protein abundance. This revealed six proteins involved in nitrogen and hormone metabolism that had concurrent changes in both protein abundance and phosphorylation status. The diurnal proteome and phosphoproteome changes involve proteins in key cellular processes, including protein translation, light perception, photosynthesis, metabolism and transport. The phosphoproteome at the light-dark transitions revealed the dynamics at phosphorylation sites in either anticipation of or response to a change in light regime. Phosphorylation site motif analyses implicate casein kinase II and calcium/calmodulin dependent kinases among the primary light-dark transition kinases. The comparative analysis of the diurnal proteome and diurnal and circadian transcriptome established how mRNA and protein accumulation intersect in leaves during the diurnal cycle of the plant.

INTRODUCTION

Plant growth and biomass production are direct functions of the diurnal cellular carbon balance, which is regulated by a combination of light responses and the circadian clock. Light responses are triggered by a change in regime (i.e., presence or absence of light), while the circadian clock is comprised of transcriptional regulators that operate in anticipation of a change (e.g. transition from light to dark) and whose activities span the 24 hour (h) photoperiod (Nohales & Kay, 2016; Oakenfull & Davis, 2017; Seluzicki, Burko, & Chory, 2017). The clock transcriptional regulators include CCA1/LHY, PRR5, PRR7 and PRR9, which form the morning loop, and TOC1, ELF3, LF4 and LUX, which form the evening loop (Flis et al., 2015; Staiger, Shin, Johansson, & Davis, 2013). More than 30% of all Arabidopsis genes are regulated by the circadian clock at the transcript level (Blasing et al., 2005; Covington, Maloof, Straume, Kay, & Harmer, 2008). However, less is known about how the resulting diurnal transcriptome relates to protein abundance (Abraham et al., 2016; Choudhary, Nomura, Wang, Nakagami, & Somers, 2015; Graf et al., 2017) and post-translational protein modifications (Choudhary et al., 2015; Uhrig, Schlapfer, Roschitzki, Hirsch-Hoffmann, & Gruissem, 2019), both of which may also affect protein function at light-dark transitions and throughout the diurnal cycle. Transcript and protein abundance changes are often disconnected because changes in transcript levels show no corresponding change in protein abundance (Baerenfaller et al., 2012; Seaton et al., 2018). For

example, this was found, in the circadian clock mutants CCA1/LHY, PRR7/PRR9, TOC1 and GI (Graf et al., 2017) or for the variability in the timing of peak protein levels relative to the cognate transcript expression (translational coincidence) as a function of the photoperiod-dependent coordination between transcriptome and proteome changes (Seaton et al., 2018). Variable delays between peak transcript and protein abundance have implicated post-transcriptional regulation (e.g. splicing), translational regulation (e.g. translation rate) as well as post-translational regulation (e.g. protein phosphorylation) as possible mechanisms to explain the temporal differences in RNA and protein abundance. Recent studies of plant protein-level regulation have found extensive variability in protein turnover (Li et al., 2017; Seaton et al., 2018). This adds further regulatory complexity because quantitative proteome workflows cannot easily account for protein turnover. Although RNA and protein synthesis, stability and turnover all contribute to the coordination of RNA and protein abundance, how these mechanisms are integrated is currently not well understood. Insights into this regulatory complexity requires both time-course experiments and multi-Omics analysis. We used a large-scale quantitative proteomics approach to determine the extent of diurnal abundance and/or phosphorylation changes of Arabidopsis leaf rosette proteins involved in cellular and metabolic processes and how these protein level changes relate to cognate transcript levels.

Reversible protein phosphorylation is the most abundant post-translational modification (PTM) in eukaryotes (Adam & Hunter, 2018; Rao, Thelen, & Miernyk, 2014). In non-photosynthetic eukaryotes phosphorylation is found to modulate more than 70% of all cellular processes (Olsen et al., 2006), including the circadian clock itself (Robles, Humphrey, & Mann, 2017). This is likely similar in land plants because they have a significantly larger kinome (Lehti-Shiu & Shiu, 2012) compared to humans, which encode 518 protein kinases (Manning, Whyte, Martinez, Hunter, & Sudarsanam, 2002). In contrast, both plants and humans have an equally comparable small number of protein phosphatases (Kerk, Templeton, & Moorhead, 2008). However, most protein phosphatases require association with regulatory subunits to achieve their specificity (Moorhead et al., 2008; Uhrig, Labandera, & Moorhead, 2013), suggesting that similar complexity in protein dephosphorylation across plants and humans has evolved through the expansion of protein phosphatase regulatory subunits.

In plants, diurnal protein phosphorylation is regulated either in response to light, by the circadian clock (Choudhary et al., 2015), or both (Uhrig et al., 2019), while the clock itself is regulated by phosphorylation (Kusakina & Dodd, 2012; Uehara et al., 2019). Recent studies of the circadian phosphoproteome combining the analysis of a free-running cycle and the circadian clock mutant *elf4* (Choudhary et al., 2015) or CCA1-OX over-expression (Krahmer et al., 2019) have revealed temporally modified phosphorylation sites related to case in kinase II (CKII) and sucrose non-fermenting kinase 1 (SnRK1). SnRKs are likely involved in the regulation of the circadian phosphoproteome because the transcription of genes encoding multiple SnRK and calcinuerin B-like (CBL) interacting kinases (CIPK) was mis-regulated in the Arabidopsis circadian clock mutants cca1/lhy1, prr7prr9, toc1 and gi201 mutants at end-of-day (ED) and end-of-night (EN) (Graf et al., 2017). Similarly, studies quantifying changes in the phosphoproteome at ED and EN in Arabidopsis rosette leaves, roots, flowers, siliques and seedlings have revealed a large number of diurnally changing phosphorylation events corresponding to diverse protein kinase motifs (Reiland et al., 2009; Uhrig et al., 2019).

Considering the physiological and metabolic changes at the light-dark (L-D) and dark-light (D-L) transitions (Annunziata et al., 2018; Gibon et al., 2009; Usadel et al., 2008), we performed a quantitative phosphoproteome analysis of proteins that are phosphorylated immediately before and after the L-D and D-L transitions during a 12 h light : 12 h dark photoperiod and asked how these phosphorylation events intersect with protein abundance changes. Together with the 24 h photoperiod time-course proteome data, our systems-level analysis provides new insights into diurnal protein and phosphorylation regulation in Arabidopsis rosette leaves.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Arabidopsis Col-0 wild-type plants were grown at the Forschungszentrum Jülich (Germany) in an environmentally controlled chamber (GrowScreen Chamber; https://eppn2020.plant-phenotyping.eu/EPPN2020.installations#/tool/30; Barboza-Barquero et al., 2015) under a 12 h light:12 h dark photoperiod and controlled conditions as described in Baerenfaller *et al.*(2012), including air temperature of 21°C during the day and 20°C during the night, air humidity of 70%, and an incident light intensity of ~220 mmol/m²/s at the plant level. Whole rosettes were harvested at 31 days after sowing (DAS) prior to flowering. Four whole rosettes were pooled into one sample and 4 biological replicates were taken at each time point. For total proteome analyses, samples were taken every 2 h during 24 h, starting at Zeitgeber time 1 (ZT1, i.e. 1 h after lights turned on). For protein phosphorylation analyses, samples were 30 min before, 10 min after and 30 min after the L-D and D-L transitions. Samples were snap-frozen in liquid N₂ and stored at -80°C until protein extraction.

Proteome Analysis

Extraction and digestion - Samples were randomized before processing to avoid batch effects. Frozen rosettes were ground under liquid N₂. Proteins were extracted from 100 mg of frozen powder per sample by adding 150 µl of extraction buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 4% SDS). Tubes were incubated in a shaker (Eppendorf) at 4°C at 1400 rpm for 30 min. Samples were centrifuged at 16000 g and 4°C for 30 min and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. Protein concentration was estimated based on Bradford (Bradford, 1976) using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay reagent. Subsequently, DTT was added to a final concentration of 50 mM and proteins were reduced for 30 min on ice. For digestion, 140 µg of proteins were processed following the FASP method (Wisniewski, Zougman, Nagaraj, & Mann, 2009). Peptides were desalted using SPE C18 columns (Finisterre) and dried down in a SpeedVac concentrator.

Peptide fractionation - To increase proteome coverage, peptide samples were fractionated by hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC) on an Agilent 1200 series HPLC system with a YMC-Pack Polyamine II 250 x 3.0 mm size column with 5 μ m particle size and 120 Å pore size. Samples were dissolved in 100 μ l Buffer A (75% ACN, 8 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 4.5) and separated with Buffer B (5% ACN, 100 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 4.5) at a flow rate of 500 μ l/min with the following gradient: 0-7.5 min, 0% B; 7.5-37.5 min, 0-50% B; 37.5-42.5 min, 50-100% B; 42.5-47.5 min, 100% B. Following the separation the column was washed with 100% buffer A and re-equilibrated for 60 min. For each sample, the 27 automatically collected fractions were pooled into five fractions that were subsequently dried down in a SpeedVac concentrator. Each sample was then dissolved in 200 μ l of 3% ACN, 0.1% TFA, desalted on SPE C18 columns (Finisterre) and again dried in a SpeedVac concentrator.

LC-MS analysis - Mass spectrometry queues were arranged to process comparable fractions in the same batch, with sample order randomized within each batch. Peptide samples were dissolved in 20 μ l 3% ACN, 0.1% FA and spiked with internal retention time (iRT) standards (Biognosys) for chromatography quality control. LC-MS/MS shotgun analyses were performed on a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion instrument coupled to an Eksigent NanoLC Ultra (Sciex). Samples were separated on a self-packed reverse-phase column (75 μ m x 150 mm) with C18 material (ReproSil-Pur, C18, 120 Å, AQ, 1.9 μ m, Dr. Maisch GmbH). The column was equilibrated with 100% solvent A (0.1% FA in water). Peptides were eluted using the following gradient of solvent B (0.1% FA in ACN) at a flow rate of 0.3 μ l/min: 0-50 min: 3-25% B, 50-60 min: 25-35% B, 60-70 min: 35-97% B, 70-80 min: 97% B, 80-85 min: 2% B. Mass spectra were acquired in a data-dependent manner. All precursor signals were recorded in the Orbitrap using quadrupole transmission in the mass range of 300-1500 m/z. Spectra were recorded with a resolution of 120000 (FWHM) at 200 m/z, a target value of 4e5 and the maximum cycle time set to 3 s. Data dependent MS/MS were recorded in the linear ion trap using quadrupole isolation with a window of 1.6 Da and higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) fragmentation with 30% fragmentation energy. The ion trap was operated in rapid scan mode with a target

value of 1E4 and a maximum injection time of 250 ms. Precursor signals were selected for fragmentation with a charge state from + 2 to + 7 and a signal intensity of at least 5e3. A dynamic exclusion list was used for 30 s and maximum parallelizing ion injections was activated. The mass spectrometry proteomics data were handled using the local laboratory information management system (LIMS) (Türker et al., 2010)

Phosphoproteome Analysis

Extraction – Whole rosette tissue from each time point was harvested and ground under liquid N₂. From each biological replicate 200 mg of ground leaf material was weighed out under liquid N_2 . In addition to each biological replicate, 200 mg of samples containing equal weighted parts of each biological replicate and time-point were created as a reference sample (gold-standard) for downstream dimethyl labeling. All proteins were extracted in a 250 µl solution of 50 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 6 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 2 mM NaOV, 5 mM NaF, 50 µg/mL PhosSTOP (Roche). Samples were shaken at room temperature for 30 min at 1000 q with vortexing every 10 min. Extracts were then brought to pH 8.0 using triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB). Protein extracts were then reduced for 30 min with 10 mM DTT, followed by alkylation with 30 mM iodoacetamide for 1 h. Extracts were clarified to separate soluble and insoluble fractions. The insoluble fraction was re-suspended in $300 \ \mu L$ 60:40 buffer containing 60% MeOH: 40% 50 mM TEAB pH 8.0 followed by shaking at 1000 rpm (Eppendorf tabletop) for 2.5 h. The protein concentration of the soluble fraction was then measured using the Bradford protein assay (Bradford, 1976). An amount of 1 mg of soluble protein from each sample was then diluted with 1 vol. of 50 mM TEAB and then water was added to a total volume of 1.2 ml and a final urea/thiourea concentration of 1.2 M. The soluble fraction was then digested for 20 h at 37° C using a 1:50 ratio of trypsin (Promega) to extracted protein while gently shaking. Each insoluble fraction was digested by $0.5 \ \mu g$ chymotrypsin and 1 µg trypsin at 37°C for 20 h shaking at 600 rpm (Eppendorf tabletop). Digestion reactions were stopped using TFA to a final concentration of 0.5%. The insoluble fractions were centrifuged for 10 min at 20000 q at room temperature and the supernatant removed. The supernatant was then dried and re-suspended in desalting buffer comprised of 3% ACN / 0.1% TFA. The soluble fraction and the supernatant from the insoluble fraction were desalted using SPE C18 columns (Finisterre) and dried in a SpeedVac concentrator.

Dimethyl labeling and phosphopeptide enrichment - Total peptide fractions from each experimental (light label) and gold-standard (heavy label) sample were labeled according to Boersema *et al.*,(Boersema, Raij-makers, Lemeer, Mohammed, & Heck, 2009). Heavy and light samples were then mixed 1:1 and desalted prior to phosphopeptide enrichment using TiO_2 . Phosphopeptide enrichment was performed using TiO_2 heavy and light dimethyl-labelled phosphopeptides as previously described (Zhou et al., 2011).

LC-MS - Phosphorylated peptide samples were analyzed using a Q Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Dissolved samples were injected using an Easy-nLC 1000 system (Thermo Scientific) and separated on a self-made reverse-phase column (75 µm x 150 mm) packed with C18 material (ReproSil-Pur, C18, 120 Å, AQ, 1.9 µm, Dr. Maisch GmbH). The column was equilibrated with 100% solvent A (0.1% formic acid (FA) in water). Peptides were eluted using the following gradient of solvent B (0.1% FA in ACN): 0-120 min, 0-35% B, 120-122 min, 35-95% B at a flow rate of 0.3 µl/min. High accuracy mass spectra were acquired in data-depended acquisition mode. All precursor signals were recorded in a mass range of 300-1700 m/z and a resolution of 70000 at 200 m/z. The maximum accumulation time for a target value of 3e6 was set to 120 ms. Up to 12 data dependent MS/MS were recorded using quadrupole isolation with a window of 2 Da and HCD fragmentation with 28% fragmentation energy. A target value of 1e6 was set for MS/MS using a maximum injection time of 250 ms and a resolution of 70000 at 200 m/z. Precursor signals were selected for fragmentation with charge states from +2 to +7 and a signal intensity of at least 1e5. All precursor signals selected for MS/MS were dynamically excluded for 30 s.

Quantitative analysis and bioinformatics

Total proteome - Label-free precursor (MS1) intensity based quantification was performed using Progenesis QI for Proteomics (version 2.1, www.nonlinear.com) to quantify total proteome changes. Briefly, for each

individual fraction, automatic alignment was reviewed and manually adjusted before normalization. From each Progenesis peptide ion (default sensitivity in peak picking) a maximum of the top five tandem mass spectra per peptide ion were exported as a Mascot generic file (*.mgf) using charge deconvolution and deisotoping option and a maximum number of 200 peaks per MS/MS. Searches were done in Mascot 2.4.1 (Matrix Science) against a decoyed (reversed) Arabidopsis protein database from TAIR (release TAIR10) concatenated with a collection of 261 known mass spectrometry contaminants. Precursor ion mass tolerance was set to 10 ppm and the fragment ion mass tolerance was set to 0.6 Da. The following search parameters were used: trypsin digestion (1 missed cleavage allowed), fixed modifications of carbamidomethyl modified cysteine and variable modifications of oxidation of methionine, deamidation of asparagine and glutamine, and acetylation of protein N terminal peptides. Mascot searches were imported into Scaffold 4.2.1 (Proteome Software). The following thresholds were applied: peptide FDR [?] 5, protein FDR [?] 10, 1 minimum peptide. Spectrum reports were imported again into Progenesis. After this, individual fraction analyses were combined into the full quantitative Progenesis experiment. From this, quantitative peptide values were exported for further processing. Only peptides that could be unambiguously assigned to a single protein (gene model annotation) were kept for quantification. A Hi-4 strategy (Grossmann et al., 2010) was applied to obtain protein quantitative values. Proteins with 2 or more peptides assigned were considered as quantifiable. Following these criteria, the final protein level FDR was estimated at 0.013.

Phosphoproteome - Quantification of changes in identified phosphopeptides was performed using MaxQuant (version 1.3.0.5) with default settings and the following modifications: fixed peptide modification by carbamidomethylation of cysteines and variable peptide modifications by phosphorylation of serine, threenine and tyrosine, and oxidation of methionine, and false discovery rate (FDR) tolerances of [?] 0.05 (protein) and [?] 0.01 (peptide). MaxQuant outputs were subsequently filtered for phosphopeptides with a phosphorylation site probability score [?] 0.8 and presence in at least 2 of 4 biological replicates and 2 of 3 time-points for each light transition.

Data Analysis - Significant fluctuations in protein abundance and phosphopeptides were determined using an ANOVA analysis: total proteome (P value [?] 0.05 and Fold-change (FC) [?] 1.5) and phosphoproteome (P value [?] 0.05). The significantly changing proteome was subjected to cluster analysis using GProX (Rigbolt, Vanselow, & Blagoev, 2011). Six clusters were generated in an unsupervised clustering manner based on the fuzzy c-means algorithm. Significantly changing proteins and phosphoproteins were subjected to gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the SetRank algorithm relative to the identified proteome and phosphoproteome, respectively (Simillion, Liechti, Lischer, Ioannidis, & Bruggmann, 2017). Enrichment was calculated for all the available databases included in the SetRank R package. Only terms with a size [?] 2 were considered (gene set size [?] 2). For each protein cluster, a SetRank corrected P value [?] 0.01 was applied as threshold. For phosphoproteins changing at the L-D or D-L transition, a SetRank corrected P value [?] 0.01 and an FDR [?] 0.05 were applied. To test for significantly non-changing proteins at the transitions to light, (i.e., at dawn, ZT23 to ZT1, and dusk, ZT11 to ZT13), a TOST equivalence test (equivalence R package) was applied with an $\varepsilon = 0.4$. Significance threshold was P value [?] 0.05. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository. Data are available via ProteomeXchange with identifier PXD007600.

Additional Analyses - To compare protein and mRNA profiles, mRNA data generated by the Alison Smith laboratory was obtained from the Diurnal database (http://diurnal.mocklerlab.org; Mockler et al., 2007). Data was standardized to plot both protein and mRNA data in the same graph. Predicted subcellular localization of all changing proteins and phosphoproteins was performed using the consensus subcellular localization predictor SUBAcon (suba3.plantenergy.uwa.edu.au) (Tanz et al., 2013). String DB network analyses were undertaken using both proteome and phosphoproteome data. String DB analyses were performed in Cytoscape using the String DB plugin stringApp (Szklarczyk et al., 2017). A minimum correlation coefficient of 0.5 was used along with a second layer of 5 additional nodes to infer network connectedness.

JTK Analyses – To compare diurnal protein fluctuations to free running circadian clock fluctuations published by Krahmer et al. (2019) we performed an equivalent analysis using the JTK cycle to identify proteins cycling with 22 or 24 h period (Hughes, Hogenesch, & Kornacker, 2010). The exact loading script JTK_analysis.zip is available upon request. The data was then used to produce Figure 3B, C and D. Proteins identified to fluctuate were normalized such that they fluctuate around a median of 0 with maximal amplitudes of 2. Transcriptome data from Diurnal DB (http://diurnal.mocklerlab.org; Mockler et al., 2007) was used to determine if the associated transcripts were also fluctuating, and if so, when. To estimate a confidence interval for the relative expression or protein level errors, their relative levels were compared to the theoretical cosine function at the same timepoint. Based on all errors, irrespective of the exact timepoint, a 99% confidence interval was computed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dynamics of the Arabidopsis diurnal proteome and phosphoproteome

Using proteotypic peptides and label-free quantitative proteomics analysis we identified 7060 unique proteins, of which we were able to quantify 4762 proteins with two and more proteotypic peptides over the 24 h photoperiod time-course (Supplemental Figure 1; Table 1; Supplemental Table 1). Statistical analysis showed that 288 of these proteins were significantly changing in abundance (ANOVA P value [?] 0.05, FC > 1.5); Table 1; Supplemental Table 2), suggesting that a portion ($^{\circ}6\%$) of the identified and tracked proteome is dynamically regulated during the diurnal cycle. Additionally, using a dimethyl-labeling approach we identified a total of 2298 phosphopeptides (Supplemental Figure 1; Supplemental Table 3), of which 1776 had a phosphorylation site probability score [?] 0.8. We were able to quantify 1056 of these phosphopeptides (present in at least 2 biological replicates and in 3 out of 3 time points for each transition; Table 1). This corresponded to a total of 1803 identified phosphorylation sites, of which 253 (14%) represented newly identified phosphorylation sites when compared to the compendium of 79,334 known phosphorylation sites (PhosPhat 4.0; Heazlewood et al., 2008). A total of 271 phosphopeptides on 226 proteins ($^{\circ}26\%$ of all quantified phosphopeptides) significantly changed in abundance (ANOVA P value [?] 0.05) at either the D-L, L-D or both transitions (Table 1; Supplemental Table 4).

Most proteins with diurnal changes in abundance fluctuate independently of their transcript levels and belong to specific functional networks

To clarify which cellular and physiological processes have protein abundance dynamics, we grouped all significantly changing proteins with similar accumulation profiles into clusters and then subjected these clusters to gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). Each of the resulting six clusters (CL1 – CL6) is enriched for proteins involved in specific processes (P value [?] 0.01, gene set size [?] 2) (Figure 1A-B; Supplemental Data 1 - 6). Cluster CL1 contains proteins involved in RNA splicing that decrease before dawn, while CL2 is enriched in proteins that peak early in the light period and have roles in nitrogen metabolism, iron homeostasis, responses to gravity and chloroplast stroma protein import. CL5 contains proteins with peak abundance before dawn and lower abundance before dusk that have specific functions in aerobic respiration and proteasome complex formation, while proteins in CL3 have functions in membrane-related processes and ribosome biogenesis. The CL3 abundance profile is complex with a sharp minimum during the second half of the light period that is also found at the transcript level for selected proteins in this group (see below). Clusters CL4 and CL6 exhibit distinct and opposing wave-form diurnal changes in protein abundance, with proteins in CL4 peaking during the light period and proteins in CL6 peaking early in the dark period. CL4 is enriched for proteins involved in nitrogen metabolism and photosynthesis, which are required for lightdependent carbon assimilation to support growth, while CL6 is enriched for proteins involved in metabolic and RNA-related processes that indicate a systemic change in the plant cell environment.

We then compared the proteins in CL1 to CL6 with their corresponding transcript expression profiles using transcriptome data from whole Arabidopsis rosettes grown and harvested in comparable conditions and at similar time-points (Figure 1C). This revealed that the dynamics of CL1 to CL6 protein changes are not strictly correlated with the diurnal abundance changes of their transcripts (Figure 1C; Supplemental Data 1-6), as has been found in other studies (Baerenfaller et al., 2012; Abraham et al., 2016; Graf et al., 2017; Seaton et al., 2018). We also determined the subcellular compartmentalization of proteins in each cluster

using the consensus localization predictor SUBAcon (SUBA3; http://suba3.plantenergy.uwa.edu.au; Figure 1D) (Tanz et al., 2013).

Next, we built functional association networks between the proteins in each cluster using STRING-DB (http://string-db.org; Figure 2). STRING-DB scoring and Cytoscape visualization allowed us to estimate association confidence between protein nodes, while subcellular localization information resolved co-localized nodes at the protein level. Second level nodes not found in our data were also included to better depict the broader relationships between significantly changing proteins. This analysis strategy resolved multiple protein hubs with variable degrees of interconnectedness and belonging to relevant biological processes, with some processes complementing those enriched by GSEA. Proteins with no known connections above the set threshold for the association networks were removed for network visualization, but they may also serve on an individual basis to further connect GSEA and STRING_DB analyses. Using our STRING-DB analysis approach we defined network structures for proteins belonging to: RNA splicing (CL1) and processing (CL6; RNA helicases and binding proteins), chloroplast-related processes (CL4 and 5, light detection; CL1 and CL5, carbohydrate/starch metabolism; CL2, redox regulation), cell metabolism (CL4, nitrogen and fatty acid metabolism), secretion and intracellular transport (CL2), cell wall biosynthesis (CL5) as well as cytosolic (CL1, 3 and 5), mitochondrial (CL3) and plastidial (CL4 and 5) protein translation (Figure 2). Taken together, our GSEA and functional association analyses indicate that the complementary use of system-wide analysis approaches provides a more comprehensive view of the types of proteins whose abundances are diurnally modulated in cellular processes.

The influence of the circadian clock on diurnal fluctuations of both proteins and transcripts is limited.

To determine if the significant changes we measured in the diurnal proteome could be controlled by the circadian clock, we next compared our data to a quantitative proteomics dataset acquired under free-running (continuous light) conditions (Krahmer et al., 2019). Our dataset of quantified proteins contains 1800 of the 2038 proteins (88%) reported in this study, suggesting a comparable depth of proteome analysis (Supplemental Data 7). To directly compare our data set with the one reported in Krahmer et al. (2019), we performed the same JTK cycle analysis to identify proteins cycling with 22 or 24 h period (Hughes et al., 2010). When corrected for multiple testing, we found a total of 21 proteins that exhibit a significant fluctuation in abundance, of which 3 demonstrated a similar pattern under continuous light conditions (Figure 3A). A less stringent test using "shuffling of protein levels" (Hughes et al., 2010) identified a total of 147 fluctuating proteins (211 were found to fluctuate under continuous light conditions using this method; Krahmer et al., 2019). Between our study and Krahmer et al. (2019), 3 proteins fluctuate in both studies, 1 only in L-D conditions and 7 only in continuous light. The fact that of these 11 proteins 10 have significant JTK-cycle fluctuations in continuous light (i.e., free-running condition) suggests that they are under circadian control, although additional proteome analysis of normal photoperiods prior to free-running conditions is needed to substantiate this possibility. We did find alpha-crystallin domain 32.1 (ACD32.1; AT1G06460) to fluctuate at the protein-level independent of the circadian clock. ACD32.1 was previously shown to be regulated diurnally at the transcript level in continuous light (Covington et al., 2008), but it did not fluctuate in the proteome data of Krahmer et al., 2019. ACD32.1 is a peroxisome-targeted chaperone protein (Pan et al., 2018) implicated in the suppression of protein aggregation (Ma, Haslbeck, Babujee, Jahn, & Reumann, 2006). The protein peaks in abundance immediately after dark, suggesting a need for peroxisomal protein stability in the dark to maintain peroxisome functions required for plant growth, including fatty acid oxidation (Pan et al., 2018). To determine if a relationship exists between protein and transcript levels among the proteins identified in the JTK-cycle analysis, we queried the Diurnal Database v2.0 (http://diurnal.mocklerlab.org/) and found many gene sets with thousands of genes fluctuating in their transcript levels. We searched the Diurnal Database for the genes encoding the 21 proteins showing a significant change in protein abundance in our dataset (Figure 3A, magenta and blue) and found 18 of the genes, of which 3 did not have fluctuating transcript levels. Thus, only few protein-transcript pairs have both fluctuating transcripts and proteins. For the few pairs that do, neither protein nor the corresponding transcript levels were peaking at a specific Zeitgeber time (Figure 3B). But when comparing the patterns of individual pairs, there was typically a median delay of 5.5 h between the transcript peak and protein peak, and the expression patterns are correlated (Figures 3C and D). Since such a shifted dependency of transcript and protein expression pattern is rare in our proteome dataset, its biological significance needs to be investigated further.

The identification of only a single highly significant JTK-cycling protein in our dataset, together with limited fluctuations of proteins reported for measured proteomes of Arabidopsis wild-type and circadian clock mutants growing in free-running cycles of continuous light (Choudhary et al., 2015; Krahmer et al., 2019) is unexpected at first sight. However, previous studies of growing Arabidopsis leaves during the diurnal cycle (Baerenfaller et al., 2012) have revealed that the abundance of most measured proteins is not affected by corresponding changes in their transcript levels. This disconnect between protein and transcript abundances might be explained by one or more of the following factors. First, to some extent, absence of protein abundance fluctuations can be accounted for by JTK_Cycle stringency, which only tests for periodical protein changes following a sinus function. Second, the temporal separation of the fluctuating circadian transcriptome and the mostly stable proteome may provide a currently unknown adaptive advantage. This possibility is consistent with previous results reported from proteome analyses of circadian clock mutants (Graf et al., 2017). Third, turnover rates of proteins may increase or decrease with constant translation of transcripts whose levels fluctuate, resulting in stable diurnal abundances of most proteins on a proteomescale basis (Martin-Perez & Villen, 2017). Finally, regular changing diurnal conditions (light, temperature, humidity, etc.) may not significantly impact the levels of most proteins. Instead of regulating proteins by adjusting their abundance, plants might react to expected diurnal changes in conditions by regulating the activity of proteins. This would be supported by the fact that most circadian regulation in Arabidopsis involves post-translational modifications of proteins such as protein phosphorylation (Choudhary et al., 2015; Krahmer et al., 2019; Uhrig et al., 2019) independent of changes in mRNA and/or protein levels. Further studies will be necessary to understand which factors explain these findings.

Analysis of light-dark transitions in a diurnal cycle reveal dynamic fluctuation in the Arabidopsis phosphoproteome

Protein phosphorylation is often associated with changing environmental conditions (Li et al., 2017; S. Zhang et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2017). Therefore, we examined time-points before (30 min) and after (10 min, 30 min) the D-L and L-D transitions for changes in the phosphoproteome (Supplemental Figure 1). We identified 1776 phosphopeptides from 1091 proteins (phosphorylation site probability score [?] 0.8) and quantified 1056 of these phosphopeptides from 725 proteins at the two light transitions (Table 1, Supplemental Table 3). We found that 176 phosphopeptides from 153 proteins at the D-L transition and 164 phosphopeptides from 144 proteins at the L-D transition had significant changes in abundance (Supplemental Figure 2 and 3; Supplemental Table 4). We then benchmarked the quality of our dataset by querying it for proteins known to be diurnally regulated by protein phosphorylation (Supplemental Table 5). This revealed phototropin 1. nitrate reductase (NIA1 and NIA2) and CF1 ATP synthase. Phototropin 1 is phosphorylated in the light (Sullivan, Thomson, Kaiserli, & Christie, 2009; Sullivan, Thomson, Lamont, Jones, & Christie, 2008), while the NIA1, NIA2 and the CF1 ATP synthase beta-subunit are phosphorylated in the dark (Kanekatsu, Saito, Motohashi, & Hisabori, 1998; Lillo, Meyer, Lea, Provan, & Oltedal, 2004; G. Moorhead et al., 1999; Reiland et al., 2009). Our quantitation of NIA1 and 2 protein phosphorylation changes across time-points revealed that NIA2 was more rapidly dephosphorylated on Ser⁵³⁴ at the D-L transition than NIA1, potentially relating to regulatory differences between NIA1 and 2. Additionally, we found a new NIA2 phosphorylation site at Ser^{63} with opposing diurnal changes in phosphorylation at the same transition (Supplemental Figure 4).

We next performed a GSEA of all significantly changing phosphoproteins (P value [?] 0.01, FDR [?] 0.05, gene set size [?] 2) at each transition. Enriched biological processes at the D-L transition include phosphoproteins involved in light detection, nitrogen metabolism, cell wall-related processes and phosphorylation signaling, while phosphoproteins identified at the L-D transition are involved in light detection, vesicle-mediated transport, auxin signaling and nucleus organization (Table 2). We then generated a hierarchical heat map of the phosphopeptides to identify clusters of proteins at each light transition with similar phosphorylation dy-

namics (Supplemental Figure 2 and 3). When compared to datasets of phosphorylated proteins previously identified in Arabidopsis growing under free-running cycle conditions (Choudhary et al., 2015; Krahmer et al., 2019), or at the ED and EN time-points of a 12-hour photoperiod (Reiland et al., 2009; Uhrig et al., 2019), our data reveals proteins that have diurnal changes in their phosphorylation status and also novel rate-of-change information for these phosphorylation events (Supplemental Figure 2 and 3). For example, the L-D cluster I has phosphoproteins involved in nitrogen metabolism and the cell cycle (AD10 and AD30) and the L-D cluster III (BD30) has phosphoproteins involved in plastid organization (Supplemental Figure 2). In contrast, the D-L cluster II (AL10 and AL30) has phosphoproteins involved in central and carbohydrate metabolism (Supplemental Figure 3). Interestingly, parallel phosphorylation changes in L-D cluster I occur on proteins involved in nitrogen metabolism and the cell cycle. Nitrogen is acquired by plants primarily in the form of nitrate or ammonium, and is an essential macronutrient for plant growth. Nitrate signaling is linked to cell cycle progression through the TEOSINTE BRANCHED 1/ CYCLOIDEA/PROLIFERATING CELL FACTOR 20 (TCP20) – NIN-LIKE PROTEIN 6/7 (NLP6/7) regulatory network. TCP20 positively regulates genes encoding proteins involved in nitrate assimilation and signaling and downregulates the expression of CYCB1,1, which encodes a key cell-cycle protein involved in the G2/M transition (Guan, 2017). Our data suggests that in addition to TCP20 transcriptional regulation, reversible protein phosphorylation may also play a role in this regulatory intersection between nitrate signaling and the cell cycle.

Similar to our analysis of protein abundance changes, we built association networks using STRING-DB to complement the GSEA analysis of the phosphoproteome (Figure 4). Association networks were generated based on phosphopeptide quantification data and *in silicosubcellular* localization information to examine relationships between the significantly changing phosphoproteins at both the D-L and L-D transitions. Most of the node clusters overlap between both the D-L (Figure 4A) and L-D (Figure 4B) networks, with larger clusters consisting of proteins involved in light detection and signaling, carbon and nitrogen metabolism, protein translation, hormone signaling, ion transport, cell wall related processes and protein phosphorylation. L-D transition-specific node clusters include RNA processing, transcription and secretion, and protein transport (Figure 4B). Similar to our proteome analyses, network association and GSEA analyses showed a high degree of overlap, indicating that the two approaches revealed the same cell processes in which proteins show differences in phosphorylation.

The dynamics of the measured Arabidopsis proteome during the diurnal period suggests that the proteins affected by abundance changes have significant functions in cellular processes. But as discussed above, protein abundance changes are generally not as widespread and extensive as transcriptome-level changes during the 24 h period. Comparison of our diurnal proteome time-series to reported transcriptome time-series substantiates data from earlier reports of ED vs EN studies examining Arabidopsis rosettes (Baerenfaller et al., 2012; Seaton et al., 2018; Uhrig et al., 2019) and circadian clock mutants (Graf et al., 2017) that transcript changes often do not coincide with protein abundance fluctuations (Baerenfaller et al., 2012; Graf et al., 2017). Changes in protein phosphorylation could be dependent or independent of protein abundance fluctuations. Our results show that the majority of significantly changing diurnal phosphorylation events occur independently of protein abundance changes, indicating that they most likely regulate protein function (Duby & Boutry, 2009; Le, Browning, & Gallie, 2000; Lillo et al., 2004; Muench, Zhang, & Dahodwala, 2012). Further research is required to elucidate the roles of the phosphorylation events on the Arabidopsis proteins we have identified. Based on our results it will be interesting to investigate which of the seemingly stable proteins / phosphoproteins and significantly changing phosphoproteins are in fact undergoing changes in their translation and turnover, but maintain their overall abundance (Li et al., 2017).

A small subset of the transition phosphoproteome has protein level changes

As the result of enrichment methods, one major question in phosphoproteomics pertains to the quantified phosphorylation changes and if they are the result of changes in protein levels. We therefore performed an integrated analysis of the significantly changing proteome and phosphoproteome to determine if and how phosphorylation and protein abundance changes are related. Of the 226 proteins exhibiting a significant change in phosphorylation (Table 1), 60% (136 proteins) were quantified in our proteome data (Supplemental

Table 6). These results are not unexpected because of the phosphopeptide enrichment strategy and indicate that 40% of the phosphorylated proteins in our phosphoproteome dataset are of lower abundance and not amongst the 4762 total quantified proteins. Further assessment of significantly changing phosphoproteins relative to the quantified proteome at the light transitions found that 25% (L-D) and 7.1% (D-L) of the changing phosphoproteins were not significantly changing at the protein level (TOST P value [?] 0.05, $\varepsilon = 0.4$).

We then directly compared the changing phosphoproteome and proteome to identify proteins exhibiting a significant change in diurnal protein abundance and phosphorylation. We found that a total of six phosphorylated proteins (totaling 2.1% of all 288 proteins significantly changing in protein abundance; Supplemental Table 6) had concurrent abundance changes (Figure 5). These include nitrate reductase 1 (NIA1; AT1G77760) and 2 (NIA2; AT1G37130), protein kinase SnRK2.4 (AT1G10940), Rho guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor SPK1 (AT4G16340), microtubule binding protein WDL5 (AT4G32330), and winged-helix DNA-binding transcription factor family protein LARP1C (AT4G35890). NIA1 and 2 are directly related to nitrogen assimilation (Lillo, 2008; Lillo et al., 2004), while WDL5 has been implicated in mitigating ammonium toxicity through ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE 3 (EIN3) (Li et al., 2019). SnRK2.4 binds fatty acid derived lipid phosphatidic acid to associate with the plasma membrane (Julkowska et al., 2015) and responds to changes in cell osmotic status (Munnik et al., 1999), while SPK1, WDL5 and LARP1C are connected to plant hormone signaling through abscisic acid (WDL5; Yu et al., 2019), jasmonic acid (LARP1C; B. Zhang, Jia, Yang, Yan, & Han, 2012) and auxin (SPK1; Lin et al., 2012; Nakamura et al., 2018). Of these three proteins with concerted phosphorylation and abundance changes only SPK1 showed a parallel increase in abundance and phosphorylation at the same transition (Figure 5), while WDL5 and LARP1C exhibited opposing patterns of phosphorylation and abundance changes, suggesting that phosphorylation may regulate their turnover. Proteins involved in phytohormone signaling are regulated by both protein phosphorylation and turnover (Dai et al., 2013; Qin et al., 2014), suggesting that these three proteins may represent new examples of hormone-mediated phosphodegrons or phosphor-inhibited degrons (Vu, Gevaert, & De Smet. 2018). To demonstrate this, further examination is required of the ubiquitination status of these proteins and the proximity of those ubiquitin modifications to the annotated phosphorylation event.

Motif analysis reveals diurnal utilization of phosphorylation sites

We examined our phosphoproteome data for enrichment of phosphorylation motifs that are connected to known protein kinases using Motif-X (motif-x.med.harvard.edu; Chou & Schwartz, 2011; Schwartz & Gygi, 2005). The significantly changing phosphorylated peptides at each transition were analyzed against all quantified phosphopeptides (P value [?] 0.05). Motifs corresponding to serine (pS) phosphorylation sites were enriched at each transition, while enrichment of phosphorylated threenine (pT) or tyrosine (pY) motifs was absent (Supplemental Table 7). The lack of pY motif enrichment has also been reported in other studies examining phosphoproteome changes under either ED vs EN (Reiland et al., 2009; Uhrig et al., 2019) or free-running circadian cycles (Choudhary et al., 2015; Krahmer et al., 2019). Only one pT motif (pTP) has been previously associated with ED vs EN phosphoproteome changes (Uhrig et al., 2019). The lack of an enriched pTP motif here is likely due to our stringent multi-time point threshold requirement for each phosphorylation site versus the comparison previously performed between ED and EN only (Uhrig et al., 2019). Furthermore, pS accounts for 84-86% of all phosphorylation events in plants, compared to only 10-12% pT and 1-4% pY (Nakagami et al., 2010; Sugiyama et al., 2008), which makes it less likely to find an enrichment of pT and/or pY motifs in the phosphoproteome. Of the phosphorylation sites (site probability score [?] 0.8 we quantified, 82.8%, 16.5% and 0.7% were pS, pT and pY respectively, which is similar to previously reported distributions of phosphorylation events (Nakagami et al., 2010; Sugiyama et al., 2008).

At the L-D transition, we found 16 motifs of which 10 correspond to phosphorylation sites previously identified as targets of protein kinases CaMKII, PAK1, extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK 1/2), protooncogene c-RAF (RAF1), and cell division cycle 2 (CDC2) protein kinase A and B (Supplemental Table 7). Six phosphorylation sites did not correspond to known kinase motifs, therefore likely representing currently uncharacterized and possibly plant-specific motifs considering the large expansion of protein kinases in plants relative to humans (Lehti-Shiu & Shiu, 2012). At the D-L transition, four of five identified motifs are known phosphorylation sites for checkpoint kinase 1 (CHK1), PAK2, calmodulin kinase IV (CaMKIV) and casein kinase (CKII) (Supplemental Table 7). CKII phosphorylates the core circadian clock transcription factors LHY and CCA1 (Lu et al., 2011), which also peak at the D-L transition (Kusakina & Dodd, 2012). Consistent with this, we find enrichment of phosphorylation events corresponding to the CKII phosphorylation motif for these proteins at the D-L transition.

Calcium (Ca²⁺) has been implicated in circadian regulation (Marti Ruiz et al., 2018), suggesting that calcium-dependent calmodulin (CaM) protein kinase orthologs are interesting candidates for mediating circadian clock signaling. Unlike the enrichment of CKII motifs at only the D-L transition, we find enrichment of Ca²⁺ related kinases CaMKII (D-L and L-D) and CaMKIV (D-L) phosphorylation motifs at both transitions (Supplemental Table 7). Previous analyses identified other Ca²⁺ kinase motifs enriched at both ED and EN (CDPK-like motifs; Uhrig et al., 2019). SnRK1-related motifs were identified in the phosphoproteome data from Arabidopsis CCA1-Ox plants growing in a free-running cycle (Krahmer et al., 2019). SnRK1 is a central mediator of energy signaling between different organelles and also phosphorylates CDPKs (Wurzinger, Nukarinen, Nagele, Weckwerth, & Teige, 2018). Together, these studies and the results presented here suggest a broader role for Ca²⁺ in diurnal plant cell regulation during the L-D and D-L transitions.

Compared to humans, plants have more protein kinases (Lehti-Shiu & Shiu, 2012), but most of their targets remain unknown. Our phosphoproteome results, together with previously reported phosphoproteome datasets (Choudhary et al., 2015; Krahmer et al., 2019; Reiland et al., 2009; Uhrig et al., 2019) provide a compilation of specific phosphorylation motifs that are rapidly modified at light-dark diurnal transitions. When combined with transcriptional expression data for lower abundant proteins kinases (Uhrig et al., 2019), the phosphoproteome changes uncovered here in other studies (Choudhary et al., 2015; Krahmer et al., 2019; Reiland et al., 2009; Uhrig et al., 2019) narrow the protein kinase sub-families to those most likely catalyzing diurnal phosphorylation events.

Key plant processes involve independent changes in both proteome and phosphoproteome

We queried the data for all measured proteins that change in their abundance and/or phosphorylation status during the 24 h diurnal period. This revealed predominantly proteins involved in translation, metabolism and cell wall biosynthesis, suggesting that these cellular processes are subject to diurnal regulation at the protein level. The translation rates of Arabidopsis enzymes of light-induced metabolic reactions fluctuate diurnally and this correlates with their activity (Seaton et al., 2018). For example, several central metabolic enzymes are synthetized at 50 to 100% higher rates during the light phase of the photoperiod (Pal et al., 2013; Piques et al., 2009). We identified 15 proteins involved in protein translation that have diurnal changes in abundance (Table 3; Supplemental Table 2). Although they belong to several clusters shown in Figure 1A, nine of the proteins are grouped in CL3 that represents a general protein increase at the onset of light. In addition, we found 8 translation-related proteins with changes in their phosphorylation status at L-D and D-L transitions, of which 5/8 are eukaryotic initiation factor (eIF) proteins (Table 3; Supplemental Table 8). Phosphorylation affects eukaryotic translation at the initiation step (Jackson, Hellen, & Pestova, 2010; Le et al., 2000; Muench et al., 2012), and numerous eIFs and ribosomal proteins show differences in phosphorylation levels between light and dark periods (Boex-Fontvieille et al., 2013; Turkina, Klang Arstrand, & Vener, 2011; Uhrig et al., 2019). Our analysis revealed additional diurnally regulated eIFs and suggests that specific translational regulation mechanisms and ribosome composition could be controlled by light changes (e.g. day versus night) and also throughout the 24h photoperiod.

Several enzymes related to fatty acid, biotin, mitochondrial acetyl-CoA and chloroplast metabolism have diurnal changes in abundance (Figure 2; Table 3; Supplemental Table 2). Of particular interest are peroxisomal fatty acid β -oxidation enzymes 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase 2 (KAT2/PKT3; AT2G33150) and 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (MFP2/AIM1-like; AT3G15290). KAT2 is a central enzyme in peroxisomal fatty-acid degradation for the production of acetyl-CoA that is required for histone acetylation, which in turn affects DNA methylation (Wang et al., 2019), and ABA signaling (Jiang, Zhang, Wang, & Zhang, 2011), which is essential to daily regulation of stomatal conductance. MFP2/AIM1-like is an uncharacterized ortholog of MULTIFUNCTIONAL PROTEIN 2 (MFP2) and ENOYL-COA ISOMERASE (AIM1), which are involved in indole-3-acetic acid and jasmonic acid metabolism (Arent, Christensen, Pye, Norgaard, & Henriksen, 2010; Delker, Zolman, Miersch, & Wasternack, 2007). KAT2 loss-of-function mutants require sucrose to supplement plant acetyl-CoA production, suggesting that diurnal changes in fatty acid degradation through KAT2 and MFP2/AIM1-like are tied to sucrose production and that products downstream of KAT2 and MFP2/AIM1-like (e.g. hormones) are essential to plant growth and development (Pinfield-Wells et al., 2005). Previously, fatty acid and lipid metabolism in leaves and seedlings has been suggested to be diurnally / circadian clock regulated (Gibon et al., 2006; Hsiao et al., 2014; Kim, Nusinow, Sorkin, Pruneda-Paz, & Wang, 2019; Nakamura, 2018; Nakamura et al., 2014). This includes: diurnal changes in fatty acids and lipids (Gibon et al., 2006) in wild-type plants as well as diurnal changes in triacylglycerol (Hsiao et al., 2014) and phosphatidic acid (Kim et al., 2019) in the circadian clock double mutant *lhycca1*. Complementing these studies, our findings provide a new protein-level understanding of where fatty acid and lipid regulation may rest which differs from our current transcript / metabolite based knowledge, indicating that further protein-level investigations are required.

We identified enzymes in primary metabolism that changed their phosphorylation status at the D-L and L-D transitions (Table 3; Supplemental Table 9). Several of these enzymes were previously identified as phosphorylated proteins (PhosPhat 4.0) (Heazlewood et al., 2008). Our sampling of three closely spaced time-points provides new information about the rate of protein phosphorylation changes at each transition. Moreover, our results demonstrate that in Arabidopsis metabolic enzymes are subject to changes in either protein abundance or phosphorylation, or both, which likely is of regulatory relevance for metabolic pathway flux. This information is useful when deciding which protein isoform would be best for engineering increased pathway flux if two are present simultaneously. For example, in case of the well-characterized NITRATE REDUCTASE 1 (NIA1; AT1G77760) and 2 (NIA2; AT1G37130) proteins their abundance parallels transcript levels but opposed to changes in protein phosphorylation, with NIA2 showing more rapid changes in phosphorylation at the D-L transition compared to NIA1 (Table 3; Supplemental Figure 4). NIA1 and NIA2 are regulated both transcriptionally and post-translationally by phosphorylation (Lillo, 2008; Lillo et al., 2004, Wang, Du, & Song, 2011). Our results define a rate of change in the phosphorylation of these related isozymes at the L-D and D-L transitions and define when peak NIA1 and NIA2 protein levels occur relative to peak transcript levels. These new insights help to better understand the precise functional differences between NIA1 and NIA2. NIA1 and NIA2 have tissue-specific gene expression profiles, with NIA1 expression generally complementing that of NIA2 in the same organ. NIA1 was predominantly found in leaves, while NIA2 was predominantly found in meristematic tissue (Olas & Wahl, 2019). We analyzed whole Arabidopsis rosettes before bolting, of which developing leaves and apical meristematic tissue comprises only a small amount of total tissue. Therefore, it will be interesting to determine if the observed difference in NIA1 and NIA2 phosphorylation rates at known regulatory phosphorylation sites reflect a higher sensitivity of NIA2 to changes in nitrate levels in meristematic and developing tissues (Olas et al., 2019).

Plant genomes often encode multiple forms of enzymes (isozymes) in metabolic pathways. The temporal rate at which related co-expressed protein orthologs are modified by a post-translational modifications such as protein phosphorylation provides more detailed information on cellular regulation. Our analysis of the phosphoproteome at three D-L and L-D time-points shows the dynamics of phosphorylation events at both transitions. Further information about the temporal rate at which different phosphorylation sites in a protein are utilized can then be combined with e.g., enzyme kinetics to reveal how metabolic flux through multiple enzyme reactions may be fine-tuned by PTMs versus changes in protein abundance. NIA1 and NIA2 are a good example that resolving differences in PTM rates helps to better understand the role of PTMs in protein regulation.

We also find diurnal changes in both protein abundance and protein phosphorylation for enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism (Table 3; Supplemental Table 2, 4). Starch biosynthesis and degradation is diurnally regulated to manage the primary carbon store in plants (Kotting, Kossmann, Zeeman, & Lloyd, 2010). For example, granule bound starch synthase 1 (GBSS1; AT1G32900) levels increase preceding the D-L transition, likely in anticipation of starch granule formation (Szydlowski et al., 2011). Debranching enzyme 1 (DBE1, AT1G03310) increases in abundance at the end of the light period to facilitate effective starch degradation in the dark (Delatte, Trevisan, Parker, & Zeeman, 2005). Other enzymes such as beta-amylase 1 (BAM1; AT3G23920) were phosphorylated immediately after the onset of light. Although the function of BAM1 phosphorylation is currently unknown, our results provide information to understand its regulation in stomatal starch degradation and sensitivity to osmotic changes in rosettes (Zanella et al., 2016).

Cell wall metabolic enzymes involve both diurnal fluctuations in protein abundance (Figure 2, Table 3; Supplemental Table 2) and changes in phosphorylation status (Figure 3, Table 3; Supplemental Table 4) at the D-L and L-D transitions. Cell wall biosynthesis is a major metabolic activity of growing plants (Barnes & Anderson, 2017; Cosgrove, 2005). We find that cellulose synthase enzymes CESA5 (AT5G09870) and CSLC6 (AT3G07330) were rapidly phosphorylated at the L-D transition. CESA5 has been shown to be phosphorylated and phosphorylation memetic-mutant enzymes increase movement of the cellulose synthase complex (CSC) in dark-grown seedlings, indicating a photoperiod-dependent regulation cell wall biosynthesis (Bischoff et al., 2011). Diurnal cellulose synthesis may also be controlled by the intracellular trafficking of CSC enzymes as a result of changes in metabolism (Ivakov et al., 2017). In dark-grown hypocotyls the ratio of CESA5 to CESA6 phosphorylation in the CSC complex is important for cellulose synthesis (Bischoff et al., 2011). Our phosphoproteome results now provide additional information on the rate of CESA5 phosphorylation at the onset of that dark period. We also find phosphorylation of the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase HA1 (AT2G18960) at the L-D transition (Figure 3B). Phosphorylation activates H⁺-ATPases (Duby & Boutry, 2009; Sondergaard, Schulz, & Palmgren, 2004) and implicates HA1 as a primary candidate H⁺-ATPase in diurnal cell wall acidification to facilitate cell expansion during the night (Ivakov et al., 2017).

CONCLUSION

To date, detailed analyses of plant functions during a 24 h diurnal cycle have mostly focused on genome-wide changes in gene expression. Transcript-level changes can serve as a proxy for protein-level changes, but in plants transcript levels often do not correlate with protein abundance. While proteomes have a narrower dynamic range than transcriptomes, they nevertheless complement transcriptome studies because they provide direct insights into protein-level changes. Our quantitative analysis of the proteome over a 12 h light : 12 h dark 24 h photoperiod and the phosphoproteome at the L-D and D-L transitions during the diurnal cycle in a single experimental workflow has generated new information on diurnal abundance fluctuations and/or phosphorylation changes for Arabidopsis proteins involved in different cellular and biological processes (Figure 6). The identified proteins and phosphoproteins provide a useful basis for further experimental studies. In particular, it will be interesting to understand the specific functions of diurnally fluctuating ribosomal proteins and other proteins involved in translation considering that hundreds of ribosomal protein isoforms are encoded by plant genomes with little information of what dictates their combinatorial assembly. The regulation of protein translation in plants at the protein complex level remains poorly understood, but specific time-of-day abundance peaks for these proteins suggests that temporal differences in the ribosome complex exists that likely correlated with the specific time-of-day requirements of the plant cell. Further elucidation of ribosome and protein translation regulation will be instrumental in filling the current knowledge gap between the transcriptome and proteome. Lastly, our phosphoproteome analysis during the transitions from D-L and L-D provides new information about candidate protein kinase sub-families catalyzing the phosphorylation events, providing new opportunities for future systems-level and targeted studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by TiMet - Linking the Clock to Metabolism (Grant Agreement 245143) supported by the European Commission (FP7-KBBE-2009-3). Experiments conducted at Forschungszentrum Jülich were partly funded by the Helmholtz Association.

REFERENCES

Abraham, P. E., Yin, H., Borland, A. M., Weighill, D., Lim, S. D., De Paoli, H. C., . . . Yang, X. (2016). Transcript, protein and metabolite temporal dynamics in the CAM plant Agave. *Nat Plants*, 2, 16178. doi:10.1038/nplants.2016.178

Adam, K., & Hunter, T. (2018). Histidine kinases and the missing phosphoproteome from prokaryotes to eukaryotes. *Lab Invest*, 98 (2), 233-247. doi:10.1038/labinvest.2017.118

Annunziata, M. G., Apelt, F., Carillo, P., Krause, U., Feil, R., Koehl, K., . . . Stitt, M. (2018). Response of Arabidopsis primary metabolism and circadian clock to low night temperature in a natural light environment. *J Exp Bot, 69* (20), 4881-4895. doi:10.1093/jxb/ery276

Arent, S., Christensen, C. E., Pye, V. E., Norgaard, A., & Henriksen, A. (2010). The multifunctional protein in peroxisomal beta-oxidation: structure and substrate specificity of the Arabidopsis thaliana protein MFP2. *J Biol Chem*, 285 (31), 24066-24077. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.106005

Baerenfaller, K., Massonnet, C., Walsh, S., Baginsky, S., Buhlmann, P., Hennig, L., . . . Gruissem, W. (2012). Systems-based analysis of Arabidopsis leaf growth reveals adaptation to water deficit. *Mol Syst Biol, 8*, 606. doi:10.1038/msb.2012.39

Barboza-Barquero, L., Nagel, K. A., Jansen, M., Klasen, J. R., Kastenholz, B., Braun, S., . . . Fiorani, F. (2015). Phenotype of Arabidopsis thaliana semi-dwarfs with deep roots and high growth rates under water-limiting conditions is independent of the GA5 loss-of-function alleles. *Ann Bot*, 116 (3), 321-331. doi:10.1093/aob/mcv099

Barnes, W. J., & Anderson, C. T. (2017). Release, Recycle, Rebuild: Cell wall remodeling, autodegradation, and sugar salvage for new wall biosynthesis during plant development. *Mol Plant*. doi:10.1016/j.molp.2017.08.011

Bischoff, V., Desprez, T., Mouille, G., Vernhettes, S., Gonneau, M., & Hofte, H. (2011). Phytochrome regulation of cellulose synthesis in Arabidopsis. *Curr Biol*, 21 (21), 1822-1827. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.09.026

Blasing, O. E., Gibon, Y., Gunther, M., Hohne, M., Morcuende, R., Osuna, D., . . . Stitt, M. (2005). Sugars and circadian regulation make major contributions to the global regulation of diurnal gene expression in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, 17 (12), 3257-3281. doi:10.1105/tpc.105.035261

Boersema, P. J., Raijmakers, R., Lemeer, S., Mohammed, S., & Heck, A. J. (2009). Multiplex peptide stable isotope dimethyl labeling for quantitative proteomics. *Nat Protoc*, 4 (4), 484-494. doi:10.1038/nprot.2009.21

Boex-Fontvieille, E., Daventure, M., Jossier, M., Zivy, M., Hodges, M., & Tcherkez, G. (2013). Photosynthetic control of Arabidopsis leaf cytoplasmic translation initiation by protein phosphorylation. *PLoS One*, 8 (7), e70692. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070692

Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem*, 72, 248-254.

Chou, M. F., & Schwartz, D. (2011). Biological sequence motif discovery using motif-x. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics, Chapter 13, Unit 13 15-24. doi:10.1002/0471250953.bi1315s35

Choudhary, M. K., Nomura, Y., Wang, L., Nakagami, H., & Somers, D. E. (2015). Quantitative Circadian Phosphoproteomic Analysis of Arabidopsis Reveals Extensive Clock Control of Key Components in Physiological, Metabolic, and Signaling Pathways. *Mol Cell Proteomics*, 14 (8), 2243-2260. doi:10.1074/mcp.M114.047183

Cosgrove, D. J. (2005). Growth of the plant cell wall. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 6 (11), 850-861. doi:10.1038/nrm1746

Covington, M. F., Maloof, J. N., Straume, M., Kay, S. A., & Harmer, S. L. (2008). Global transcriptome analysis reveals circadian regulation of key pathways in plant growth and development. *Genome Biol*, 9 (8), R130. doi:10.1186/gb-2008-9-8-r130

Dai, M., Xue, Q., McCray, T., Margavage, K., Chen, F., Lee, J. H., . . . Wang, H. (2013). The PP6 phosphatase regulates ABI5 phosphorylation and abscisic acid signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, 25 (2), 517-534. doi:10.1105/tpc.112.105767

Delatte, T., Trevisan, M., Parker, M. L., & Zeeman, S. C. (2005). Arabidopsis mutants Atisa1 and Atisa2 have identical phenotypes and lack the same multimeric isoamylase, which influences the branch point distribution of amylopectin during starch synthesis. *Plant J*, 41 (6), 815-830. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02348.x

Delker, C., Zolman, B. K., Miersch, O., & Wasternack, C. (2007). Jasmonate biosynthesis in Arabidopsis thaliana requires peroxisomal beta-oxidation enzymes-additional proof by properties of pex6 and aim1. *Phytochemistry*, 68 (12), 1642-1650. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2007.04.024

Duby, G., & Boutry, M. (2009). The plant plasma membrane proton pump ATPase: a highly regulated P-type ATPase with multiple physiological roles. *Pflugers Arch*, 457 (3), 645-655. doi:10.1007/s00424-008-0457-x

Flis, A., Fernandez, A. P., Zielinski, T., Mengin, V., Sulpice, R., Stratford, K., . . . Millar, A. J. (2015). Defining the robust behaviour of the plant clock gene circuit with absolute RNA timeseries and open infrastructure. *Open Biol*, 5 (10). doi:10.1098/rsob.150042

Gibon, Y., Pyl, E. T., Sulpice, R., Lunn, J. E., Hohne, M., Gunther, M., & Stitt, M. (2009). Adjustment of growth, starch turnover, protein content and central metabolism to a decrease of the carbon supply when Arabidopsis is grown in very short photoperiods. *Plant Cell and Environment, 32* (7), 859-874. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01965.x

Gibon, Y., Usadel, B., Blaesing, O. E., Kamlage, B., Hoehne, M., Trethewey, R., & Stitt, M. (2006). Integration of metabolite with transcript and enzyme activity profiling during diurnal cycles in Arabidopsis rosettes. *Genome Biol*, 7 (8), R76. doi:10.1186/gb-2006-7-8-R76

Graf, A., Coman, D., Uhrig, R. G., Walsh, S., Flis, A., Stitt, M., & Gruissem, W. (2017). Parallel analysis of Arabidopsis circadian clock mutants reveals different scales of transcriptome and proteome regulation. *Open Biol*, 7 (3). doi:10.1098/rsob.160333

Grossmann, J., Roschitzki, B., Panse, C., Fortes, C., Barkow-Oesterreicher, S., Rutishauser, D., & Schlapbach, R. (2010). Implementation and evaluation of relative and absolute quantification in shotgun proteomics with label-free methods. *J Proteomics*, 73 (9), 1740-1746. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2010.05.011

Guan, P. (2017). Dancing with Hormones: A Current Perspective of Nitrate Signaling and Regulation in Arabidopsis. *Front Plant Sci, 8*, 1697. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01697

Heazlewood, J. L., Durek, P., Hummel, J., Selbig, J., Weckwerth, W., Walther, D., & Schulze, W. X. (2008). PhosPhAt: a database of phosphorylation sites in Arabidopsis thaliana and a plant-specific phosphorylation site predictor. *Nucleic Acids Res, 36* (Database issue), D1015-1021. doi:10.1093/nar/gkm812

Hughes, M. E., Hogenesch, J. B., & Kornacker, K. (2010). JTK_CYCLE: an efficient nonparametric algorithm for detecting rhythmic components in genome-scale data sets. *J Biol Rhythms*, 25 (5), 372-380. doi:10.1177/0748730410379711

Hsiao, A. S., Haslam, R. P., Michaelson, L. V., Liao, P., Napier, J. A., & Chye, M. L. (2014). Gene expression in plant lipid metabolism in Arabidopsis seedlings. PLoS One, 9(9), e107372. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107372

Ivakov, A., Flis, A., Apelt, F., Funfgeld, M., Scherer, U., Stitt, M., . . . Suslov, D. (2017). Cellulose Synthesis and Cell Expansion Are Regulated by Different Mechanisms in Growing Arabidopsis Hypocotyls. *Plant Cell*, 29 (6), 1305-1315. doi:10.1105/tpc.16.00782

Jackson, R. J., Hellen, C. U., & Pestova, T. V. (2010). The mechanism of eukaryotic translation initiation and principles of its regulation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 11 (2), 113-127. doi:10.1038/nrm2838

Jiang, T., Zhang, X. F., Wang, X. F., & Zhang, D. P. (2011). Arabidopsis 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase-2 (KAT2), an enzyme of fatty acid beta-oxidation, is involved in ABA signal transduction. *Plant Cell Physiol*, 52 (3), 528-538. doi:10.1093/pcp/pcr008

Julkowska, M. M., McLoughlin, F., Galvan-Ampudia, C. S., Rankenberg, J. M., Kawa, D., Klimecka, M., . . . Testerink, C. (2015). Identification and functional characterization of the Arabidopsis Snf1-related protein kinase SnRK2.4 phosphatidic acid-binding domain. *Plant Cell Environ*, 38 (3), 614-624. doi:10.1111/pce.12421

Kanekatsu, M., Saito, H., Motohashi, K., & Hisabori, T. (1998). The beta subunit of chloroplast ATP synthase (CF0CF1-ATPase) is phosphorylated by casein kinase II. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology International*, 46 (1), 99-105.

Kerk, D., Templeton, G., & Moorhead, G. B. (2008). Evolutionary radiation pattern of novel protein phosphatases revealed by analysis of protein data from the completely sequenced genomes of humans, green algae, and higher plants. *Plant Physiol*, 146 (2), 351-367. doi:10.1104/pp.107.111393

Kim, S. C., Nusinow, D. A., Sorkin, M. L., Pruneda-Paz, J., & Wang, X. (2019). Interaction and Regulation Between Lipid Mediator Phosphatidic Acid and Circadian Clock Regulators. Plant Cell, 31(2), 399-416. doi:10.1105/tpc.18.00675

Kotting, O., Kossmann, J., Zeeman, S. C., & Lloyd, J. R. (2010). Regulation of starch metabolism: the age of enlightenment? *Curr Opin Plant Biol*, 13 (3), 321-329. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2010.01.003

Krahmer, J., Hindle, M., Perby, L., Nielson, T. H., VanOoijen, G., Halliday, K. J., . . . Millar, A. J. (2019). Circadian protein regulation in the green lineage II. The clock gene circuit controls a phospho-dawn in Arabidopsis thaliana. *bioRXiv*. doi:10.1101/760892

Kusakina, J., & Dodd, A. N. (2012). Phosphorylation in the plant circadian system. *Trends Plant Sci*, 17 (10), 575-583. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2012.06.008

Le, H., Browning, K. S., & Gallie, D. R. (2000). The phosphorylation state of poly(A)-binding protein specifies its binding to poly(A) RNA and its interaction with eukaryotic initiation factor (eIF) 4F, eIFiso4F, and eIF4B. *J Biol Chem*, 275 (23), 17452-17462. doi:10.1074/jbc.M001186200

Lehti-Shiu, M. D., & Shiu, S. H. (2012). Diversity, classification and function of the plant protein kinase superfamily. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 367* (1602), 2619-2639. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0003

Li, F., Li, M., Wang, P., Cox, K. L., Jr., Duan, L., Dever, J. K., . . . He, P. (2017). Regulation of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) drought responses by mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase cascade-mediated phosphorylation of GhWRKY59. *New Phytol*, 215 (4), 1462-1475. doi:10.1111/nph.14680

Li, G., Zhang, L., Wang, M., Di, D., Kronzucker, H. J., & Shi, W. (2019). The Arabidopsis AMOT1/EIN3 gene plays an important role in the amelioration of ammonium toxicity. *J Exp Bot*, 70 (4), 1375-1388. doi:10.1093/jxb/ery457

Li, L., Nelson, C. J., Trosch, J., Castleden, I., Huang, S., & Millar, A. H. (2017). Protein Degradation Rate in Arabidopsis thaliana Leaf Growth and Development. *Plant Cell*, 29 (2), 207-228. doi:10.1105/tpc.16.00768

Lillo, C. (2008). Signalling cascades integrating light-enhanced nitrate metabolism. *Biochem J*, 415 (1), 11-19. doi:10.1042/BJ20081115

Lillo, C., Meyer, C., Lea, U. S., Provan, F., & Oltedal, S. (2004). Mechanism and importance of post-translational regulation of nitrate reductase. *J Exp Bot*, 55 (401), 1275-1282. doi:10.1093/jxb/erh132

Lin, D., Nagawa, S., Chen, J., Cao, L., Chen, X., Xu, T., . . . Yang, Z. (2012). A ROP GTPase-dependent auxin signaling pathway regulates the subcellular distribution of PIN2 in Arabidopsis roots. *Curr Biol, 22* (14), 1319-1325. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.05.019

Lu, S. X., Liu, H., Knowles, S. M., Li, J., Ma, L., Tobin, E. M., & Lin, C. (2011). A role for protein kinase casein kinase2 alpha-subunits in the Arabidopsis circadian clock. *Plant Physiol*, 157 (3), 1537-1545. doi:10.1104/pp.111.179846

Ma, C., Haslbeck, M., Babujee, L., Jahn, O., & Reumann, S. (2006). Identification and characterization of a stress-inducible and a constitutive small heat-shock protein targeted to the matrix of plant peroxisomes. *Plant Physiol*, 141 (1), 47-60. doi:10.1104/pp.105.073841

Manning, G., Whyte, D. B., Martinez, R., Hunter, T., & Sudarsanam, S. (2002). The protein kinase complement of the human genome. *Science*, 298 (5600), 1912-1934. doi:10.1126/science.1075762

Marti Ruiz, M. C., Hubbard, K. E., Gardner, M. J., Jung, H. J., Aubry, S., Hotta, C. T., . . . Webb, A. A. R. (2018). Circadian oscillations of cytosolic free calcium regulate the Arabidopsis circadian clock. *Nat Plants*, 4 (9), 690-698. doi:10.1038/s41477-018-0224-8

Martin-Perez, M., & Villen, J. (2017). Determinants and Regulation of Protein Turnover in Yeast. *Cell Systems*, 5 (3), 283-294 e285. doi:10.1016/j.cels.2017.08.008

Mockler, T. C., Michael, T. P., Priest, H. D., Shen, R., Sullivan, C. M., Givan, S. A., . . . Chory, J. (2007). The DIURNAL project: DIURNAL and circadian expression profiling, model-based pattern matching, and promoter analysis. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol*, 72, 353-363. doi:10.1101/sqb.2007.72.006

Moorhead, G., Douglas, P., Cotelle, V., Harthill, J., Morrice, N., Meek, S., . . . MacKintosh, C. (1999). Phosphorylation-dependent interactions between enzymes of plant metabolism and 14-3-3 proteins. *Plant J*, 18 (1), 1-12. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313x.1999.00417.x

Moorhead, G. B., Trinkle-Mulcahy, L., Nimick, M., De Wever, V., Campbell, D. G., Gourlay, R., . . . Lamond, A. I. (2008). Displacement affinity chromatography of protein phosphatase one (PP1) complexes. *BMC Biochem*, 9, 28. doi:10.1186/1471-2091-9-28

Muench, D. G., Zhang, C., & Dahodwala, M. (2012). Control of cytoplasmic translation in plants. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA, 3 (2), 178-194. doi:10.1002/wrna.1104

Munnik, T., Ligterink, W., Meskiene, I. I., Calderini, O., Beyerly, J., Musgrave, A., & Hirt, H. (1999). Distinct osmo-sensing protein kinase pathways are involved in signalling moderate and severe hyper-osmotic stress. *Plant J, 20* (4), 381-388. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313x.1999.00610.x

Nakagami, H., Sugiyama, N., Mochida, K., Daudi, A., Yoshida, Y., Toyoda, T., . . . Shirasu, K. (2010). Largescale comparative phosphoproteomics identifies conserved phosphorylation sites in plants. *Plant Physiol*, 153 (3), 1161-1174. doi:10.1104/pp.110.157347

Nakamura, M., Claes, A. R., Grebe, T., Hermkes, R., Viotti, C., Ikeda, Y., & Grebe, M. (2018). Auxin and ROP GTPase Signaling of Polar Nuclear Migration in Root Epidermal Hair Cells. *Plant Physiol*, 176 (1), 378-391. doi:10.1104/pp.17.00713

Nakamura, Y. (2018). Membrane Lipid Oscillation: An Emerging System of Molecular Dynamics in the Plant Membrane. *Plant Cell Physiol*, 59 (3), 441-447. doi:10.1093/pcp/pcy023

Nakamura, Y., Andres, F., Kanehara, K., Liu, Y. C., Coupland, G., & Dormann, P. (2014). Diurnal and circadian expression profiles of glycerolipid biosynthetic genes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Signal Behav*, 9 (9), e29715. doi:10.4161/psb.29715

Nohales, M. A., & Kay, S. A. (2016). Molecular mechanisms at the core of the plant circadian oscillator. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, 23 (12), 1061-1069. doi:10.1038/nsmb.3327

Oakenfull, R. J., & Davis, S. J. (2017). Shining a light on the Arabidopsis circadian clock. *Plant Cell Environ* . doi:10.1111/pce.13033

Olas, J. J., Van Dingenen, J., Abel, C., Dzialo, M. A., Feil, R., Krapp, A., . . . Wahl, V. (2019). Nitrate acts at the Arabidopsis thaliana shoot apical meristem to regulate flowering time. *New Phytol*, 223 (2), 814-827. doi:10.1111/nph.15812

Olas, J. J., & Wahl, V. (2019). Tissue-specific NIA1 and NIA2 expression in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Signal Behav*, 14 (11), 1656035. doi:10.1080/15592324.2019.1656035

Olsen, J. V., Blagoev, B., Gnad, F., Macek, B., Kumar, C., Mortensen, P., & Mann, M. (2006). Global, in vivo, and site-specific phosphorylation dynamics in signaling networks. *Cell*, 127 (3), 635-648. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.09.026

Pal, S. K., Liput, M., Piques, M., Ishihara, H., Obata, T., Martins, M. C., . . . Stitt, M. (2013). Diurnal changes of polysome loading track sucrose content in the rosette of wild-type arabidopsis and the starchless pgm mutant. *Plant Physiol*, 162 (3), 1246-1265. doi:10.1104/pp.112.212258

Pan, R., Reumann, S., Lisik, P., Tietz, S., Olsen, L. J., & Hu, J. (2018). Proteome analysis of peroxisomes from dark-treated senescent Arabidopsis leaves. J Integr Plant Biol, 60 (11), 1028-1050. doi:10.1111/jipb.12670

Pinfield-Wells, H., Rylott, E. L., Gilday, A. D., Graham, S., Job, K., Larson, T. R., & Graham, I. A. (2005). Sucrose rescues seedling establishment but not germination of Arabidopsis mutants disrupted in peroxisomal fatty acid catabolism. *Plant J*, 43 (6), 861-872. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02498.x

Piques, M., Schulze, W. X., Hohne, M., Usadel, B., Gibon, Y., Rohwer, J., & Stitt, M. (2009). Ribosome and transcript copy numbers, polysome occupancy and enzyme dynamics in Arabidopsis. *Mol Syst Biol*, 5, 314. doi:10.1038/msb.2009.68

Qin, Q., Wang, W., Guo, X., Yue, J., Huang, Y., Xu, X., . . . Hou, S. (2014). Arabidopsis DELLA protein degradation is controlled by a type-one protein phosphatase, TOPP4. *PLoS Genet*, 10 (7), e1004464. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004464

Rao, R. S., Thelen, J. J., & Miernyk, J. A. (2014). In silico analysis of protein Lys-N()-acetylation in plants. *Front Plant Sci*, 5, 381. doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.00381

Reiland, S., Messerli, G., Baerenfaller, K., Gerrits, B., Endler, A., Grossmann, J., . . . Baginsky, S. (2009). Large-scale Arabidopsis phosphoproteome profiling reveals novel chloroplast kinase substrates and phosphorylation networks. *Plant Physiol*, 150 (2), 889-903. doi:10.1104/pp.109.138677

Rigbolt, K. T., Vanselow, J. T., & Blagoev, B. (2011). GProX, a user-friendly platform for bioinformatics analysis and visualization of quantitative proteomics data. *Mol Cell Proteomics*, 10 (8), O110 007450. doi:10.1074/mcp.O110.007450

Robles, M. S., Humphrey, S. J., & Mann, M. (2017). Phosphorylation Is a Central Mechanism for Circadian Control of Metabolism and Physiology. *Cell Metab*, 25 (1), 118-127. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2016.10.004

Schwartz, D., & Gygi, S. P. (2005). An iterative statistical approach to the identification of protein phosphorylation motifs from large-scale data sets. Nat Biotechnol, 23(11), 1391-1398. doi:10.1038/nbt1146

Seaton, D. D., Graf, A., Baerenfaller, K., Stitt, M., Millar, A. J., & Gruissem, W. (2018). Photoperiodic control of the Arabidopsis proteome reveals a translational coincidence mechanism. *Mol Syst Biol*, 14 (3), e7962. doi:10.15252/msb.20177962

Seluzicki, A., Burko, Y., & Chory, J. (2017). Dancing in the dark: darkness as a signal in plants. *Plant Cell Environ*. doi:10.1111/pce.12900

Simillion, C., Liechti, R., Lischer, H. E., Ioannidis, V., & Bruggmann, R. (2017). Avoiding the pitfalls of gene set enrichment analysis with SetRank. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 18 (1), 151. doi:10.1186/s12859-017-1571-6

Sondergaard, T. E., Schulz, A., & Palmgren, M. G. (2004). Energization of transport processes in plants. roles of the plasma membrane H+-ATPase. *Plant Physiol*, 136 (1), 2475-2482. doi:10.1104/pp.104.048231

Staiger, D., Shin, J., Johansson, M., & Davis, S. J. (2013). The circadian clock goes genomic. *Genome Biol*, 14 (6), 208. doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-6-208

Sugiyama, N., Nakagami, H., Mochida, K., Daudi, A., Tomita, M., Shirasu, K., & Ishihama, Y. (2008). Large-scale phosphorylation mapping reveals the extent of tyrosine phosphorylation in Arabidopsis. *Mol Syst Biol*, 4, 193. doi:10.1038/msb.2008.32

Sullivan, S., Thomson, C. E., Kaiserli, E., & Christie, J. M. (2009). Interaction specificity of Arabidopsis 14-3-3 proteins with phototropin receptor kinases. *FEBS Lett*, 583 (13), 2187-2193. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2009.06.011

Sullivan, S., Thomson, C. E., Lamont, D. J., Jones, M. A., & Christie, J. M. (2008). In vivo phosphorylation site mapping and functional characterization of Arabidopsis phototropin 1. *Mol Plant*, 1 (1), 178-194. doi:10.1093/mp/ssm017

Szklarczyk, D., Morris, J. H., Cook, H., Kuhn, M., Wyder, S., Simonovic, M., . . . von Mering, C. (2017). The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled protein-protein association networks, made broadly accessible. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 45 (D1), D362-D368. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw937

Szydlowski, N., Ragel, P., Hennen-Bierwagen, T. A., Planchot, V., Myers, A. M., Merida, A., . . . Wattebled, F. (2011). Integrated functions among multiple starch synthases determine both amylopectin chain length and branch linkage location in Arabidopsis leaf starch. *J Exp Bot*, 62 (13), 4547-4559. doi:10.1093/jxb/err172

Tanz, S. K., Castleden, I., Hooper, C. M., Vacher, M., Small, I., & Millar, H. A. (2013). SUBA3: a database for integrating experimentation and prediction to define the SUBcellular location of proteins in Arabidopsis. *Nucleic Acids Res, 41* (Database issue), D1185-1191. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1151

Türker, C., Akal, F., Joho, D., Panse, C., Barkow-Oesterreicher, S., Rehrauer, H., & Schlapbach, R. (2010). B-Fabric: the Swiss Army Knife for life sciences. *10: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Extending Database Technology*. doi:10.1145/1739041.1739135

Turkina, M. V., Klang Arstrand, H., & Vener, A. V. (2011). Differential phosphorylation of ribosomal proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana plants during day and night. *PLoS One*, 6 (12), e29307. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029307

Uehara, T. N., Mizutani, Y., Kuwata, K., Hirota, T., Sato, A., Mizoi, J., . . . Nakamichi, N. (2019). Casein kinase 1 family regulates PRR5 and TOC1 in the Arabidopsis circadian clock. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 116 (23), 11528-11536. doi:10.1073/pnas.1903357116

Uhrig, R. G., Labandera, A. M., & Moorhead, G. B. (2013). Arabidopsis PPP family of serine/threonine protein phosphatases: many targets but few engines. *Trends Plant Sci*, 18 (9), 505-513. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2013.05.004

Uhrig, R. G., Schlapfer, P., Roschitzki, B., Hirsch-Hoffmann, M., & Gruissem, W. (2019). Diurnal changes in concerted plant protein phosphorylation and acetylation in Arabidopsis organs and seedlings. *Plant J*, 99 (1), 176-194. doi:10.1111/tpj.14315

Usadel, B., Blasing, O. E., Gibon, Y., Retzlaff, K., Hohne, M., Gunther, M., & Stitt, M. (2008). Global transcript levels respond to small changes of the carbon status during progressive exhaustion of carbohydrates in Arabidopsis rosettes. *Plant Physiol*, 146 (4), 1834-1861. doi:10.1104/pp.107.115592

Vu, L. D., Gevaert, K., & De Smet, I. (2018). Protein Language: Post-Translational Modifications Talking to Each Other. *Trends Plant Sci, 23* (12), 1068-1080. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2018.09.004

Wang, L., Wang, C., Liu, X., Cheng, J., Li, S., Zhu, J. K., & Gong, Z. (2019). Peroxisomal beta-oxidation regulates histone acetylation and DNA methylation in Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 116 (21), 10576-10585. doi:10.1073/pnas.1904143116

Wang, P., Du, Y., & Song, C. P. (2011). Phosphorylation by MPK6: a conserved transcriptional modification mediates nitrate reductase activation and NO production? Plant Signal Behav, 6(6), 889-891. doi:10.4161/psb.6.6.15308

Wisniewski, J. R., Zougman, A., Nagaraj, Ν., & Mann, М. (2009).Univerpreparation method for proteome analysis. Nat Meth, 6 359-362. sal sample (5),doi:http://www.nature.com/nmeth/journal/v6/n5/suppinfo/nmeth.1322_S1.html

Wurzinger, B., Nukarinen, E., Nagele, T., Weckwerth, W., & Teige, M. (2018). The SnRK1 Kinase as Central Mediator of Energy Signaling between Different Organelles. Plant Physiol, 176(2), 1085-1094. doi:10.1104/pp.17.01404

Yu, Y., Wang, J., Li, S., Kakan, X., Zhou, Y., Miao, Y., . . . Huang, R. (2019). Ascorbic Acid Integrates the Antagonistic Modulation of Ethylene and Abscisic Acid in the Accumulation of Reactive Oxygen Species. *Plant Physiol*, 179 (4), 1861-1875. doi:10.1104/pp.18.01250

Zanella, M., Borghi, G. L., Pirone, C., Thalmann, M., Pazmino, D., Costa, A., . . . Sparla, F. (2016). betaamylase 1 (BAM1) degrades transitory starch to sustain proline biosynthesis during drought stress. *J Exp Bot*, 67 (6), 1819-1826. doi:10.1093/jxb/erv572

Zhang, B., Jia, J., Yang, M., Yan, C., & Han, Y. (2012). Overexpression of a LAM domain containing RNA-binding protein LARP1c induces precocious leaf senescence in Arabidopsis. *Mol Cells*, 34 (4), 367-374. doi:10.1007/s10059-012-0111-5

Zhang, S., Feng, M., Chen, W., Zhou, X., Lu, J., Wang, Y., . . . Gao, J. (2019). In rose, transcription factor PTM balances growth and drought survival via PIP2;1 aquaporin. *Nat Plants*, 5 (3), 290-299. doi:10.1038/s41477-019-0376-1

Zhao, C., Wang, P., Si, T., Hsu, C. C., Wang, L., Zayed, O., . . . Zhu, J. K. (2017). MAP Kinase Cascades Regulate the Cold Response by Modulating ICE1 Protein Stability. *Dev Cell*, 43 (5), 618-629 e615. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2017.09.024

Zhou, H., Low, T. Y., Hennrich, M. L., van der Toorn, H., Schwend, T., Zou, H., . . . Heck, A. J. (2011). Enhancing the identification of phosphopeptides from putative basophilic kinase substrates using Ti (IV) based IMAC enrichment. *Mol Cell Proteomics*, 10 (10), M110 006452. doi:10.1074/mcp.M110.006452

FIGURES:

Figure 1: Analysis of the diurnal proteome: clustering, enrichment analysis and subcellular localization.

(A) Significantly changing proteins (FC [?] 1.5, ANOVA P value [?] 0.05, [?] 2 peptides) were subjected to an unsupervised clustering analysis (GProX; http://gprox.sourceforge.net) resolving 6 protein clusters. Y- and X-axis depict standardized expression level and harvest time (Zeitgeber time; ZT), respectively. Median expression is depicted in blue. (B) Term enrichment analysis of significantly changing proteins using SetRank (P value [?] 0.01, size [?] 2). (C) Standardized diurnal transcript expression level of each corresponding clustered protein (Log10). Median expression is depicted in blue. Transcript expression level was obtained from Diurnal DB (http://diurnal.mocklerlab.org/). (D) In silico subcellular localization analysis of significantly changing proteins using SUBAcon (SUBA3; http://suba3.plantenergy.uwa.edu.au). Bracketed numbers represent the number of proteins per cluster.

Figure 2: Interaction networks of the diurnal proteome.

An association network analysis using STRING-DB (https://string-db.org/) of statistically significant diurnally changing proteins was performed using the generated unsupervised clusters shown in Figure 1. Edge thickness indicates confidence of the connection between two nodes (0.5 - 1.0). Changing proteins (grey circles) are labeled by either their primary gene annotation or Arabidopsis gene identifier (AGI). The colored outline of each node represents the *in silico* predicted subcellular localization of this protein (SUBAcon; suba3.plantenergy.uwa.edu.au). Nucleus (red), cytosol (orange), plastid (green), mitochondria (blue), plasma membrane (purple), peroxisome (dark yellow), endoplasmic reticulum/golgi/secreted (black) are depicted. A second layer of STRING-DB identified proteins (white nodes) not found in each respective significantly changing protein cluster was used to highlight the interconnectedness of proteins in the cluster. Multiple nodes encompassed by a labelled grey circle represent proteins involved in the same cellular process.

Figure 3: Comparative analysis of diurnal proteome to free-running circadian proteome (Krahmer *et al.*, 2019).

(A) Number of proteins measured in this study (blue circle) and Krahmer *et al.* (2019) (orange circle). Number of stable proteins (black), fluctuating proteins in our study only (magenta), Krahmer *et al.*(2019) only (green) and both studies (blue). (B) Table of 21 proteins that show significant (B.Q) fluctuation using JTK with their respective peak time period for protein and transcript levels (Diurnal DB, http://diurnal.mocklerlab.org/). (C and D) Normalized (Median = 0, Amplitude of 2) protein levels of 15 proteins both fluctuating in protein and transcript levels (gray lines) shifted to peak at time zero for protein levels in (C) and transcript levels in (D). Protein data was plotted twice to visualize a 48 h timeframe. The theoretical cosine functions with associated 99% confidence interval for protein levels (C, red) and transcript levels (D, blue) are shifted by 5.5 h.

Figure 4: Interaction networks of the diurnal phosphoproteome at the D-L and L-D transitions.

An association network analysis of statistically significant diurnally changing phosphorylated proteins was performed using the STRING-DB (ANOVA P value [?] 0.05). Edge thickness indicates strength of the connection between two nodes (0.5 - 1.0). Phosphorylated proteins (grey circles) are labeled by either their primary gene annotation or Arabidopsis gene identifier (AGI). Outer circle around each node depicts the standardized relative log2 FC in phosphorylation status of this protein between time-points. The sliding scale of yellow to blue represents a relative increase and decrease in phosphorylation, respectively. The inner colored circles represent *in silico*predicted subcellular localization (SUBAcon; suba3.plantenergy.uwa.edu.au). Nucleus (red), cytosol (orange), plastid (green), mitochondria (blue), plasma membrane (purple), peroxisome (dark yellow), endoplasmic reticulum/golgi/secreted (black) are depicted. A second shell of 5 STING-DB proteins (white circles) not found in our dataset was used to highlight the interconnectedness of the network. Multiple nodes encompassed by a labelled grey circle represent proteins involved in the same cellular process.

Figure 5: Proteins exhibiting a significant change in both diurnal protein abundance and protein phosphorylation status.

Six proteins were found to significantly change in protein abundance and protein phosphorylation: AT1G10940 (SnRK2.4; blue), AT1G37130 (NIA2; black), AT1G77760 (NIA1; grey), AT4G16340 (TPX2; red), AT4G32330 (SPK1; yellow), AT4G35890 (LARP1c; green). (A) Diurnal protein abundance change profile. Standardized log2 FC values are plotted relative to ZT. (B) D L and (C) L-D phosphorylation change profiles. Standardized log2 FC values are plotted relative to transition time-point either 10 or 30 min before light (BL), after light (AL), before dark (BD) or after dark (AD).

Figure 6: Schematic representation of Arabidopsis cellular and biological processes with diurnal fluctuations in protein abundance or protein phosphorylation.

The inner three circles show terms of processes involving proteins with a maximal change in abundance during the day (yellow) or night (black). The outer circle show terms of processes involving proteins with changes in protein phosphorylation at the dark-to-light (D-L) transition (top) or light-to-dark (L-D) transition (bottom). The segments of each inner circle relative to ZTO (day) or ZT12 (night) represent the approximate time interval in which proteins (ZT) and phosphoproteins (30 min before light or dark, 10 and 30 min after light or dark) involved in each process have their maximal change. The cellular and biological terms shown here were obtained by GO term enrichment of each protein and phosphoprotein cluster as outlined in Materials and Methods.

TABLES:

Table 1: Proteome and phosphoproteome coverage.

Summary of the identified, quantified and significantly changing diurnal proteins, phosphopeptides and phosphoproteins. Quantification confidence thresholds are shown for the proteome (proteins identified by [?] 2 proteotypic peptides) and the phosphoproteome (site probability score [?] 0.8) quantified in [?] 3 biological replicates for each time point of the diurnal cycle and for each of the three time-points at the L-D and D-L transitions. The significance thresholds are shown for the proteome (FC [?] 1.5; ANOVA P value [?] 0.05) and the phosphoproteome (ANOVA P value [?] 0.05). Application of proteome and phosphoproteome significance thresholds are denoted by a single (*) and double (**) asterisks, respectively.

Table 2: GSEA of significantly changing phosphoproteins at the D-L and L-D transition. GSEA was performed using SetRank (P value [?] 0.01; FDR [?] 0.05, minProt = 2).

Table 3: Proteins involved in plant cell processes with independent changes in abundance and/or phosphorylation.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION:

Supplemental Figures

Supplemental Figure 1: Schematic depiction of the experimental workflow.

The total proteome and phosphoproteome experimental workflow is shown in black and blue, respectively. Light and dark boxes represent the 12 h light : 12 h dark photoperiod. The numbers on top of the boxes represent the tissue harvest times for the total proteome analysis (Zeitgeber time; ZT). The numbers below the boxes represent the tissue harvest times for the phosphoproteome analysis (minutes before or after a transition from L-D and D-L).

Supplemental Figure 2: Hierarchical heatmap of significantly changing diurnal phosphopeptides at the D-L transition.

The hierarchical heatmap was generated using the R package Pheatmap and Euclidean distance. Standardized relative log2 FC in phosphopeptide abundance is shown along with the corresponding AGI and phosphopeptide with phosphorylation site probabilities. GO terms of proteins in the heatmap clusters are shown on the right together with their predicted subcellular localization (SUBAcon). The segments of the circles represent the nucleus (red), cytosol (orange), plastid (green), mitochondria (blue), plasma membrane (purple) and other (black) localizations. The numbers below each pie chart represent the unique protein identifications. The time points of sampling for phosphoprotein analysis were 30 min before light (BL30), 10 min after light (AL10) and 30 min after light (AL30).

Supplemental Figure 3: Hierarchical heat map of significantly changing diurnal phosphopeptides at the L-D transition.

The hierarchical heat map was generated using the R package Pheatmap and Euclidean distance. Standardized relative log2 FC in phosphopeptide abundance is shown along with the corresponding AGI and phosphopeptide with phosphorylation site probabilities. GO terms of proteins in the heatmap clusters are shown on the right together with their predicted subcellular localization (SUBAcon). The segments of the circles represent the nucleus (red), cytosol (orange), plastid (green), mitochondria (blue), plasma membrane (purple) and other (black) localizations. The numbers below each pie chart represent the number of unique protein identifications. The time points of sampling for phosphoprotein analysis were 30 min before dark (BD30), 10 min after dark (AD10) and 30 min after dark (AD30).

Supplemental Figure 4: Diurnal phosphorylation of nitrate reductase 1 (NIA1) and 2 (NIA2). (A-B) Diurnal fluctuations of NIA1 and 2 mRNA and protein levels, and phosphorylation status. Relative changes in mRNA and protein levels were assessed over 24 h. Transcript data was extracted from Diurnal DB (http://diurnal.mocklerlab.org/). Relative changes in protein phosphorylation were measured at the D-L and L-D transitions only (see Materials and Methods). (C) Model of NIA2 protein structure including molybdenum cofactor (MoCo), dimerization (Dimer), cytochrome b5 (Cyt B), FAD and NADH binding domains in addition to hinge regions 1 and 2. Phosphorylation of the three annotated phosphorylation sites

in NIA2 shown as circles is light-dependent (yellow), dark-dependent (blue) and nitric oxide-induced (white; Wang et al; 2011).

Supplemental Tables

Supplemental Table 1: All identified and quantified proteins.

Supplemental Table 2: Significantly changing diurnal proteins.

Supplemental Table 3: All identified and quantified phosphoproteins.

Supplemental Table 4: Significantly changing diurnal phosphoproteins.

Supplemental Table 5: Benchmark phosphoproteins.

Supplemental Table 6: Comparative proteome and phosphoproteome analysis.

Supplemental Table 7: MotifX data for D-L and L-D transitions.

Supplemental Table 8: Standardized D-L and L-D changes in the phosphorylation of protein translation.

Supplemental Table 9: Standardized D-L and L-D transition phosphopeptide rates-of-change.

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Data 1-6: The matched transcript and protein expression profiles for genes in clusters 1 - 6 respectively in Figure 1.

Supplemental Data 7: Comparison of changing diurnal proteome and a circadian proteome reported by Kramer et al. (2019).



Figure 1: Analysis of the diurnal proteome: clustering, enrichment analysis and subcellular localization. (A) Significantly changing proteins (Fold-change (FC) ≥ 1.5, ANOVA P value ≤ 0.05, ≥ 2 peptides) were subjected to an unsupervised clustering analysis (GProX: http://grox.sourceforge.net) resolving 6 protein clusters. Y- and X-axis depict standardized expression level and harvest time (Zellgaber time; ZT), respectively. Median expression is depicted in blue. (B) Term enrichment nanalysis of significantly changing proteins using Selfank (P value ≤ 0.01, size ≥ 1). (C) Standardized dural transcript expression level of each corresponding clustered protein (Log10). Median expression is depicted in blue. Transcript expression level was obtained from Diurnal DB (http://diurnal.mccklerlab.org). (D) in *silico* subcellular localization analysis of significantly changing proteins using SUBAcon (SUBA3; http://suba3.plantenergy.uwa.edu.au). Bracketed numbers represent the number of proteins per cluster.











Figure 2: Interaction networks of the diurnal proteome. Using STRING-DB (https://string-db.org/), association network analysis of statistically significant diurnally changing proteins was performed using the generated unsupervised clusters (Figure 1). Edge thickness indicates confidence of the connection between two nodes (0.5 - 1.0). Changing proteins (grey circles) are labeled by either their primary gene annotation or Anabidopsis gene identifier (AG). The colored outline cach node represents that proteins in silico predicted subcellular tocalization (SUBAcon; suba3.) Jantenergy.uwa.edu.au). Nucleus (eds.), ortsool (orange), plastid (green), mitochondria (blue), plasma membrane (purple), peroxisome (dark yellow), endoplasmic reticulur/golgisecreted (black) are depicted. A second layer of STRING-DB identified proteins (white nodes) not found in each respective significantly changing protein cluster was used to highlight the interconnectedness of proteins in the cluster. Multiple nodes encompassed by a labelled grey circle represent proteins involved in the same cellular process.





Figure 3: Comparative analysis of diurnal proteome to free-running circadian proteome (Krahmer et al., 2019). (A) Number of proteins measured in this study (blue underlay) and Krahmer et al., 2019 (orange underlay). Number of stable proteins (black), fluctuating proteins in our study only (magenta). Krahmer et al., 2019 only (green) and both studies (blue). (B) Table of 21 proteins that show significant (B.Q) fluctuation using JTK with their respective peak time period for protein levels and expression levels (Diurnal DB, http://diurnal.mocklerlab.org/). Normalized (Median = 0, Amplitude of 2) protein levels of 15 proteins both fluctuating in protein and transcript levels (gray) shifted to peak at time zero for protein levels in (C) and transcript levels in (D). Protein data was plotted twice to visualize a 48 h timeframe. Theoretical cosine function with associated 99% confidence interval for protein levels (C, red) and transcript levels (D, blue) displaying the shift by 5.5 h.



Figure 4: Interaction networks of the diurnal phosphoproteome at the D-L and L-D transitions. Using the STRING-DB, association network analysis of statistically significant diurnally changing phosphorylated proteins (are yet circles) are labeled by either their primary gene annotation or Arabidopsis gene (dentifier (AGI)). Outer circle around each node depicts the standardized relative log2 FC in that proteins phosphorylaton respectively. The inner colored circles represent in silico predicted subclaural localization (SUBAcon; suba3, plantenergy uwa.edu.au). Nucleus (red), cytosol (orange), plastid (green), mitochondria (blue), plasma membrane (purple), perxisome (dark yellow), endopasmic reliculturi/logolijscereted (black) are depicted. A second shell of STING-DB proteins (while icricles) not lound in our dataset was used to highlight the interconnectedness of the network. Multiple nodes encompassed by a labelled grey circle represent proteins involved in the same cellular process.

Figure 5



Figure 5: Proteins exhibiting a significant change in both diurnal protein abundance and protein phosphorylation status. Six proteins were found to significantly change in protein abundance and protein phosphorylation: AT1G10940 (SnRK2.4; blue), AT1G37130 (NIA2; black), AT1G77760 (NIA1; grey), AT4G16340 (TPX2; red), AT4G32330 (SPK1; yellow), AT4G35890 (LARP1c; green). (A) Diurnal protein abundance change profile. Standardized log2 fold-change values are plotted relative to ZT. (B) D-L and (C) L-D phosphorylation change profiles. Standardized log2 FC values are plotted relative to transition time-point either 10 or 30 minutes before light (BL), after light (AL), before dark (BD) or after dark (AD).





Figure 6: Schematic representation of Arabidopsis cellular and biological processes affected by diurnal fluctuations in protein abundance or protein phosphorylation. Inner terms represent processes maintaining proteins which exhibit a maximal change in abundance during the day (yellow) or night (black). Outer terms describe processes maintaining proteins undergoing changes in protein phosphorylation at the dark-to-light (D-L) transition (top) or light-to-dark (L-D) transition (bottom). The coverage of each inner ring relative to ZT0 (day) or ZT12 (night) represents the approximate time proteins (ZT) and phosphoproteins (30 min after light/dark) corresponding to each process exhibiting maximal change. The cellular and biological terms described here were obtained through GO term enrichment of each protein and phosphoprotein cluster as outlined in the materials and methods.

Table 1: Proteome and Phosphoproteome coverage. Identified, quantified and significantly changing diurnal proteins, phosphopeptides and phosphoproteins. Quantification confidence thresholds include: proteome: ≥ 2 proteotypic peptides; phosphoproteome: phosphorylation site probability ID ≥ 0.8, quantified in ≥ 2 biological replicates and 3/3 transition time-points. Significance thresholds include: proteome: FIC ≥ 1.5 and ANOVA P value ≤ 0.05; phosphoproteome: ANOVA P value ≤ 0.05. Application of proteome and phosphoproteome significance thresholds are denoted by a single (*) and double (**) stars, respectively.

	Proteome	Phosphoproteome
Protein IDs	7060	1091
Peptide IDs	n/a	1776
Proteins Quantified	4762	725
Peptides Quantified	n/a	1056
Sig. Changing Proteins	*288	**226
Sig. Changing Peptides	n/a	**271

Table 2

D-L Transition					
Name	Description	Database	Size	SetRank	Corr P value
GO:0016020	membrane	GOCC	273	0.125972	0.000913639
GO:0005524	ATP binding	GOMF	104	0.110291	0.006818059
GO:0009416	response to light stimulus	GOBP	32	0.059617	0.000197647
M00428	eIF4F complex	KEGG	4	0.032225	0.000207554
GO:0005618	cell wall	GOCC	20	0.059617	0.000666835
GO:0009941	chloroplast envelope	GOCC	33	0.032225	0.000828511
GO:0009785	blue light signaling pathway	GOBP	2	0.032225	0.001166915
GO:0016310	phosphorylation	GOBP	60	0.032225	0.001933236
GO:0046527	glucosyltransferase activity	GOMF	5	0.032225	0.007552696
GO:0015291	transmembrane transporter activity	GOMF	9	0.032225	0.008195815
GO:0048528	post-embryonic root development	GOBP	10	0.032225	0.004122321
META_PWY-101	photosynthesis light reactions	BIOCYC	3	0.032225	0.004299686
GO:0009523	photosystem II	GOCC	2	0.032225	0.004363247
GO:0009555	pollen development	GOBP	6	0.032225	0.005631461
GO:1902580	single-organism cellular localization	GOBP	12	0.032225	0.005682244
ath04141	Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum	KEGG	5	0.032225	0.007587253
GO:0050832	defense response to fungus	GOBP	11	0.032225	0.007657975
GO:0042126	nitrate metabolic process	GOBP	5	0.032225	0.009137088
GO:0003924	GTPase activity	GOMF	6	0.032225	0.009196556
L-D Transition					
Name	Description	Database	Size	SetRank	Corr P value
GO:0009507	chloroplast	GOCC	116	0.130435	0.000316305
GO:0009108	coenzyme biosynthetic process	GOBP	3	0.048309	0.002527376
GO:0016903	oxidoreductase activity	GOMF	4	0.048309	0.005222496
GO:0005829	cytosol	GOCC	213	0.048309	0.007059263
GO:0016310	phosphorylation	GOBP	52	0.048309	0.009610197
GO:0006997	nucleus organization	GOBP	3	0.048309	0.000925019
GO:0009637	response to blue light	GOBP	9	0.048309	0.001227825
GO:0009573	RuBisCO complex	GOCC	2	0.048309	0.00637449
GO:0009785	blue light signaling pathway	GOBP	2	0.048309	0.006496782
GO:0010359	regulation of anion channel activity	GOBP	2	0.048309	0.006496782
GO:0009416	response to light stimulus	GOBP	31	0.048309	0.008163336
GO:0016192	vesicle-mediated transport	GOBP	31	0.048309	0.002856364
GO:0090407	organophosphate biosynthetic process	GOBP	11	0.048309	0.003001091
GO:0097306	cellular response to alcohol	GOBP	11	0.048309	0.004964197
GO:0003924	GTPase activity	GOMF	6	0.048309	0.006375452
GO:0071365	cellular response to auxin stimulus	GOBP	8	0 048309	0 008146711

 Table 2: GSEA of significantly changing phosphoproteins at the D-L and L-D transition.
 GSEA was performed using SetRank (corr P value ≤ 0.01 ; FDR ≤ 0.05 , minProt = 2).

Table 3

Table 3	: Proteins	involved	in	plant	cell	processes	with	independent	changes	in
abundance and/or phosphorylation.										

Biological Process	AGI	Name	Description	Abundance (A) / Phosphorylation (P)
	AT5G54940	elF1	Translation initiation factor SUI1 family protein	A
	AT1G72340	elF2Bc-a	NagB/RpiA/CoA transferase-like superfamily protein	A
	AT1G27400	RPL17A	Ribosomal protein L22p/L17e family protein	A
	AT1G33120	RPL9B	Ribosomal protein L6 family	A
	AT4G10450	RPL9D	Ribosomal protein L6 family	A
	AT1G77940	RPL30B	Ribosomal protein L7Ae/L30e/S12e/Gadd45 family	A
	AT3G45030	RPS20A	Ribosomal protein S10p/S20e family protein	A
	AT5G64140	RPS28C	Ribosomal protein S28	A
	AT3G02560	RPS7B	Ribosomal protein S7e family protein	A
	AT1G25260		Ribosomal protein L10 family protein	A
	AT5G24490	mito30S	30S ribosomal protein	A
Translation	AT1G07830	mitoL29	Ribosomal protein L29 family protein	A
	AT4G11120	mitoEF-Ts	Translation elongation factor Ts (EF-Ts)	A
	AT3G08740	chloroEF-P	Elongation factor P (EF-P) family protein	A
	AT5G54600	chloroL24	Translation protein SH3-like family protein	A
	AT1G13020	elF4B	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4B2	P
	AT3G13920	elF4A	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A1	P
	AT5G38640	elF2Bc-d	NagB/RpiA/CoA transferase-like superfamily protein	P
	AT3G13920	elF4A	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A1	P
	AT4G20980	elF3-d	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit 7	P
	AT4G31700	RPS6A	Ribosomal protein S6	P
	AT5G10360	RPS6B	Ribosomal protein S6e	P
	AT2G23350	PABP	Poly(A) binding protein 4	P
Fatty Acid & Lipid	AT2G33150	KAT2/PKT3 MFP2/AIM1-	Peroxisomal 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase 3	A
Biosynthesis	AT3G15290	like	3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase family protein	A
	AT1G77760	NIA1	Nitrate reductase 1	Р
	AT1G37130	NIA2	Nitrate reductase 2	Р
Primary Metabolism	AT2G42600	PEPC2	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase 2	Р
	AT3G14940	PEPC3	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase 3	P
	AT5G20280	SPS1F	Sucrose phosphate synthase 1F	Р
Carbohydrate Metabolism	AT1G32900	GBSS1	Granule bound starch synthase 1	A
	AT5G19220	ADG2	ADP glucose pyrophosphorylase	A
	AT1G03310	DBE1	Debranching enzyme 1	A
	AT3G23920	BAM1	Beta-amylase 1	Р
	AT5G09870	CESA5	Cellulose synthase 5	Р
Cell wall	AT3G07330	CSLC6	Cellulose-synthase-like C6	Р
	AT2G18960	HA1	H*-ATPase 1	Р