

Angiographic Scoring System for Predicting Successful Percutaneous Coronary Intervention of In-Stent Chronic Total Occlusion

Lian Gong¹, Hongyu Peng², Zheng Wu², Wenzheng Li², Yun Lv², Yuan Lv², Ze Zheng², Tao An³, Jing Zhang⁴, Mingrui Lv⁴, Xin Li⁴, Hangyu Gong⁵, Yi Mao³, and jinghua liu²

¹Beijing An Zhen Hospital

²Capital Medical University Affiliated Anzhen Hospital

³Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College Fuwai Hospital

⁴Fifth Peoples Hospital of Dalian

⁵Dalian fifth people's hospital

July 7, 2020

Abstract

BACKGROUND No scoring models for IS-CTO have been established because of its in-stent characteristics. The purpose of this study was to develop a scoring model to predict the technical success of recanalizing in-stent chronic total occlusion (IS-CTO) by percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). **METHODS** We retrospectively collected data from 474 patients who underwent an IS-CTO PCI from January 2015 to December 2018, consecutively. We selected clinical and angiographic factors and utilized a derivation and validation cohort (4:1 sampling ratio) analysis. Factors with strong correlations with technical failure, according to multivariable analysis, were assigned 1 point, and a scoring system with a 4-point maximum was established. The model was then validated with a validation cohort. **RESULTS** The overall procedural success rate was 77.4%. On multivariable analysis, the factors that correlated with technical failure were proximal bending (beta coefficient $[\beta] = 2.142$), tortuosity ($\beta = 2.622$), stent under expansion ($\beta = 3.052$), and poor distal landing zone ($\beta = 2.004$). The IS-CTO score demonstrated good calibration and excellent predicting capacity in the derivation (receiver-operator characteristic [ROC] area = 0.973 and Hosmer-Lemeshow Chi-squared = 5.252; $p = 0.072$) and validation (ROC area = 0.976 and Hosmer-Lemeshow Chi-squared = 0.916; $p = 0.632$) cohorts. In the validation subset, the IS-CTO score demonstrated superior performance to the J-CTO and PROGRESS CTO scores for predicting technical success (area under the a curve [AUC] 0.976 vs 0.662 vs 0.579, respectively; difference in AUC between the IS-CTO score and J-CTO score = 0.314, $p < 0.01$; difference in AUC between the IS-CTO score and PROGRESS score = 0.397, $p < 0.01$). **CONCLUSIONS** Our results suggest that the IS-CTO score system is a helpful tool to predict the technical success of IS-CTO PCI. **Key words:** In-stent chronic total occlusion; Percutaneous coronary intervention; Predicting factor; Scoring sys

Hosted file

IJCP.docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/339949/articles/467727-angiographic-scoring-system-for-predicting-successful-percutaneous-coronary-intervention-of-in-stent-chronic-total-occlusion>

Hosted file

\begin{CJK}{UTF8}{gbsn}.\end{CJK}\selectlanguage{english}docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/339949/articles/467727-angiographic-scoring-system-for-predicting-successful-percutaneous-coronary-intervention-of-in-stent-chronic-total-occlusion>

Hosted file

\begin{CJK}{UTF8}{gbsn}.\end{CJK}\selectlanguage{english}docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/339949/articles/467727-angiographic-scoring-system-for-predicting-successful-percutaneous-coronary-intervention-of-in-stent-chronic-total-occlusion>