

Medication related jaw osteonecrosis (MRONJ) late onset 3 years after IPILIMUMAB endovenous administration: a possible role of target therapy

Agostino Guida¹, Francesco Perri², Franco Ionna¹, Paolo A Ascierto¹, and Antonio Maria Grimaldi¹

¹INT – IRCCS “Fondazione G. Pascale”, Naples, Italy

²Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione Pascale

July 16, 2020

Abstract

Ipilimumab has been associated to medication related jaw osteonecrosis (MRONJ) only two times in literature. A woman underwent therapy with ipilimumab for Metastatic Melanoma in 2015 and she developed MRONJ in 2018. She was successfully treated with medical therapy alone. Vigilance in dental management of these patients is needed.

Title: Medication related jaw osteonecrosis (MRONJ) late onset 3 years after IPILIMUMAB endovenous administration: a possible role of target therapy.

Authors: Agostino Guida (DMD, PhD, Consultant)¹, Francesco Perri (MD, Consultant)², Franco Ionna¹ (MD, Head), Paolo A Ascierto³(MD, Head), Antonio M Grimaldi³ (MD, Consultant)

Affiliation: 1. Maxillo-facial and ENT Surgery Unit, INT – IRCCS “Fondazione G. Pascale”, Naples, Italy
2. Head & Neck/Thyroid Medical Oncology Unit, INT – IRCCS “Fondazione G. Pascale”, Naples, Italy 3. Melanoma, Oncological Immunotherapy and Innovative Therapies Department, INT – IRCCS “Fondazione G. Pascale”, Naples, Italy

Corresponding author: Francesco Perri, Head & Neck/Thyroid Medical Oncology Unit, INT – IRCCS “Fondazione G. Pascale”, Naples, Italy, INT – IRCCS “Fondazione G. Pascale”, via M. Semmola 53, 80131 Naples, Italy; ph./fax N.: +39815903464; e-mail address:f.perri@istitutotumori.na.it

Abstract

Background: The number of medication which may cause osteonecrosis of the jaws is increasing. Up until now, Ipilimumab has been associated to MRONJ only two times in literature.

Case presentation: A woman underwent endovenous chemotherapy with ipilimumab in 2015 for Metastatic Melanoma. In 2018, whilst she was undergoing target therapy (vemurafenib + cobimetinib), after wisdom tooth extraction, she developed MRONJ. She was successfully treated with medical therapy alone.

Conclusion: Ongoing target-therapy may have played a role in MRONJ late onset. Caution and vigilance in dental management of patients treated with novel MRONJ-related chemotherapy are needed. Multidisciplinary evaluation is advised.

Key words: Bisphosphonate-associated Osteonecrosis, Medically Compromised Patients, Medicament related osteonecrosis of the jaw, Ipilimumab, Target-therapy, Vemurafenib, Cobimetinib, Osteonecrosis

Background

First reported cases of non-healing exposed bone in the maxillofacial region were recognized by oral and maxillofacial surgeons in patients treated with intravenous (IV) bisphosphonates (BP).¹ During 2004, Novartis (Basel, Switzerland), manufacturer of pamidronate (Aredia) and zoledronic acid (Zometa) – two IV BPs – labelled this product as at risk for osteonecrosis of the jaws (ONJ).² Consequently, the subsequent year a warning followed for all BP drug class to be at risk for ONJ, which was re-named as bisphosphonate-related ONJ (BRONJ).³

Since then, other BP and medications from other classes have been related to the development of ONJ, including denosumab (humanized monoclonal antibody blocking the activation of receptors for nuclear factor $\alpha\beta$ ligand), bevacizumab (humanized monoclonal antibody), antiangiogenic medications - sunitinib (tyrosine kinase inhibitor).⁴⁻¹⁰ Additionally, case reports have indicated possible association between ONJ and azacitidine, imatinib, everolimus, ziv-aflibercept and ipilimumab.¹¹⁻¹⁷ With the advent of these new classes of medications, the condition is now more aptly known as medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (MRONJ).¹ Both pathogenesis and associated risk factors not fully comprehended, especially for non-BP drugs related to ONJ, which may have very few cases reported in literature, such as ipilimumab.

Ipilimumab is a monoclonal antibody directed against the CTLA4 receptor, present on activated T lymphocytes. The resulting binding causes an increase of lymphocyte T activity directed against melanoma cell, which are therefore destructed. The antibody is administered intravenously at a dose of 3 mg / kg every 3 weeks, for 4 cycles. Approval of ipilimumab was based on a randomized three-arms phase III study which compared ipilimumab with a vaccine-therapy (gp100) and with the combination¹⁸, showing improved overall survival in patients undergoing ipilimumab. Ipilimumab is associated with the risk of immune-related side effects; sixty percent of immune-related adverse events were recorded in the study population. Approximately 15% of patients experienced grade 3 or 4 adverse events. Dermatitis was the most frequent immune-related event, and diarrhea the most dangerous (perforation risk if not promptly treated); severe cases should be treated with high-dose corticosteroids.

In present scientific literature, there are two reported cases of MRONJ onset in patients treated with ipilimumab alone and 1 in a patient treated with concomitant denosumab + ipilimumab.^{12,17} MRONJ onset in all cases during ipilimumab therapy or shortly after the conclusion of it.

In this case report, we describe the onset of MRONJ three years after the conclusion of treatment with ipilimumab.

Case presentation

In November 2018, a 58 years-old-woman with BRAF-mutated metastatic melanoma, treated at the Immunotherapy Unit of Our Institute, was referred to our Oral Pathology Outpatient Clinic. During a regular follow-up visit, the patient reported that she was experiencing severe pain in the oral cavity since four months due to a non-healing alveolus, after the extraction of the lower right third molar. During this time, the patient had been treated by her dentist for an alveolar osteitis (AO, dry sockets) with one-week cycle of Amoxicilline + Clavulanic Acid (2.25 + 0.75 grams/day per os) and Chlorexidine 0.2% mouthwash daily socket irrigation. She referred that she had repeated this therapy three times during those months, continuing Chlorexidine 0.2% mouthwash daily socket irrigation among the antibiotic cycles. She referred that, occasionally, she also underwent application of zinc oxide eugenol in her alveolus. Furthermore, the patient had used Chlorexidine 0.12% mouth rinse since day before the extraction. Every treatment tried up until now had been unsuccessful. She had no extra-oral sign of swelling nor of ongoing abscess. Intraorally, clinical inspection confirmed the presence of a non-healed alveolar socket; the bottom and the walls of the alveolus were clearly visible, made of non-vascularized non-suppurated bone, surrounded by swollen mucosa (figure 1).

Figure 1: Intraoral inspection revealed the non-healing alveolus.

She exhibited a three-days old orthopantomography (OPT), which showed radiographic sign of a non-healed alveolus (figure 2).

Figure 2: Orthopantomography (OPT) exhibited from the patient during the first visit, revealing the non-healing alveolus 4 months after tooth extraction.

Her anamnesis was carefully harvested. The patient underwent a surgical resection of a cutaneous melanoma in 2009. Then, in 2015, for lung progression of disease, she was treated with ipilimumab (3mg/kg mg iv, every 3 weeks for 4 cycles) with complete remission of the disease. During the follow up, in 2017 the patient had hepatic progression and so, due to the presence of the BRAF mutation, she started the treatment with dabrafenib+trametinib (300 + 2 mg per os/die). Due to the G. 3 toxicity (fever) experienced by the patient the treatment was stopped and was replaced with vemurafenib + cobimetinib (vemurafenib: 1920 per os/die for 3 months, then 1440 mg per os/die; cobimetinib: 60 mg per os/die for three weeks then 1 week pause), still ongoing. She was then taking 1440 mg vemurafenib + 60 mg cobimetinib per os/die at the moment of her tooth extraction. She had no history of smoking nor Head & Neck Radiotherapy. Among all the medications she had undergone, ipilimumab was the only one which has been related to MRONJ.^{12,17} Staging of the MRONJ was thus performed; being the alveolus completely surrounded by mucosa, it was evaluated to be a “stage 0 MRONJ” showing “no clinical evidence of necrotic bone but nonspecific clinical findings, radiographic changes, and symptoms”¹. She was thus treated accordingly, starting a treatment with amoxicilline + metronidazole (3 + 1.5 g per os/die) and chlorhexidine 0.2% mouth rinse twice a day; paracetamol (1g per os) was prescribed in case of pain. During the two-weeks follow-up visit, the patient showed clinical improvement. She referred the ejection of a 10 x 5 mm bone sequestrum after 6 days of therapy and that her symptoms had therefore disappeared. The clinical examination evidenced still an incomplete alveolar healing. Two additional weeks of therapy were prescribed and, after that, the patient obtained a complete healing of the defect. Treatment for the MRONJ was stopped and the patient was regularly followed-up monthly. After 4 months, a new OPT showed complete healing of the alveolus (figures 3 and 4).

Figure 3: Complete clinical resolution after 4 weeks of antibiotic/disinfectant therapy (amoxicilline + metronidazole -3 + 1.5 g per os/die- and chlorhexidine 0.2% mouth rinse).

Figure 4: Radiographic appearance at OPT after 4 months follow-up, showing complete bone healing.

Discussion and Conclusions

Several new medications have been added to the potential-cause of MRONJ drug list. Among these, ipilimumab has been reported in three published clinical cases as a possible cause of MRONJ, two as agent therapy and one in association with denosumab.^{12,18} As far as literature reports, MRONJ onset in all cases during ipilimumab therapy or shortly after the conclusion. Our patient suspended the treatment with ipilimumab 3 years before. Dabrafenib, trametinib, vemurafenib and cobimetinib –the other chemotherapy drugs taken by the patient- have never been reported as possible cause of MRONJ.

Therefore, diagnosis was the first issue we encountered in the management of this case. For the symptoms and the clinical presentation, the differential diagnosis was between alveolar osteitis (dry socket, AO), MRONJ and osteoradionecrosis (ORN). ORN was the first possibility to be discarded as the patients had no history of Head & Neck radiotherapy. AO was carefully taken into account prior to start any therapy. The clinical and radiographic appearance was indeed compatible with such disease which is defined as “postoperative pain in and around the extraction site, which increases in severity at any time between one and three days after the extraction, associated with a partially or totally disintegrated blood clot within the alveolar socket, with or without halitosis”.¹⁹ Still, as a matter of fact, most recent meta-analyses show that AO therapy should be more symptomatic^{19,20} rather than therapeutic, as there is no full comprehension of its pathogenesis and, above all, it is considered as a “self-limiting” disease. The antibiotic therapy prescribed by the dentist of the patient resulted useless, and no improvement was observed after 4 months even with topic injection of chlorhexidine and zinc oxide eugenol, which are reported between the most successful treatment for AO.^{19,20} As reported in literature, after the diagnosis, AO, regardless of the therapy, tends to remit in a period of

days or weeks, most commonly²⁰, whilst there is no report in scientific literature of AO persisting for several months. AO was thus discarded in the differential diagnosis process.

Furthermore, the presence of a bone sequestrum related to a non-healing post-extraction socket, not visible at the first inspection but ejected during antibiotic therapy, is an event more compatible with MRONJ (which was possibly a stage 1 rather than a stage 0, as we evaluated during our first visit) rather than AO.

As reported before, amongst the various anticancer therapies agents administered to the patient, ipilimumab was the only drug which could be related to MRONJ.

Ipilimumab was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in March 2011 as an immunotherapy for the management of advanced (unresectable or metastatic) melanoma patients.¹² Ipilimumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody against cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen-4 (CTLA-4). CTLA-4 is expressed both in activated T-cells and in suppressor T-regulatory cells, binding to antigen presenting cells and therefore diminishing T-cell responses. The block of the CTLA-4 is able to improve the antitumor responses of activated T-cells. The result is a significant incremented survival in patients with metastatic melanoma undergoing ipilimumab.^{18,21} The immune response induced by ipilimumab with only 4 cycles of treatment (about 2 months of therapy) can persist for many years, inducing a kind of vaccination against metastatic melanoma. In literature there are reported cases of ipilimumab -related ONJ occurred during or shortly after the end of the systemic therapy. The authors suggested that that Ipilimumab may have been involved in the process of bone necrosis by empowering the number of systemic activated T-cells presence. CTLA4 deficient activated T-cells have been shown to be associated with osteonecrosis, as activated T-cells may ignite osteoclastogenesis via osteoprotegerin ligand, resulting in bone loss.²² Trauma from regular oral activity or oral surgery (e.g. tooth extraction) could increase the demand on this vulnerable bone to mend itself, resulting in localized bone necrosis.¹²

Ipilimumab is known to have a 14.7 day blood half-life²³ while the patient described in our case had completed Ipilimumab treatment 3 years before. As we have seen, the real advantage of the drug is in the long-term efficacy with about 20% of patients alive at 5, 7 and 10 years after treatment completion. This long term efficacy is due to the immune-responses induced by checkpoint inhibitors. Still, just like the anti-cancer effects, side effects can last for many years.¹² It is conceivable that, similarly to pruritus, diarrhea, vitiligo, hepatitis, and endocrinopathies, MRONJ may be also a late side effect under certain circumstances. We suggest that the MRONJ onset may have been co-caused by the ongoing target therapy (vemurafenib + cobimetinib) of the patient. The effect of BRAF and MEK inhibitors in BRAF-mutant melanoma can lead to an immune stimulatory microenvironment by enhancing expression of immune stimulatory molecules and cytokines, reducing immunosuppressive cell populations, and decreasing immunosuppressive cytokines. The cell damage to the tumor by the target therapy may have induced a tumor-antigen spreading, re-stimulating T-CELL activity whose response had been increased and modulated by the effect of ipilimumab. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that anti-BRAF therapy enhances the reactivity and cytotoxicity of T cells^{24,25}. The re-activation of such empowered T-CELL clones may have lead the patient into a window of time in which she was at risk for MRONJ, similarly to when the patient was on treatment with ipilimumab.

Conclusions

In addition to well-known medications, MRONJ may be a major adverse reaction to several new-generation anti-cancer drugs. This drugs may have unexpected mechanisms, being their pharmacodynamics not fully-comprehended up until now. Even if this paper reports of a single event— in addition to the few other cases reported in literature of ipilimumab MRONJ, the authors recommend caution and strict vigilance in the dental management of patients treated with novel chemotherapy drugs, reported to be at risk for MRONJ. Multidisciplinary evaluation is thus strongly advised; cooperation between the Oncologist and the Dentist/Oral & Maxillofacial Surgeon may help in taking the best decision in the patient's interest, ensuring the best possible result in the management of relatively recent drugs, which may cause unpredictable side effects. The administration of the prophylactic antibiotic protocol (amoxicilline + metronidazole; 3 + 1.5 g per os/die) may be arranged in accordance between the Surgeon and the Oncologist, with the best possible

evaluation of both oral and systemic condition. Such cooperation may reduce the occurrence of adverse events which, as we have shown in our paper, may result in patient's discomfort and pain. Further studies are needed on a large number of cases, in order to fully understand the relation between ipilimumab and MRONJ, and the possible interference of target-therapy.

List of abbreviation

Bisphosphonates (BP); osteonecrosis of the jaws (ONJ); bisphosphonate-related ONJ (BRONJ); medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (MRONJ); alveolar osteitis (AO); orthopantomography (OPT); osteoradionecrosis (ORN).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

Authors received no funding source nor financial support/grants by any institutional, private or corporate entity.

Authors' contributions

AG and AMG crafted the manuscript. FP revised the manuscript. FI and PAA performed scientific supervision.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

1. Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Fantasia J, et al. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons Position Paper on Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw—2014 Update. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2014; 72:1938-1956.
2. Hohnacker JA. DearDoctor. PrecautionsAddedto the LabelofAredia and Zometa. East Hanover, NJ, Novartis Oncology, 2004. p 2
3. United States Food and Drug Administration, Office of Drug Safety: Postmarketing safety review. Bisphosphonates. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/05/briefing/20054095B2_03_-04-FDA-Tab3.pdf. Accessed October 09, 2019
4. Lipton A, Steger GG, Figueroa J, et al. Randomized activecontrolled phase II study of denosumab efficacy and safety in patients with breast cancer-related bone metastases. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; 25:4431-4437.
5. Stopeck AT, Lipton A, Body JJ, et al. Denosumab compared with zoledronic acid for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced breast cancer: a randomized, double-blind study. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; 28:5132-5139.

6. Malan J, Ettinger K, Naumann E, Beirne OR. The relationship of denosumab pharmacology and osteonecrosis of the jaws. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2012;114:671-676.
7. Owosho AA, Blanchard A, Levi L, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw in patients treated with denosumab for metastatic tumors to the bone: a series of thirteen patients. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2016; 44:265-270.
8. Fleissig Y, Regev E, Lehman H. Sunitinib related osteonecrosis of jaw: a case report. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2012;113:e1-e3.
9. Estilo CL, Fornier M, Farooki A, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw related to bevacizumab. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:4037-4038.
10. Nicolatou-Galitis O, Migkou M, Psyrri A, et al. Gingival bleeding and jaw bone necrosis in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma receiving sunitinib: report of 2 cases with clinical implications. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2012;113:234-238.
11. Kim DW, Jung YS, Park HS, Jung HD. Osteonecrosis of the jaw related to everolimus: a case report. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2013;51:e302-e304.
12. Owosho AA, Scordo M, Yom SK, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw a new complication related to Ipilimumab. *Oral Oncol* 2015;51: e100-e101.
13. Ponzetti A, Pinta F, Spadi R, et al. Jaw osteonecrosis associated with aflibercept, irinotecan and fluorouracil: attention to oral district. *Tumori* 2016;102.
14. Mawardi H, Enzinger P, McCleary N, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw associated with ziv-aflibercept. *J Gastrointest Oncol* 2016; 7:E81-E87.
15. Nicolatou-Galitis O, Galiti D, Moschogianni M, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw in a patient with acute myeloid leukemia, who received azacitidine. *J Cancer Metasta Treat.* 2016;2:220-223.
16. Nicolatou-Galitis O, Razis E, Galiti D, Vardas E, Tzerbos F, Labropoulos S. Osteonecrosis of the jaw in a patient with chronic myelogenous leukemia receiving imatinib: a case report with clinical implications. *Forum Clin Oncol* 2013;4:29-33.
17. Owosho AA, Liang STY, Sax AZ, et al. Medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: An update on the memorial sloan kettering cancer center experience and the role of premedication dental evaluation in prevention. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2018;125:440-445
18. Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, et al. Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 363: 711-23.
19. Kolokythas A, Olech E, Miloro M. Alveolar Osteitis: A Comprehensive Review of Concepts and Controversies. *Int J Dent* 2010;2010:249073.
20. Taberner-Vallverdú M, Nazir M, Sánchez-Garcés MÁ, et al. Efficacy of different methods used for dry socket management: A systematic review. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2015;20(5):e633-9.
21. Prieto PA, Yang JC, Sherry RM, et al. CTLA-4 blockade with ipilimumab: long-term follow-up of 177 patients with metastatic melanoma. *Clinical Cancer Res: Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res* 2012;18:2039-47.
22. Kong YY, Feige U, Sarosi I, et al. Activated T cells regulate bone loss and joint destruction in adjuvant arthritis through osteoprotegerin ligand. *Nature* 1999;402:304-9.
23. Burki TK. Ipilimumab prolongs survival in advanced melanoma. *Lancet Oncol* 2016;17(11):e481
24. Donia M, Fagone P, Nicoletti F, et al. BRAF inhibition improves tumor recognition by the immune system: Potential implications for combinatorial therapies against melanoma involving adoptive T-cell transfer. *Oncoimmunology* 2012; 1(9):1476-1483.
25. Boni A, Cogdill AP, Dang P, et al. Selective BRAFV600E inhibition enhances T-cell recognition of melanoma without affecting lymphocyte function. *Cancer Res* 2010;70(13):5213-9.





