# From a crisis to an opportunity: Eight insights for doing science in the Covid-19 era and beyond

Julia Chacón Labella <sup>1</sup>, Mickey Boakye<sup>2</sup>, Brian Enquist<sup>3</sup>, William Farfan-Rios<sup>4</sup>, Ragnhild Gya<sup>5</sup>, Aud Halbritter<sup>5</sup>, Sara Middleton<sup>6</sup>, Jonathan von Oppen<sup>7</sup>, Samuel Pastor-Ploskonka<sup>8</sup>, Tanya Strydom<sup>9</sup>, Vigdis Vandvik<sup>5</sup>, and Sonya Geange<sup>5</sup>

July 25, 2020

#### Abstract

The COVID-19 crisis has forced researchers in Ecology to change the way we work almost overnight. Nonetheless, the pandemic has provided us with several novel components for a new way of conducting international Science. In this perspective piece, we summarize eight central insights that are helping us, as early career researchers, navigate the uncertainties, fears and challenges of advancing Science during the COVID-19 pandemic. We highlight how innovative, collaborative and often Open Science-driven developments that have arisen from this crisis can form a blueprint for a community reinvention in academia. Our insights include personal approaches to managing our new reality, maintaining capacity to focus and resilience in our projects, and a variety of tools that facilitate remote collaboration. We also highlight how, at a community level, we can take advantage of online communication platforms for gaining accessibility to conferences and meetings, and for maintaining research networks and community engagement while promoting a more diverse and inclusive community. Overall, we are confident that these practices can support a more inclusive and kinder scientific culture for the longer term.

**Title:** From a crisis to an opportunity: Eight insights for doing science in the Covid-19 era and beyond

**Authors:** Julia Chacón-Labella\*<sup>1</sup>, Mickey Boakye<sup>2</sup>, Brian J. Enquist<sup>1,3</sup>, William Farfan-Rios<sup>4,5</sup>, Ragnhild Gya<sup>6,7</sup>, Aud H. Halbritter<sup>6,7</sup>, Sara L. Middleton<sup>8</sup>, Jonathan von Oppen<sup>9,10</sup>, Samuel Pastor-Ploskonka<sup>11</sup>, Tanya Strydom<sup>12</sup>, Vigdis Vandvik<sup>6,7</sup>, Sonya R. Geange<sup>6</sup>

Authors should be considered equal lead

\* Corresponding Author:

Julia Chacon-Labella

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of Arizona

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>University of California Berkeley

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>University of Arizona, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Washington University in Saint Louis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>University of Bergen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>University of Oxford

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Aarhus University

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Stockholm University Faculty of Natural Sciences

 ${\bf Email:}\ juliachacon@gmail.com$ 

Phone: (1) 520 561 7372

#### Author's affiliation:

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Arizona, USA
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Environmental Science Policy and Management, University of California, Berkeley, USA
- <sup>3</sup> The Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Rd, Santa Fe, NM 87501, USA.
- <sup>4</sup> Center for Conservation and Sustainable Development, Missouri Botanical Garden, St Louis, USA
- <sup>5</sup> Living Earth Collaborative, Washington University, St Louis, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- <sup>7</sup> Bjerknes Center for Climate Research, Bergen, Allegaten 70, 5007 Bergen, Norway
- <sup>8</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, UK
- <sup>9</sup> Section for Ecoinformatics & Biodiversity, Department of Biology, Aarhus University, Aarhus C, Denmark
- 10 Center for Biodiversity Dynamics in a Changing World, Department of Biology, Aarhus, University, Aarhus C, Denmark
- <sup>11</sup> Herbario Nacional de Bolivia. Universidad Mayor de San Andres. Calle 27. Cota Cota. La Paz, Bolivia.
- <sup>12</sup> Department of Ecology, Environment and Plant Sciences, Stockholm University, Sweden

#### ORCiD

J. Chacon-Labella: 0000-0002-6787-1295

M. Boakye: 0000-0002-6557-9928

B.J. Enquist: 0000-0002-6124-7096

W. Farfan-Rios: 0000-0002-3196-0317

R. Gya: 0000-0002-2483-2069

A.H. Halbritter: 0000-0003-2597-6328S.L. Middleton: 0000-0001-5307-8029J. von Oppen: 0000-0001-6346-2964

S. Pastor-Ploskonka: 0000-0001-8505-7660

T. Strydom: 0000-0001-6067-1349V. Vandvik: 0000-0003-4651-4798S.R. Geange: 0000-0001-5344-7234

Keywords: data sharing, early career, inclusivity, networking, online collaboration, skill development.

# Abstract

The COVID-19 crisis has forced researchers in Ecology to change the way we work almost overnight. Nonetheless, the pandemic has provided us with several novel components for a new way of conducting international Science. In this perspective piece, we summarize eight central insights that are helping us, as early career researchers, navigate the uncertainties, fears and challenges of advancing Science during the COVID-19 pandemic. We highlight how innovative, collaborative and often Open Science-driven developments that

have arisen from this crisis can form a blueprint for a community reinvention in academia. Our insights include personal approaches to managing our new reality, maintaining capacity to focus and resilience in our projects, and a variety of tools that facilitate remote collaboration. We also highlight how, at a community level, we can take advantage of online communication platforms for gaining accessibility to conferences and meetings, and for maintaining research networks and community engagement while promoting a more diverse and inclusive community. Overall, we are confident that these practices can support a more inclusive and kinder scientific culture for the longer term.

#### Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic is pushing the world into a multidimensional crisis that is disrupting global education and research (IAU~2020, Witze~2020, World~Bank~2020). Closed institutions and facilities, travel bans, hiring freezes, suspended meetings, a sudden shift to online teaching, visa restrictions, and limits to field campaigns are just a few of the multiple challenges that educators and researchers face. How long-lasting and severe these impacts will be for a typically field- and lab-based, international, and collaborative field such as Ecology is still unknown. At the same time, other well-known issues in academia such as inequalities based on gender (Costa~2020), ethnicity and sociocultural background (Dennis~et~al.~2005), and challenges for researchers in developing countries (Moakofhi~et~al.~2017) are now exacerbated as a result of the actions to prevent the spread of COVID-19.

As the pandemic is affecting almost every aspect of our profession, the many challenges and consequences of working in academia during COVID-19 have been thoroughly discussed (Malisch et al. 2020, Myers et al. 2020, Staniscuaski et al. 2020, Walker et al. 2020). However, the scientific community may be missing out on opportunities for strengthened collaboration and reciprocal support by not sufficiently discussing the positive aspects and unique opportunities that have emerged for doing science during these new circumstances. The current COVID-19 crisis provides us with a unique opportunity to gain new perspectives on how we have done science in the past - what did not work so well, what the barriers were to conducting science - and to explore what we can gain from this experience to improve our future. If 'necessity is the mother of invention', then, in the light of COVID-19, we find ourselves with a learning-by-doing window of opportunity. Specifically, the innovative, collaborative and Open Science responses that are currently being developed may help to promote a community reinvention in academia.

We are a diverse group of mostly Early Career Researchers (ECRs), who met during an international post-graduate field-course on plant functional traits11https://plantfunctionaltraitscourses.w.uib.no/, which was suddenly disrupted by the pandemic (see Cotner et al. 2020). This experience, in addition to adjusting to the new normality of remote-based working once we returned home, inspired us to reflect on the creative problem-solving approaches being taken to maintain focus, along with establishing and maintaining partnerships. Here, we summarize eight central insights learned from our own experience of doing research and collaborating internationally in the era of COVID-19 that are helping us to continue working as ECRs in ecology during the pandemic (summarized in Figure 1). We focus on 1) how to navigate the current uncertainties, fears and challenges of working under a new reality, and 2) how new practices for remote collaboration can serve as components of a new way of conducting international Science during and in a post-pandemic world. We argue that even in isolation, there are multiple opportunities for doing cutting edge collaborative science and scientific outreach.

# The importance of Resilience: Replan, redirect, reschedule

One of the biggest challenges of the pandemic is the inability for many to either complete field campaigns or have access to lab facilities and equipment. For example, losing just one year of data can be damaging for the ability of many researchers, particularly ECRs who have limited timeframes to complete their training or research projects (Inouye et al. 2020, Paula 2020). ECRs that have to cancel their field studies or do not have access to lab equipment are especially vulnerable in our discipline compared to established researchers, as training and hands-on experience is usually gained through field campaigns and lab work. Here, the first step towards not only maintaining research activity, but incorporating this new reality into planning for the

future, is to realize that every project suffers bottlenecks that delays in its completion, and that these are often beyond our control (Bielczyk et al. 2018). A useful starting point is to invest some time to reflect on the research priorities of the project, and to determine which parts should be focused on and which can and may need to be rescheduled or changed. A first question to ask to start this thought process is "what really needs to happen this year; this field season; this upcoming week?" Maybe it is not possible to collect all the data that were planned, so prioritizing the essentials is important. Indeed, instilling a routine of constantly rehashing the central research goals, (re)prioritizing goals, and not being afraid to update or change them is an essential part of building personal resilience (*McEwen 2012*).

Personal resilience is the ability to positively adapt to adversity (Fletcher et al. 2013). Building personal resilience is a key trait for researchers, and COVID-19 has only underscored its importance. For many ECRs, rescheduling projects may seem too disappointing or may even not be an option, and having to redirect the research focus may seem challenging if not insurmountable. If project reframing is required, it is important to think carefully and draw upon the support of supervisors and colleagues, in order to balance productivity and progress in redirecting research with topics that still are of interest and maintain engagement. In such cases, initiating conversations with supervisors and colleagues can provide a different perspective on a problem. Don't be afraid to think big or to share fears - enabling mutual understanding is crucial, and especially more experienced colleagues are likely to have gone through similar situations in their careers. Discussing with peers (i.e. in a lab meeting) the main goals and hypotheses to be tested in the project will promote reflection on what the bigger picture was, and enable easier identification of which goals can still be accomplished. Engaging with colleagues will provide feedback from different perspectives, helping us to think outside the box, and eventually yielding new ideas.

#### Difficulties to focus?: The power of doing something every day

While we find ourselves in these uncertain and stressful times, it can be difficult to know what we should expect from ourselves in terms of productivity and focus. Here, it's important to acknowledge that outside influences can play a big role in how we feel. Between the camps of 'this is a time to be extremely productive' and 'this is a time of great despair' there is a lot of scope for personal and professional victories. For many of us, one of the big issues we are facing is the inability to focus. We are all adapting to how this pandemic is affecting our home and work lives ( $Ahmad\ 2020a,b$ ). Our capacity to maintain focus during this time should be seen as a learning process and an inability to focus should not be seen as a failure. We don't know how long this crisis will last, so it is vital that, as we adjust to this 'new normal', we prioritize our own mental and physical wellbeing ( $Ahmad\ 2020b$ ). This means ensuring we maintain social interactions, in person or virtual, engage in exercise, and do things that provide psychological relief.

Still, workplace responsibilities do not disappear, even if we may not be in the actual physical workplace just now. With this in mind, what strategies are available to help us, as we focus on how to ease back into work? Approaches may involve trying to do something every day, or breaking down tasks into small goals, making to-do-lists where small tasks can be ticked off, and establishing a clear daily or weekly routine. Such small and steady approaches help maintain momentum and provide a sense of accomplishment. During lockdown, a key part of developing such routines is being able to maintain the distinction between home and office. Even when working from home one could commit to 'virtual commutes' (if possible): for example a 15-minute walk before and after work to separate the day. It is also important to take breaks - enjoy that lunch hour, or a cup of coffee. Having a designated office space, or setup, may also help maintain focus. Lastly, as we find ourselves with more online meetings, it could be advantageous to set aside meeting-free days or afternoons, so as to reduce distractions and have blocks of time to dedicate to tasks that demand more focus.

There are also ways to work together to promote productivity and focus in lab or research groups, many of which also support developing strong group dynamics. One option is to establish daily morning check-ins, where all lab members meet virtually for 15 minutes to briefly discuss how everyone is doing, outline the day's plan, and share other news. These short meetings can help set the tone for the working day, and also promote focus and productivity through peer feedback and accountability. Other possibilities could take

the form of 'virtual pomodoros' with colleagues, where there are 25 minutes of work followed by 5 minutes to relax and chat. By hearing and seeing other people working, we are encouraged to keep focus, and we are rewarded with opportunities for conversation and access to colleagues to quickly check ideas or new developments. An extended version of this are virtual writing retreats, which can be a productive way to focus on a single piece of work (grant proposal, manuscript, a section of code), whilst also maintaining social contact.

# Cultivating your research portfolio

Early career researchers in academia often struggle to manage competing pressures. Bielczyk et al. (2018) provide useful guidelines for better self-management. One of their key suggestions is to envision our research activity as an "investment portfolio", diversifying the skills that we acquire so the inability to complete a project due to unforeseen events does not ruin our entire career or project. While traditionally a lot of the effort is devoted to data collection, now may be the time to circumvent the lack of access to labs and fields by reorganizing and prioritizing other career development skills or to revisit scientifically important tasks that are usually placed on standby. Consider expanding skill sets with participation in online courses, working on side projects, cleaning and documenting data that have been collected for years or finally finishing (and publishing) that endless paper.

An interesting option to consider when primary data collection is impeded is working on evidence synthesis, such as systematic reviews or meta-analysis. As evidence synthesis is being more integrated into the broader research community (Nakagawa et al. 2020) opportunities arise to better prioritize future research efforts (Grainger et al. 2020). Data synthesis approaches can help in 'closing the loop' of the research process that originated on a research question, by detecting when such questions have accumulated enough quality evidence and which are the research gaps that still need to be addressed (Grainger et al. 2020). For ECRs, building skills in evidence synthesis could bolster future employment opportunities and increase research impact. Training in evidence synthesis approaches could possibly replace literature reviews traditionally forming the initial chapter of many honors or doctoral theses (Mendez 2018). Evidence synthesis is also becoming increasingly crucial in the science-policy interface, both internationally through UN-mandated science synthesis approaches like the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPCC, IPBES), and on a more local scale through various regional and governmental mechanisms (examples: European Food Safety Authority, http://www.efsa.europa.eu/; Conservation Evidence, https://www.conservationevidence.com/).

#### Turn isolation into collaboration

As data collection is put on hold in many parts of the world, there is an increased opportunity to invest in open access to data, collaborative networks, and research infrastructure (Kituyi 2020). Collaborative projects can help to improve the quality of ecological research by allowing data sharing (creating larger datasets; Pannel et al. 2019), facilitating problem solving (Goring et al. 2014) and tackling global problems (i.e. global change) while generating a more integrative understanding of ecosystems (Pannel et al. 2019 ). To initiate new collaborations we need to be proactive, ask around if colleagues have data they might have had lying in the drawer for a while, seek funding to promote collaborations, or reach out to potential data sources or collaborators whose work looks interesting. Here, mentors and more senior colleagues may have an important role in facilitating networking and collaboration for ECRs (Oni et al. 2016, Gibson et al. 2019). In addition, Pannel et al. (2019) provide a list of initiatives and platforms to promote collaborations and interdisciplinary research that might help to connect ECRs. Alternatively, we should consider if our data are suitable for submitting to an existing collaborative network, or data repository. This could not only provide important opportunities for others, but also contribute to large-scale synthesis projects (as highlighted above), which will also increase the impact of our own work in the long term (Goodmanet al. 2014, Goring et al. 2014). As the pandemic has hit some countries harder than others, or has peaked at different times, there might be possibilities for someone elsewhere to replicate, or build upon, the project we had in mind, but either way, such collaborations will likely be fruitful at some point in the future.

With scientific endeavors increasingly drawing upon multi-disciplinary approaches, these may open further opportunities to collaborate. Interdisciplinary research becomes especially relevant when we are facing a complex problem that can be addressed from different perspectives. In the context of the COVID-19 crisis, many of the skills or resources available to ecological researchers could be redeployed (although we must be careful when applying ecological inference tools to other fields; Carlson et al. 2020). For example, a group of ecologists from the Biodiversity and Conservation Area at Universidad Rey Juan Carlos (Madrid, Spain) initiated an interdisciplinary project to develop a technique for COVID-19 diagnosis, now integrating ecologists and microbiologists as well as different public hospitals in Madrid. In their innovative approach, they are using Infrared spectrometry (Vis-NIRs), a technique generally employed to identify mineral nutrients and organic compounds in plant tissues or soil samples, to develop an inexpensive and reliable new testing method (http://boscalia.org/en/covinirs-2). Interdisciplinary projects can develop in any context and not necessarily for COVID-19, but this example highlights that these short-term opportunities, born out of necessity, may in the future become longer term collaborations.

#### Remote collaborations: online platforms, version control and reproducibility tools

The COVID-19 situation has shown that as researchers we can do a lot of our work and collaborate with other researchers remotely. But working remotely sometimes raises a lot of challenges in terms of "real-time" collaboration. Online collaboration tools enable us to work together efficiently, either through facilitating communication, the sharing or storage of files and documentation, and the capacity to work in a coordinated manner (*Burgio et al. 2020*). Tools for online collaboration must support the three main requirements of most ecology labs: 1) provide opportunities for real-time within-team communication, 2) enable open and reproducible data management and coding workflows, and 3) facilitate file sharing and collaborative documents.

As the most popular tools for remote team communication, we may recommend a combination of a video-conferencing app (many such apps exist, and they have become very popular during social distancing), and an online communication tool that allows group messaging. Together, these can work as a "virtual office", allowing quick and easy access to information and almost instant feedback from colleagues. An additional advantage of many messaging apps includes their capacity to integrate with a wide array of other remote collaboration tools such as shared drives, video conferencing apps, and shared online documents. Here, with online collaborative work, finding tools that support version control can be critical.

This is also a good time to build reproducible workflows that follow best-practice standards for project and data management, code development, and data analysis. Fortunately, excellent tools and guidelines exist to develop such reproducible workflows (see e.g. the British Ecological Society's (2017) Guide to Reproducible Code and references therein). In the time of COVID-19 crisis, reproducible workflows have the added value that they also promote online collaboration in that they allow for easy sharing and updating of data and materials. Some basic practices to facilitate remote collaboration in these terms include: 1) Establishing well-documented workflows, covering all steps from project planning and data collection up to the final analysis output; 2) Adopting transparent and reproducible practices, including clean and repeatable script-based workflows that facilitates contributing, and/or integrating new information using version control repositories; lastly 3) ensuring easy accessibility to data, protocols, methods or teaching materials. Large amounts of ecological data can be available for not only collaborators, but also for the broader research field using data sharing platforms or data repositories (facilitating their use of data in synthesis; Halbritter et al. 2020). Establishing these practices as habits early on can help ECRs to streamline their workflows and to interact with remote collaborators and supervisors efficiently.

#### Take advantage of online conference platforms and virtual meetings

The halting of many conferences due to the COVID-19 pandemic has forced the scientific community to explore online alternatives (*Titipat et al. 2020*, *Lortie 2020*). Although virtual conferences cannot replace in-person ones, and lack some of the face-to-face benefits (*Vekkaila et al. 2018*), they do hold many opportunities that could be explored by ECRs and the broader scientific community. For example, reduced

attendance fees and zero travel costs may enable greater access by students, or encourage researchers to explore conferences they might not otherwise consider attending. Furthermore, virtual conferences reduce VISA hassles for participants, which may often be more prohibitive for those from developing nations and, along with financial aspects, creates larger gaps between researchers from developing and developed countries (Bradley et al. 2020). Online conferences and virtual meetings also contribute to lowering researchers' carbon footprint (Klower et al.2020). Indeed, the format and content of online conferences is changing and developing fast as a response to the pandemic. The new online format is allowing useful and impactful interactions that enable better learning in some aspects than in-person meetings (Lortie 2020).

Another possible benefit of a shift towards online communication platforms is an increased opportunity for access to resources and networked communities, for example via virtual meeting spaces. Departmental seminars are a key way in which scientific insights are shared amongst the broader researcher community, and also provide excellent networking opportunities, particularly for ECR's. However, in the past, the capacity for institutes to invite and host guest seminar speakers has been primarily limited to those with bigger budgets. A shift towards online presentations would not only allow greater visibility for the presenter, but also enable increased access for departments/institutions where this may have been either a) too expensive, or b) locationally difficult, i.e. many southern hemisphere countries. In a similar vein, scientific workshops and resources can also be adopted, with many traditional face-to-face workshops already being shifted, or supported by online platforms.

#### Research networks and community engagement

In-person meetings with informal discussions remain the main way to foster collaborations and network, especially for ECRs (Pannell et al. 2019). However, during the past decade, academics have increasingly utilized both mainstream and academic-focused social networking sites to supplement in-person activities such as sharing research and networking (Jordan and Weller 2018). These platforms dissolve geographic borders, allowing researchers from across the world to share resources and engage with a variety of audiences outside their immediate academic institutions (Cheplygina et al. 2020). ECRs can improve their visibility and searchability by highlighting their research, skills, accomplishments and publications through curating an online profile (Tachibana 2014, Nentwich and Konig 2014). This could be via personal websites, or through registering on research platforms (e.g., ORCID, Google Scholar, ResearchGate). Many researchers also engage via social media, such as microblogging sites, where they share research, network and engage in scientific discussions (Bista 2015; Cheplygina et al. 2020). These can be a useful way to keep up to date with recent scientific developments and opportunities, with many journals and individuals promoting new insights. The rise of 'altmetrics' in academia, along with both online profiles and social media platforms, plays an important role in increasing the scientific visibility of research (Sugimoto et al. 2017).

Online tools also provide a resource for ECRs to build networks outside their own research community and connect with wider society. Initiatives like Skype a Scientist and Live with Scientists enable researchers to engage in informal discussions about their research (usually in the form of a question and answer session) with different members of the community (e.g. school children or adults who do not formally engage in science). Such events can make important contributions to increase scientific literacy among non-scientists and raise awareness of current research issues. As these events are hosted virtually, ECRs can connect with communities from around the world and participants have the possibility to be exposed to a wide range of scientific ideas that may have previously been inaccessible to them.

# Promote a kinder, more inclusive and diverse community

In times of crisis, underrepresented groups are those that disproportionately suffer the consequences of a sudden change (Bapuji et al. 2020). Even before the COVID-19 yielded the current international crisis, diversity, equality and inclusion were already important issues with critical implications in STEM (Cech and Blair-Loy 2019, Brower 2020). The systemic disadvantage of minorities and women in academic and scientific enterprises is now magnified, and potential long-term effects of the current crisis over these underrepresented groups can be daunting (Staniscuaski et al. 2020, Brower 2020). In the last months, we have seen a

large number of of reports highlighting how the pandemic is disproportionately impacting academic mothers (Viglione, 2020, Staniscuaski et al. 2020) and people or regions with low incomes or limited access to technologies (Lee et al. 2019, The Economist Intelligence Unit 2020).

As ECRs, we need the COVID-19 crisis to be a wakeup call for institutions and agencies to develop more flexible family-friendly policies and action to mitigate parenthood, but especially motherhood penalties (Cech and Blair-Loy 2019). Such policies will help to mitigate both the exit of trained professionals from the STEM workforce and the strong difficulties that these professionals face balancing childcare or caregiver duties alongside their job responsibilities. In this context, organizational policies, the lack of worklife programs and flexible work arrangements, and increased workloads are significantly associated with the prevalence of mental health problems in academia (Levecque et al. 2017). Now more than ever it is essential to understand that being locked at home does not necessarily translate into a boost in productivity (Paula 2020). We are all living stressful times and operating with more responsibilities and concerns than ever before: i.e. family losses, sick relatives, extreme loneliness or visa suspensions among others; but are also finding themselves with fewer resources to complete their work. Now is the opportunity to use this time to develop a more empathic and supportive community by adjusting our expectations of workplace productivity of both ourselves and others. Here, institutions and policymakers have a leading role in facilitating management of work-life balance and workloads (Levecque et al. 2017) and developing programs that do not compromise ECRs future job (i.e. considering extending contracts; Paula 2020). At a personal or lab group level it may be addressed by developing a value statement or code of conduct, and working towards improvements of supervisor's leadership style and team decision-making culture within the labs (Leveque et al. 2017) ,Fernandez and Shaw 2020).

For building a more inclusive and diverse scientific community, we must also consider that most of the new approaches for working and collaborating remotely rely upon internet access, visual displays and text-based chat interactions. In this context, it is important to acknowledge the difficulties to ensure access to meetings, resources and digital networks for everyone. For example, it is crucial to appreciate which additional challenges may be faced either by colleagues from developing countries with unequal access to technologies (Moakofhi et al. 2017), those from across different time-zones (Andersson 2008), or members of the scientific community with disabilities. A few simple suggestions when internet and technology access is limited, include replacing complicated online platforms for sharing materials with those that are easier to navigate and that require less data (such as email), and audio-visual platforms by more handy and less data usage audio-visual apps that can be accessed via mobile phones (which most people have). Another simple suggestion to take advantage of audio-visual platforms options is to record and save meetings or lectures for later use. Ensuring flexibility with virtual meetings, allowing the coexistence of live meetings with pre-recorded ones and integrating additional tools/resources for members with disabilities, is important to make sure that traditional in-person meeting barriers (Sohn 2019) are not transferred to virtual meetings if we are to be truly inclusive.

# Conclusions: An opportunity for community reinvention in academia

The pandemic has illuminated that the way we do Science is changing. Indeed, we are now in a process of adapting to this new reality. During this transition, each of us first need to take care of our mental and physical health, we need to help each other, and adopt new practices to maintain our capacity to focus and to promote resilience in our research practice. Within a very short time, we have shifted from a 100 percent in-person model to an almost completely remote one. However, in this process we have also adopted new practices that hold large potential to serve as a foundation for a more international, collaborative, and open science model underpinned by technological developments. The question here is: "What do we want to keep for the future, and how?" The COVID-19 crisis presents the opportunity for researchers and institutions to transition to a new hybrid model that integrates remote and in-person workflows, taking advantage of the new skills that have recently been developed. It also presents us multiple opportunities to address long-lasting issues in academia, such as the lack of diversity, equity and inclusivity. To take the final steps and use the momentum, we not only individually need to take action, but need the commitment and support of

our institutions and research agencies. If we succeed to transform this new workstyle into routines, it could serve as a model for a community reinvention in academia. We should not miss the opportunity to take many of the eight insights for conducting Science as a foundation to design the community that we want to work in.

# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our fellow Plant Functional Traits Course 2020 participants for being great colleagues who pulled together during a challenging time and still created a fun field course experience. We would also like to thank Tasha-Leigh J. Gauthier, Laura H. Jessup, Jocelyn Navarro, Maria Elisa Pierfederici and Eugenia Sanchez for valuable discussions as we developed the initial draft of the manuscript.

We are grateful for the financial support provided by the Norwegian Research Council to develop the Plant Functional Trait Courses (project 274831 RECITE and 287784 EXPERTS under the INTPART program), and also support from the National Science Foundation (awards ABI-1565118 and HDR-1934790). SLM would also like to thank the Natural Environment Research Council Award NE/L002612/1 for supporting attendance of the 2020 Plant Functional Trait Course. JvO was supported by the Independent Research Fund of Denmark, project 7027-00133B. JCL is supported by the project 287784 EXPERTS of the Norwegian Research Council.

#### Competing Interests Statement:

The authors declare no competing interests.

# Data Availability Statement:

There is no pertinent data.

#### **Authorship Contributions:**

Conceptualization: JCL and SRG lead; MB, BJE, WFR, RG, AHH, SLM, JvO, SPP, TS equal

Writing - Original Draft Preparation: JCL and SRG lead; MB, BJE, WFR, RG, AHH, SLM, JvO, SPP, and TS equal

Writing - Review and Editing: JCL and SRG lead; MB, BJE, WFR, RG, AHH, SLM, JvO, SPP, TS, and VV equal

Visualization: TS lead; MB, JCL, BJE, WFR, SRG, RG, AHH, SLM, JvO, SPP, TS, and VV equal

#### **Figures**

Figure 1. We summarize eight central insights for turning challenges into opportunities as we look to establish a new way of conducting Science during and in a post-pandemic world.



# References

Achakulvisut, T., Ruangrong, T., Bilgin, I., van den Bossche, S., Wyble, B., Goodman, D. F. M., and Kording, K. P. (2020). Improving on Legacy Conferences by Moving Online. ELife 9. mad, A. S. (2020a). Why you should ignore all that Coronavirus-inspired productivity pressure. The Chronicle of Higher Education. <a href="https://www.chronicle.com/article/Why-You-">https://www.chronicle.com/article/Why-You-</a> Available at: Should-Ignore-All-That/248366> (accessed July 22, 2020). Ahmad, A. S. (2020b). Productivity and happiness under sustained disaster conditions. The Chronicle of Higher Education. <a href="https://www.chronicle.com/article/ProductivityHappiness/248481">https://www.chronicle.com/article/ProductivityHappiness/248481</a> Andersson, A. (2008). Seven major challenges for e-learning in developing countries: Case study eBIT, Sri Lanka. International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology 4(3):45-62. Bapuji, H., Patel, C., Ertug, G., & Allen, D. G. (2020). Corona Crisis and Inequality: Why Management Research Needs a Societal Turn. Journal of Management. Bielczyk, N. Z., Ando, A., Badhwar, A., Caldinelli, C., Gao, M., Haugg, A., Hernandez, L. M., Ito, K., Kessler, D., Lurie, D., Makary, M. M., Nikolaidis, A., Veldsman, M., Allen, C., Bankston, A., Costa Boffino, C., Bottenhorn, K. L., Braukmann, R., Cheplygina, V., Ercan, E., Finc, K., Foo, H., Khatibi, A., La, C., Mehler, D. M. A., Narayanan, S., Poldrack, R. A., Raamana, P. R., Salo, T., Goddard-Sebillotte, C., Uddin, L. Q., Valeriani, D., Valk, S. L., Walton, C. C., Ward, P. G. D., Yanes, J. A., Zhou, X. (2018) Effective self-management for early career researchers in the natural and life sciences. Neuron 22(2): 212-7. DOI:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.03.015 Bista, K. (2015). Is Twitter an Effective Pedagogical Tool in Higher Education? Perspectives of Education Graduate Students. Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning 15(2):83–102. Bradley, A., Höfer, J., Savaglia, V., and Eayrs, C. (2020). Survey on early career travel support shows geographic, career stage, and indigenous status inequality in access to polar science events. Advances in Geosciences 53:73-85. British Ecological Society (2017). A Guide to Reproducible Code in Ecology and Evolution (edited by N. Cooper and P.-Y. Hsing). Available at:

<a href="https://www.britishecologicalsociety.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/guide-to-reproducible-code.pdf">https://www.britishecologicalsociety.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/guide-to-reproducible-code.pdf</a> Brower, Tracy (2020) Diversity, Equity And Inclusion: What The Coronavirus Teaches And How We Must Respond. Forbes. Available at: <a href="https://www.forbes.com/sites/tracybrower/2020/05/19/diversity-equity-guity-guity-equityand-inclusion-what-the-coronavirus-teaches-and-how-we-must-respond/#768099b44d05> (accessed July 22, 2020). Burgio, K. R., MacKenzie, C. M., Borrelle, S. B., Ernest, S. K. M., Gill, J. K., Ingeman, K. E., Teffer, A., and White, E. P. (2020). Ten Simple Rules for a successful remote postdoc. PLoS Computational Biology 16(5):e1007809, Carlson, C. J., Chipperfield, J. D., Benito, B. M., Telford, R. J., and O'Hara, R. B. (2020). Species distribution models are inappropriate for COVID-19. Nature Ecology and Evolution 4:770-771. Cech, E. A. and Blair-Loy, M. (2019). The Changing Career Trajectories of New Parents in STEM. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 116(10):4182-87. Cheplygina, V., Hermans, F., Albers, C., Bielczyk, N., and Smeets, I. (2020). Ten Simple Rules for Getting Started on Twitter as a Scientist. PLoS Computational Biology 16(2):e1007513. Costa, K. (2020). Women's Emotional Labor in Higher Ed and the COVID-19 Crisis. Women in Higher Education 29(5), 13-15. Cotner, S., Enquist, B., Chacon, J., Maitner, B., Farfan-Rios, W., Michaletz, S., Garen, J., Gauthier, T., Vandvik, V., Gya, R., Halbritter, A., Gaudard, J., Hošková, K., Pierfederici, M., Quinteros-Casaverde, N., Sanchez Diaz, E., Jessup, L., Strydom, T., and Von Oppen, J. (2020). International scientists need better support during global emergencies. Available at: <a href="https://www.timeshighereducation.com/blog/international-">https://www.timeshighereducation.com/blog/international-</a> scientists-need-better-support-during-global-emergencies (accessed July 22, 2020). Dennis, J. M., Phinney, J. S., and Chuateco, L. I. (2005). The role of motivation, parental support, and peer support in the academic success of ethnic minority first-generation college students. Journal of college student development 46(3), 223-236. The Economist Intelligence Unit (2020). The Inclusive Internet Index. Available at: <a href="https://theinclusiveinternet.eiu.com/explore/countries/SE/">https://theinclusiveinternet.eiu.com/explore/countries/SE/</a> (accessed July 22, 2020). Fernandez, A. A., and Shaw, G. P. (2020). Academic Leadership in a Time of Crisis: The Coronavirus and COVID-19. Journal of Leadership Studies 14(1):39–45. Fletcher D, and Sarkar M. (2013) Psychological resilience: a review and critique of definitions, concepts, and theory. European Psychologist 18:12–23. Gibson, C., Stutchbury, T., Ikutegbe, V., and Michielin, N. (2019). Challenge-Led Interdisciplinary Research in Practice: Program Design, Early Career Research, and a Dialogic Approach to Building Unlikely Collaborations. Research Evaluation 28(1):51–62. Goodman, A., Pepe, A., Blocker, A. W., Borgman, C. L., Cranmer, K., Crosas, M., Di Stefano, R., Gil, Y., Groth, P., Hedstrom, M., Hogg, D. W., Kashyap, V., Mahabal, A., Siemiginowska, A., and Slavkovic, A. (2014). Ten Simple Rules for the Care and Feeding of Scientific Data. PLoS Computational Biology 10(4):e1003542. Goring, S. J., Weathers, K. C., Dodds, W. K., Soranno, P. A., Sweet, L. C., Cheruvelil, K. S., Kominoski, J. S., Ruegg, J., Thorn, A. M., and Utz, R. M. (2014). Improving the Culture of Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Ecology by Expanding Measures of Success. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 12(1):39-47. Grainger, M. J., Bolam, F. C., Stewart, G. B., and Nilsen, E. B. (2020). Evidence Synthesis for Tackling Research Waste. Nature Ecology and Evolution 4(4):495–97. Halbritter, A.H., De Boeck, H. J., Eycott, A. E., Reinsch, S., Robinson, D. A., Vicca, S., Berauer, B., Christiansen, C. T., Estiarte, M., Grunzweig, J. M., Gya, R., Hansen, K., Jentsch, A., Lee, H., Linder, S., Marshall, J., Penuelas, J., Schmidt, I. K., Stuart-Haentjens, E., Wilfahrt, P., the ClimMani Working Group, and Vandvik, V. (2020). The handbook for standardized field and laboratory measurements in terrestrial climate change experiments and observational studies (ClimEx). Methods in Ecology and Evolution 11:22–37. Halpern, B. S., Brun, J., Budden, A., Downs, M., Kappel, C. V., and Stewart Lowndes, J. S. (2020). Ecology and COVID #4: Leveraging the liabilities of virtual collaboration. ESA blog. Available at: <a href="https://www.esa.org/esablog/guest-posts/ecology-and-">https://www.esa.org/esablog/guest-posts/ecology-and-</a> covid-4-leveraging-the-liabilities-of-virtual-collaboration/> (accessed July 22, 2020) IAU (International Association of Universities) (2020). The Impact of Covid-19 on Higher Education Around the World. IAU Global Survey report. Available at: <a href="https://www.iau-aiu.net/IMG/pdf/iau">https://www.iau-aiu.net/IMG/pdf/iau</a> covid19 and he survey report final may 2020.pdf> (accessed July 22, 2020) Inouye, D.W., Underwood, N., Inouye, B.D., Irwin, R.E., 2020. Support early-career field researchers. Science 368:724. Jordan, K., and Weller, M. (2018). Academics and Social Networking Sites: Benefits, Problems and Tensions in Professional Engagement with Online Networking. Journal of Interactive Media in Education, 2018(1):1. Kituyi, M. (2020). COVID-19: Collaboration is the engine of global science - especially for developing countries. World Economic Forum. Available at: <a href="https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/05/global-science-collaboration-open-source-covid-decomposition-ope 19/> (accessed July 22, 2020). Lee, C., and Goss, J. (2019). How California's Digital Divide Affects <a href="https://www.ppic.org/blog/how-californias-digital-divide-affects-students/">https://www.ppic.org/blog/how-californias-digital-divide-affects-students/</a> (accessed July 22, 2020). Lortie, C. J. (2020). Online Conferences for Better Learning. EcoEvoRxiv. doi:10.32942/osf.io/d5rqh. Malisch, J. L., Harris, B. N., Sherrer, S. M., Lewis, K. A., Shepherd, S. L., McCarthy, P. C., Spott, J. L., Karam, E. P., Moustaid-Moussa, N., McCrory Calarco, J., Ramalingam, L., Talley, A. E., Canas-Carrell, J. E., Ardon-Dryer, K., Weiser, D. A., Bernal, X. E., and Deitloff, J. (2020). Opinion: In the wake of COVID-19, academia needs new solutions to ensure gender equity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117(27):15378-15381. McEwen, K. (2012). Building personal resilience as a geoscientist. Applied Earth Science 121(4):155-162. McGrath, M. (2020). Climate change and coronavirus: Five charts about the biggest carbon crash. BBC News. Available at: <a href="https://www.bbc.com/news/science-">https://www.bbc.com/news/science-</a> environment-52485712> (accessed July 22, 2020). Mendez, M. (2018). Ten Simple Rules for Developing Good Reading Habits during Graduate School and Beyond. PLoS Computational Biology 14(10):e1006467. Moakofhi, M., Leteane, O., Phiri, T., Pholele, T., and Sebalatheng, P. (2017). Challenges of introducing elearning at Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources: Lecturers' perspective. International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology 13(2). Myers, K. R., Wei, Y. T., Yian Y., Cohodes, N., Thursby, J. G., Thursby, M. C. Schiffer, P., Walsh, J. T., Lakhani, K. R., and Dashun, W. (2020). Unequal Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Scientists. Nature Human Behaviour 1-4. Nakagawa, S., Dunn, A. G., Lagisz, M., Bannach-Brown, A., Grames, E. M., Sanchez-Tojar, A., O'Dea, R. E., Noble, D. W. A., Westgate, M. J., Arnold, P. A., Barrow, S., Bethel, A., Cooper, E., Foo, Y. Z., Geange, S. R., Hennessy, E., Mapanga, W., Mengersen, K., Munera, C., Page, M. J., Welch, V., and Haddaway, N. R. (2020). A New Ecosystem for Evidence Synthesis. Nature Ecology & Evolution 4(4):498-501. Nentwich M., and Konig R. (2014). Academia Goes Facebook? The Potential of Social Network Sites in the Scholarly Realm. In: Bartling S., and Friesike S. (eds) Opening Science. Springer, Cham, Switzerland. Oni, T., Sciarrino, F., Adesso, G., and Knight, R. (2016). Let Researchers Try New Paths. Nature 538(7626):451–53. Pannell, J. L., Dencer-Brown, A. M., Greening, S. S., Hume, E. A., Jarvis, R. M., Mathieu, C., Mugford, J., and Runghen, R. (2019). An Early Career Perspective on Encouraging Collaborative and Interdisciplinary Research in Ecology. Ecosphere 10(10). Paula, J. R. (2020). Lockdowns due to COVID-19 threaten PhD students' and early-career researchers' careers. Nature Ecology & Evolution 4, 999(2020). Sohn, E. (2019). Ways to Make Meetings Accessible. Nature 576 (7787): S74–75. Staniscuaski, F., Reichert, F., Werneck, F. P., de Oliveira, L., Mello-Carpes, P. B., Soletti, R. C., Infanger Almeida, C., Zandona, E., Klein Ricachenevsky, F., Neumann, A., Schwartz, I. V. D., Tamajusuku, A. S. K., Seixas, A., and Kmetzsch, L. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on Academic Mothers. Science 368(6492):724. Tachibana, C. (2014). A scientist's guide to social media. Science Available at: <a href="https://www.sciencemag.org/features/2014/02/scientists-guide-social-media">https://www.sciencemag.org/features/2014/02/scientists-guide-social-media</a> (accessed July 22, 2020). Vekkaila, J., Virtanen, V., Taina, J., and Pyhalto, K. (2018). The Function of Social Support in Engaging and Disengaging Experiences among Post PhD Researchers in STEM Disciplines. Studies in Higher Education 43(8):1439–53. Viglione, G. (2020). Are Women Publishing Less during the Pandemic? Here's What the Data Say. Nature 581(7809):365-66. Walker, J., Brewster, C., Fotinha, R., Haak-Saheem, W. (2020). Three challenges facing academic research during the Covid-19 crisis. <a href="https://www.timeshighereducation.com/blog/three-challenges-facing-academic-research-">https://www.timeshighereducation.com/blog/three-challenges-facing-academic-research-</a> during-covid-19-crisis (accessed July 22, 2020). Witze, A. (2020). Universities will never be the same after the coronavirus crisis. Nature **582**:162-164. World Bank (2020). World Bank Education and COVID-19.  $Interactive\ website. \ \ Available\ at:\ \ < https://www.worldbank.org/en/data/interactive/2020/03/24/world-wor$ bank-education-and-covid-19> (accessed July 22, 2020).

