

Quantitative effects of changes in agricultural irrigation on potential evaporation

Congying Han¹, Baozhong Zhang², and Songjun Han³

¹State Key Laboratory of Simulation and Regulation of Water Cycle in River Basin

²China Agricultural University

³China Institute of Water Resources and Hydropower Research

August 21, 2020

Abstract

Evaporation is the key to the basin's water cycle. Agricultural irrigation has resulted in a significant variation of regional potential evaporation (E_{pen}). The spatiotemporal variation of E_{pen} and the influencing factors in the natural, agricultural, and desert areas in different developmental stages of irrigation in the Heihe River Basin (HRB) from 1970 to 2017 are comparatively analyzed in this study. This work focused on the correction effect of irrigation on the variation of E_{pen} . The agricultural water consumption in HRB significantly varied around 1998 due to the agricultural development and water policy. Under the influence of irrigation, the annual variation of E_{pen} in the agricultural, natural, and desert areas was significantly different. From 1970 to 1998, the annual trend slope of E_{pen} in the natural area only reduced by 1 mm decade^{-1} , while that in the agricultural area significantly decreased by $39 \text{ mm decade}^{-1}$. After the implementation of water-saving irrigation, the E_{pen} in the natural and agricultural areas increased by 11 and $54 \text{ mm decade}^{-1}$, respectively, from 1998 to 2017. In contrast with the natural and agricultural areas, E_{pen} in the desert area decreased by $80 \text{ mm decade}^{-1}$ from 1970 to 1998 and continuously decreased by $41 \text{ mm decade}^{-1}$ from 1998 to 2017. However, the regulatory effect of irrigation on E_{pen} in the desert area started to manifest due to the expansion of the cultivated land area in the desert area from 2010 to 2017. Irrigation has a significant regulatory effect on the variation of E_{pen} in HRB. The regulatory effect is mainly reflected on the aerodynamic term (E_{aero}). The analytical results of the main meteorological factors affecting E_{pen} in different regions indicated that the main meteorological factors influencing the variation of E_{pen} in each region are the wind speed 2 m above the surface (U_2) and the water vapor pressure difference (VPD).

Hosted file

Manuscript.pdf available at <https://authorea.com/users/352843/articles/476924-quantitative-effects-of-changes-in-agricultural-irrigation-on-potential-evaporation>

559 **Figure captions**

560 Fig. 1 Spatial location and characteristics of the HRB.

561 Fig. 2 Annual runoff at different hydrological stations and water diversion in the
562 upstream of the agricultural area in HRB.

563 Fig. 3 Distribution of trend slopes per decade of E_{pen} , E_{rad} , and E_{aero} in the different
564 stations in the HRB (1970–2017).

565 Fig. 4 Annual variations of the averaged E_{pen} , E_{rad} , and E_{aero} in different regions in the
566 HRB.

567 Fig. 5 Relationship between the variations of potential and actual evaporation in the
568 HRB.

569 Fig. 6 Fitting of the E_{pen} variation calculated by the regression and trend analytical
570 methods in the HRB.

571 Table captions

572 Table 1. Meteorological conditions and regional characteristics of the different regions in HRB.

573 Table 2. Location of the meteorological stations and the percentage of cultivated land area within 4 km of the stations in HRB.

574 Table 3. Trend slopes per decade of E_{pen} , E_{total} , and E_{aero} in different regions in the HRB (1970–2017).

575 Table 4. Trend slopes per decade of the meteorological factors in different regions in the HRB (1970–2017).

576 Table 5. Trend slopes per decade of E_{pen} , E_{total} , and E_{aero} at different stations in the HRB (1970–2017).

577 Table 6. Contributions of the meteorological factors to the variation of E_{pen} in different regions in the HRB.