

# Dietary acid load and risk of prostate cancer: (a case-control study)

Sanaz Mehranfar<sup>1</sup>, Yahya Jalilpiran<sup>2</sup>, Alireza Jafari<sup>1</sup>, Seyed Amir Reza Mohajeri<sup>1</sup>, and Shiva Faghih<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Affiliation not available

<sup>2</sup>Tehran University of Medical Sciences

October 20, 2020

## Abstract

Background: There are few studies which have shown inconsistent results regarding the associations between dietary acid load (DAL) and the risk of cancer. This study aimed to examine the association between DAL and prostate cancer (PC) risk among Iranian population. Methods: One hundred and twenty participants (60 controls and 60 newly diagnosed PC patients) engaged in a hospital-based case-control study. Validated 160-items semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was used to assess usual dietary intakes. DAL was calculated using potential renal acid load (PRAL) and the net endogenous acid production (NEAP). Multivariate logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratios. Results: Both PRAL (OR=5.44; 95% CI= (2.09-14.17)) and NEAP (OR=4.88; 95% CI= (2.22-13.41)) were associated with increased risk of PC in crude model. After adjusting for potential confounders (energy intake, smoking, physical activity, ethnicity, job, education, and some drugs usage) compared to the first category, being in the third category of PRAL (OR=3.42; 95% CI= (1.11-8.65)) and NEAP (OR=3.88; 95% CI= (1.26-9.55)) was associated with increased risk of PC. Conclusions: Our findings suggest that DAL could be associated with increased risk of PC. However, further prospective studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations are needed to confirm these findings.

Dietary acid load and risk of prostate cancer: (a case-control study)

Sanaz Mehranfar<sup>a</sup>, Yahya jalilpiran<sup>b,c</sup>, Alireza Jafari<sup>c</sup>, Seyed Amir Reza Mohajeri<sup>d</sup>, Shiva Faghih<sup>f\*</sup>

a Department of community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Science and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

b Student Research Committee, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

c Students' Scientific Research Center (SSRC), Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran, Iran

d Department of Nutrition, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

f Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

**\*Corresponding author** : Dr. Shiva Faghih

Associate Professor, Nutrition Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutrition and food sciences, Shiraz Iran

Email: shivafaghih@gmail.com

Dietary acid load and risk of prostate cancer: (a case-control study)

Abstract

**Background:** There are few studies which have shown inconsistent results regarding the associations between dietary acid load (DAL) and the risk of cancer. This study aimed to examine the association between DAL and prostate cancer (PC) risk among Iranian population.

**Methods:** One hundred and twenty participants (60 controls and 60 newly diagnosed PC patients) engaged in a hospital-based case-control study. Validated 160-items semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was used to assess usual dietary intakes. DAL was calculated using potential renal acid load (PRAL) and the net endogenous acid production (NEAP). Multivariate logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratios.

**Results:** Both PRAL (OR=5.44; 95% CI= (2.09-14.17)) and NEAP (OR=4.88; 95% CI= (2.22-13.41)) were associated with increased risk of PC in crude model. After adjusting for potential confounders (energy intake, smoking, physical activity, ethnicity, job, education, and some drugs usage) compared to the first category, being in the third category of PRAL (OR=3.42; 95% CI= (1.11-8.65)) and NEAP (OR=3.88; 95% CI= (1.26-9.55)) was associated with increased risk of PC.

**Conclusions:** Our findings suggest that DAL could be associated with increased risk of PC. However, further prospective studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations are needed to confirm these findings.

**What is already known about this topic?** The association between dietary acid load and several cardiovascular risk factors has been investigated previously but few studies investigated the association between diet-dependent acid load with cancer. **What does this article add?** Our findings showed that DAL could be associated with increased risk of PC

**Keywords:** Dietary acid load, NEAP, PRAL, Prostate cancer, Case-control.

## Introduction

Prostate cancer (PC) is one of the major health concerns among men globally (1). It is presently the second most frequent diagnosed cancer and the sixth leading cause of cancer death for males worldwide (2-5). Among the Iranian males, PC is recognized as the third most prevalent malignancies and the six common cancer in Iranian population (6, 7). Based on the latest systematic review and meta-analysis on the available evidence, the incidence rate of prostate cancer is 7.1 per 100 000 in Iranian population. However, it has also been showed that the rate of disease incidence increased from 1996 to 2012 that should be noticed regarding the epidemiological and clinical practices (8). Well-known risk factors for prostate cancer are age, ethnicity, and family history of the disease (9). However, some other risk factors such as diet, alcohol consumption, exposure to ultraviolet radiation, chronic inflammation, and occupational exposure might be involved in the pathogenesis of the disease(10).

The association between dietary patterns and the risk of PC has been investigated in several studies, which has led to inconsistent results. Some studies showed that adherence to a Western dietary pattern could increase the risk of prostate cancer (11-15), but others did not find any associations (16-18). In addition, some studies showed inconsistent findings about the association between healthy eating index and Mediterranean dietary pattern and the risk of PC (11, 13, 14, 16-23). Recently, the importance of dietary acid load is highlighted as the evidence shows that dietary intake is a key factor in the regulation of body's acid-base status (24, 25) and the kidneys are the main route of excretion of the acid load to maintain acid-base balance (26). Basically, it seems that western diets (with higher meat consumption), and healthy diets (with higher fruits and vegetables consumption and lower meat and processed grain intake) are associated with the acidic and alkali status of the diets, respectively (25, 27). In order to estimate dietary acid load, the potential renal acid load (PRAL) and the net endogenous acid production (NEAP), calculate from dietary intake (24, 28). The association between dietary acid load and several cardiovascular risk factors has been investigated previously (29-33). However, few studies investigated the association between diet-dependent acid load with

cancer (34, 35). Therefore, we aimed to investigate the association between diet-dependent acid load and risk of PC in Iranian population.

## Materials and Methods

### Subjects

This hospital based case-control study carried out in Shiraz, Iran. For this, 125 men (62 cases and 63 controls), who were referred to two main hospitals (teaching and referral hospitals) in Shiraz, Iran were recruited. To collect required information such as general characteristics and dietary intakes, the patients were interviewed by trained interviewers during their hospital stay. Patients with PC who were candidate for radical or open prostatectomy were selected as cases based on the following inclusion criteria: persons without any history of dietary regimens for chronic diseases, diabetes, or other types of cancers and who their diseases were diagnosed maximum one month after diagnosis. At the same time, controls were selected from the patients who came to the same hospitals due to non-neoplastic, non-diabetic conditions including eye, gastrointestinal, ear, nose, and throat (ENT), kidney, and nerve diseases. Similar to the cases, the controls also did not follow any dietary regimens for chronic diseases. Cases and controls were matched for body mass index ( $<19$ ,  $19-25$ ,  $25-30$ ,  $30 < \text{kg/m}^2$ ) and age (within strata of 5-year age groups). Total energy intakes of  $<800$  or  $>4200$  kcal/day and poor response to food frequency questionnaire considered as exclusion criteria. This research was approved by the ethic committee of Shiraz University of medical sciences and all participants provided informed consent (93-01-21-9059).

### Demographic and Anthropometric Assessment

Demographic characteristics of participants including: smoking habit, physical activity level, ethnicity, job, education, and some medications usage were recorded using a general questionnaire via face to face interview. Weight was measured by a digital scale with a precision of 0.1 kg (Glamor BS-801, Hitachi, China), while individuals wore light clothing and no shoes. Height was measured at 0.1 cm precision in a standing position without shoes, using a non-stretchable tape. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

### Dietary intake assessment and estimation of dietary acid load

Dietary intakes were assessed using a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (36-38). This questionnaire included 160 common food items which are common among Iranian population. Accordingly, the frequency of consumption of each food item was divided in nine categories: “never or less than once a month”, “1 to 3 times a month”, “once a week”, “2 to 4 times a week”, “5 to 6 times a week”, “once a day”, “2 to 3 times a day”, “4 to 5 times a day”, and “6 times or more a day”. In addition, the portions were classified in three sizes including: small (half of the defined average use or less), medium (equal to the defined average use), and large (one and half of the defined average use or more). The FFQs were analyzed using a specific multifunction software which developed by Borland Delphi 7 (<http://www.embarcadero.com/products/delphi>) and Visual Basic 2008 (VB 9.0) (<http://www.microsoft.com/visualstudio/eng/products/visual-studio-express-products>). Daily intakes of energy, macronutrients, and micronutrients were derived using the Nutritionist 4 software. We used the PRAL and NEAP (indicators of dietary acid load) for estimation of dietary acid load. These indexes were calculated based on the previous published equation:

NEAP (mEq/day) =  $54.5 \times \text{protein (g/day)} / \text{potassium (mEq/day)} - 10.2$  [10]. PRAL (mEq/day) =  $0.4888 \times \text{protein intake (g/day)} + 0.0366 \times \text{phosphorus (mg/day)} - 0.0205 \times \text{potassium (mg/day)} - 0.0125 \times \text{calcium (mg/day)} - 0.0263 \times \text{magnesium (mg/day)}$  (39).

## Statistical Analysis

The normality of the data was assessed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Categorical variables presented as percent, and continuous variables presented as mean  $\pm$  SD. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test and Chi-square or Fischer exact tests were used for comparison quantitative and qualitative variables respectively, across tertiles of PRAL and NEAP scores. Dietary intakes of participants across tertiles of PRAL and NEAP scores were compared using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) test and presented as mean  $\pm$  SE. To assess risk of prostate cancer in relation to PRAL and NEAP, Multivariate logistic regression was used. In adjusted models, age, body mass index, energy intake, smoking, physical activity, ethnicity, job, education, and drug usage were controlled. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 23, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).  $P < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## Results

Five participants (two cases and three controls) did not include in the analyses due to poor response to FFQ, and finally 120 participants (60 cases and 60 controls) included in final. The participant characteristics across the tertiles of PRAL and NEAP are shown in **Table 1**. It was observed that by increasing the score of PRAL, the BMI ( $P = 0.03$ ) and physical activity ( $P = 0.04$ ) of individuals decreased. Through tertiles of NEAP, the number of antihypertensive drug users were significantly increased ( $P = 0.02$ ) and higher education level was associated with decreased NEAP score ( $P = 0.04$ ). In addition, there was a direct association between the tertiles of both NEAP ( $P = 0.01$ ) and PRAL ( $P = 0.003$ ) and the age of participants. **Table 2** presents the mean intake of food groups and nutrients across the tertiles of PRAL and NEAP. It shows that higher PRAL ( $P < 0.001$ ) and NEAP ( $P < 0.001$ ) scores were significantly associated with higher dietary protein intake. Moreover, higher PRAL and NEAP scores were significantly associated with greater vitamin B3 (PRAL;  $p = 0.003$ , NEAP;  $p = 0.002$ ), vitamin B12 (PRAL;  $p = 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p = 0.001$ ), zinc (PRAL;  $p = 0.01$ , NEAP;  $p = 0.01$ ), grains (PRAL;  $p = 0.01$ , NEAP;  $p = 0.02$ ), fish/poultry (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p = 0.002$ ) and red/processed meats (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ) intake. However, increased PRAL and NEAP scores were significantly associated with lower dietary fiber (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ), vitamin A (PRAL;  $p = 0.01$ , NEAP;  $p = 0.04$ ), vitamin E (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ), vitamin K (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ), vitamin C (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ), vitamin B6 (PRAL;  $p = 0.01$ , NEAP;  $p = 0.02$ ), vitamin B9 (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ), potassium (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ), calcium (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ), magnesium (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ), fruits (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ) and vegetables (PRAL;  $p < 0.001$ , NEAP;  $p < 0.001$ ). Additionally, higher NEAP score was linked with less total fat intake ( $p < 0.001$ ) and higher PRAL score was associated with less intake of phosphorous ( $p = 0.04$ ) and dairy ( $p = 0.21$ ). The odds ratios (OR) of PC according to tertiles of PRAL and NEAP are presented in **Table 3**. Our crude results manifested that being in the third compared to the first tertiles of PRAL (OR=5.44; 95% CI= (2.09-14.17)) or NEAP (OR=4.88; 95% CI= (2.22-13.41)) increased the risk of PC. Moreover, after adjusting for potential confounders (energy intake, smoking, physical activity, ethnicity, job, education, anti-hyperlipidemic drugs, antihypertensive drugs, and aspirin), being in the third compared to the first tertiles of PRAL (OR=3.42; 95% CI= (1.11-8.65)) or NEAP (OR=3.88; 95% CI= (1.26-9.55)) was significantly associated with increased risk of PC.

## Discussion

The results of this case-control study showed that dietary acid load assessed by both PRAL and NEAP, was significantly associated with the higher risk of PC. The association between some nutrients and risk of PC have been investigated previously (40-50). It seems that dietary intake is the largest external or environmental epigenetic factor capable of driving the development or maintenance of cancer (51). This study for the first time showed a positive association between DAL and risk of PC. In line with our findings,

some studies revealed that acidic environment and dietary acid load could contribute to cancer development. (35, 52-56). However, some other studies did not support these findings (35). Regarding to some specific types of cancer, previous research showed a significant positive association between net acid excretion and bladder cancer risk (57). In the other study, Yong-Moon Mark Park et al.(58) found higher risk of invasive and metastatic potential of breast cancer in relation to diet-dependent acid load in a nationwide large prospective cohort study (58). Besides, higher cancer mortality was associated with metabolic acid load, measured by lower serum bicarbonate (59). Mechanistically, some studies showed that carcinogenesis due to metabolic acidosis may occur through some intermediary effects (51). Metabolic acidosis, especially caused by dietary acid loads, can stimulate cancer metastasis, because of reduced buffering capacity of patients with cancer (60-63). Some studies on patients with cancer also showed changes in PH in the cancerous cells and their microenvironment, in such a way that intracellular pH (pHi) increased compared to normal cells ( 7.4 versus 7.2), while extracellular pH (pHe) decreased ( 6.7–7.1 versus 7.4) (64, 65). On the other hand, several studies have shown that different types of acid load interventions, such as dietary changes (66) or taking bicarbonate (67) or phosphate salt (68), could affect the pH of the urine, but not the pH of the blood. Generally, diet has potential to cause metabolic acidosis through affecting acid-base balance and producing acid or alkaline precursors (69-71), and consuming acidogenic diets could promote higher urinary acid excretion in comparison to alkalizing foods (72). Therefore, it seems that highlighting the roles of dietary acid load in relation to the cancer pathogenesis and performing most comprehensive studies to determine exact associations would be necessary.

This study had some strengths: First, this is the first study investigating the association between dietary acid load and risk of PC. Second, we used newly diagnosed cases to remove the effects of the patients' dietary intake changes on the cancer risk. Third, several confounders were adjusted in the statistical models which increase the possibility of the findings. Our study also had some limitations: First, however we used a validated semi-quantitate FFQ, response errors, recall bias, and social desirability are inevitable in gathering data using FFQ. Second, the probability of selection bias in case-control studies cannot be avoided and similar to all case-control studies, no cause and effect association could be interpreted between DAL and PC. Third, although we matched cases and controls by age and body mass index and adjusted the findings for several confounders, always there are some residual confounders, which might affect our findings.

In conclusion, the results of this study suggest that DAL could increase the risk of prostate cancer. However, further comprehensive prospective studies with larger sample size and longer duration are needed to confirm these findings.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### Author Contribution:

Alireza Jafari and Seyed Amir Reza Mohajeri: data collection, Yahya Jalilpiran data analysis, Sanaz Mehranfar: manuscript writing, Shiva Faghih: supervising final manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

Special thanks to the participants for their kind cooperation in this study. The Students Research Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences financially supported this study (grant number 93-01-21-9059).

### References

1. Agalliu I, Adebisi AO, Lounsbury DW, Popoola O, Jinadu K, Amodu O, et al. The feasibility of epidemiological research on prostate cancer in African men in Ibadan, Nigeria. *BMC public health*. 2015;15(1):425.

2. Shafi H, Mooudi E, Abolfazli M, Zarghami A, Mohamadpoumr M, Firoozjai AR, et al. Screening of prostate cancer in individuals older than 40 years of age with positive heredity. *Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences*. 2013;22(97):159-64.
3. Torres-Roman JS, Ruiz EF, Martinez-Herrera JF, Mendes Braga SF, Taxa L, Saldana-Gallo J, et al. Prostate cancer mortality rates in Peru and its geographical regions. *BJU international*. 2019;123(4):595-601.
4. Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, Colombet M, Mery L, Pineros M, et al. Global cancer Observatory: cancer today. Lyon, France: international agency for research on cancer. *Cancer Today*. 2018.
5. Gathirua-Mwangi WG, Zhang J. Dietary factors and risk of advanced prostate cancer. *European journal of cancer prevention: the official journal of the European Cancer Prevention Organisation (ECP)*. 2014;23(2):96.
6. KOLAH DS, SAJADI A, Radmard AR, KHADEMI H. Five common cancers in Iran. 2010.
7. Pakzad R, Rafiemanesh H, Ghoncheh M, Sarmad A, Salehiniya H, Hosseini S, et al. Prostate cancer in Iran: trends in incidence and morphological and epidemiological characteristics. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2016;17(2):839-43.
8. Moradi A, Zamani M, Moudi E. A systematic review and meta-analysis on incidence of prostate cancer in Iran. *Health promotion perspectives*. 2019;9(2):92.
9. Platz EA GE. Prostate cancer. In: *Cancer epidemiology and prevention*. Oxford University Press. 2006:1128–50.
10. Heidenreich A, Bastian PJ, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, Joniau S, van der Kwast T, et al. EAU guidelines on prostate cancer. Part 1: screening, diagnosis, and local treatment with curative intent—update 2013. *European urology*. 2014;65(1):124-37.
11. Askari F, Parizi MK, Jessri M, Rashidkhani B. Dietary patterns in relation to prostate cancer in Iranian men: a case-control study. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2014;15(5):2159-63.
12. Ambrosini GL, Fritschi L, De Klerk NH, Mackerras D, Leavy J. Dietary patterns identified using factor analysis and prostate cancer risk: a case control study in Western Australia. *Annals of epidemiology*. 2008;18(5):364-70.
13. Rosato V, Edefonti V, Bravi F, Bosetti C, Bertuccio P, Talamini R, et al. Nutrient-based dietary patterns and prostate cancer risk: a case-control study from Italy. *Cancer Causes & Control*. 2014;25(4):525-32.
14. De Stefani E, Ronco AL, Deneo-Pellegrini H, Boffetta P, Aune D, Acosta G, et al. Dietary patterns and risk of advanced prostate cancer: a principal component analysis in Uruguay. *Cancer causes & control*. 2010;21(7):1009-16.
15. Jalilpiran Y, Dianatinasab M, Zeighami S, Bahmanpour S, Ghiasvand R, Mohajeri SAR, et al. Western dietary pattern, but not mediterranean dietary pattern, increases the risk of prostate cancer. *Nutrition and cancer*. 2018;70(6):851-9.
16. Castello A, Boldo E, Amiano P, Castano-Vinyals G, Aragones N, Gomez-Acebo I, et al. Mediterranean dietary pattern is associated with low risk of aggressive prostate cancer: MCC-Spain Study. *The Journal of urology*. 2018;199(2):430-7.
17. Jackson M, Tulloch-Reid M, Walker S, McFarlane-Anderson N, Bennett F, Francis D, et al. Dietary patterns as predictors of prostate cancer in Jamaican men. *Nutrition and cancer*. 2013;65(3):367-74.
18. Muller DC, Severi G, Baglietto L, Krishnan K, English DR, Hopper JL, et al. Dietary patterns and prostate cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Biomarkers*. 2009;18(11):3126-9.

19. Yang M, Kenfield SA, Van Blarigan EL, Batista JL, Sesso HD, Ma J, et al. Dietary patterns after prostate cancer diagnosis in relation to disease-specific and total mortality. *Cancer prevention research*. 2015;8(6):545-51.
20. Bosire C, Stampfer MJ, Subar AF, Park Y, Kirkpatrick SI, Chiuve SE, et al. Index-based dietary patterns and the risk of prostate cancer in the NIH-AARP diet and health study. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2013;177(6):504-13.
21. Moller E, Galeone C, Andersson TM-L, Bellocco R, Adami H-O, Andren O, et al. Mediterranean Diet Score and prostate cancer risk in a Swedish population-based case-control study. *Journal of nutritional science*. 2013;2.
22. Hashemian M, Poustchi H, Abnet CC, Boffetta P, Dawsey SM, Brennan PJ, et al. Dietary intake of minerals and risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: results from the Golestan Cohort Study. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2015;102(1):102-8.
23. Eslamparast T, Sharafkhah M, Poustchi H, Hashemian M, Dawsey SM, Freedman ND, et al. Nut consumption and total and cause-specific mortality: results from the Golestan Cohort Study. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2017;46(1):75-85.
24. Frassetto LA, Todd KM, Morris Jr RC, Sebastian A. Estimation of net endogenous noncarbonic acid production in humans from diet potassium and protein contents. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 1998;68(3):576-83.
25. Remer T, Manz F. Estimation of the renal net acid excretion by adults consuming diets containing variable amounts of protein. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 1994;59(6):1356-61.
26. GONICK HC, Goldberg G, Mulcare D. Reexamination of the acid-ash content of several diets. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 1968;21(9):898-903.
27. Sebastian A, Frassetto LA, Sellmeyer DE, Merriam RL, Morris Jr RC. Estimation of the net acid load of the diet of ancestral preagricultural Homo sapiens and their hominid ancestors. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2002;76(6):1308-16.
28. Remer T, Dimitriou T, Manz F. Dietary potential renal acid load and renal net acid excretion in healthy, free-living children and adolescents. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003;77(5):1255-60.
29. Murakami K, Sasaki S, Takahashi Y, Uenishi K. Association between dietary acid-base load and cardiometabolic risk factors in young Japanese women. *The British journal of nutrition*. 2008;100(3):642-51.
30. Fagherazzi G, Vilier A, Bonnet F, Lajous M, Balkau B, Boutron-Ruault MC, et al. Dietary acid load and risk of type 2 diabetes: the E3N-EPIC cohort study. *Diabetologia*. 2014;57(2):313-20.
31. Zhang L, Curhan GC, Forman JP. Diet-dependent net acid load and risk of incident hypertension in United States women. *Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979)*. 2009;54(4):751-5.
32. Krupp D, Johnner SA, Kalhoff H, Buyken AE, Remer T. Long-term dietary potential renal acid load during adolescence is prospectively associated with indices of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in young women. *The Journal of nutrition*. 2012;142(2):313-9.
33. Engberink MF, Bakker SJ, Brink EJ, van Baak MA, van Rooij FJ, Hofman A, et al. Dietary acid load and risk of hypertension: the Rotterdam Study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2012;95(6):1438-44.
34. Robey IF. Examining the relationship between diet-induced acidosis and cancer. *Nutrition & metabolism*. 2012;9(1):72.
35. Fenton TR, Huang T. Systematic review of the association between dietary acid load, alkaline water and cancer. *BMJ open*. 2016;6(6):e010438.
36. Nematy M NM, Ghazizahedi Sh. Validity and

- reproducibility of Iranian food frequency questionnaire. *Switz Res Park J.* 2013;102, 2137-46.
37. Tafazoli M, Fazeli E, Nematy M, Bahri N, Dadgar S. The relationship between functional ovarian cysts and vitamin A, vitamin E, and folate intake. *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology : the journal of the Institute of Obstetrics and Gynaecology.* 2017;37(2):205-9.
38. Mosallaei Z MM, Safariyan M, Norouzy A,, Mohajeri SAR ea. Dietary intake and its relationship with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD).
39. Remer T, Manz F. Potential renal acid load of foods and its influence on urine pH. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association.* 1995;95(7):791-7.
40. Xu X, Cheng Y, Li S, Zhu Y, Xu X, Zheng X, et al. Dietary carrot consumption and the risk of prostate cancer. *European journal of nutrition.* 2014;53(8):1615-23.
41. Lu Y, Zhai L, Zeng J, Peng Q, Wang J, Deng Y, et al. Coffee consumption and prostate cancer risk: an updated meta-analysis. *Cancer Causes & Control.* 2014;25(5):591-604.
42. Zhou X-F, Ding Z-S, Liu N-B. Allium vegetables and risk of prostate cancer: evidence from 132,192 subjects. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention.* 2013;14(7):4131-4.
43. Lin Y-w, Hu Z-h, Wang X, Mao Q-q, Qin J, Zheng X-y, et al. Tea consumption and prostate cancer: an updated meta-analysis. *World journal of surgical oncology.* 2014;12(1):38.
44. Sheng T, Shen R-l, Shao H, Ma T-h. No association between fiber intake and prostate cancer risk: a meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *World journal of surgical oncology.* 2015;13(1):264.
45. Chen P, Zhang W, Wang X, Zhao K, Negi DS, Zhuo L, et al. Lycopene and risk of prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine.* 2015;94(33).
46. Chen J SY, and Zhang LJ *Nutr Sci Vitaminol* 59, 213–223, 2013.: Lycopene/Tomato consumption and the risk of prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. . 2013.;59, 213–223, .
47. Xu C, Han F-F, Zeng X-T, Liu T-Z, Li S, Gao Z-Y. Fat intake is not linked to prostate cancer: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *PloS one.* 2015;10(7).
48. Meng H, Hu W, Chen Z, Shen Y. Fruit and vegetable intake and prostate cancer risk: A meta-analysis. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology.* 2014;10(2):133-40.
49. Wu K, Spiegelman D, Hou T, Albanes D, Allen NE, Berndt SI, et al. Associations between unprocessed red and processed meat, poultry, seafood and egg intake and the risk of prostate cancer: a pooled analysis of 15 prospective cohort studies. *International journal of cancer.* 2016;138(10):2368-82.
50. Aune D, Navarro Rosenblatt DA, Chan DS, Vieira AR, Vieira R, Greenwood DC, et al. Dairy products, calcium, and prostate cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *The American journal of clinical nutrition.* 2015;101(1):87-117.
51. Robey IF. Examining the relationship between diet-induced acidosis and cancer. *Nutrition & metabolism.* 2012;9(1):72.
52. Young RO, Young SR. *The pH Miracle: Balance your Diet, reclaim your health:* Hachette UK; 2008.
53. *Cancer Alkaline Diet is the Natural Cure.* <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ttm3q7kweL4> 2012.;com/watch?v=ttm3q7kweL4 (accessed 27 Jul 2015).
54. Ohsawa G. *Acid & Alkaline—an overview of pH and human health.* 2012. 2012.



55. McCarty MF, Whitaker J. Manipulating tumor acidification as a cancer treatment strategy. *Altern Med Rev.* 2010;15(3):264-72.
56. Gillies RJ, Raghunand N, Garcia-Martin ML, Gatenby RA. pH imaging. *IEEE Engineering in medicine and biology magazine.* 2004;23(5):57-64.
57. Safabakhsh M, Imani H, Yaseri M, Omranipour R, Shab-Bidar S. Higher dietary acid load is not associated with risk of breast cancer in Iranian women. *Cancer Reports.* 2020;3(2):e1212.
58. Park YMM, Steck SE, Fung TT, Merchant AT, Elizabeth Hodgson M, Keller JA, et al. Higher diet-dependent acid load is associated with risk of breast cancer: Findings from the sister study. *International journal of cancer.* 2019;144(8):1834-43.
59. Park M, Jung SJ, Yoon S, Yun JM, Yoon H-J. Association between the markers of metabolic acid load and higher all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in a general population with preserved renal function. *Hypertension Research.* 2015;38(6):433-8.
60. Kato Y, Ozawa S, Miyamoto C, Maehata Y, Suzuki A, Maeda T, et al. Acidic extracellular microenvironment and cancer. *Cancer cell international.* 2013;13(1):89.
61. Justus CR, Dong L, Yang LV. Acidic tumor microenvironment and pH-sensing G protein-coupled receptors. *Frontiers in physiology.* 2013;4:354.
62. Rofstad EK, Mathiesen B, Kindem K, Galappathi K. Acidic extracellular pH promotes experimental metastasis of human melanoma cells in athymic nude mice. *Cancer research.* 2006;66(13):6699-707.
63. Huang S, Tang Y, Peng X, Cai X, Wa Q, Ren D, et al. Acidic extracellular pH promotes prostate cancer bone metastasis by enhancing PC-3 stem cell characteristics, cell invasiveness and VEGF-induced vasculogenesis of BM-EPCs. *Oncology reports.* 2016;36(4):2025-32.
64. Gillies RJ, Raghunand N, Garcia-Martin ML, Gatenby RA. pH imaging. A review of pH measurement methods and applications in cancers. *IEEE engineering in medicine and biology magazine : the quarterly magazine of the Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society.* 2004;23(5):57-64.
65. Busco G, Cardone RA, Greco MR, Bellizzi A, Colella M, Antelmi E, et al. NHE1 promotes invadopodial ECM proteolysis through acidification of the peri-invadopodial space. *The FASEB Journal.* 2010;24(10):3903-15.
66. Buclin T, Cosma M, Appenzeller M, Jacquet A-F, Decosterd L, Biollaz J, et al. Diet acids and alkalis influence calcium retention in bone. *Osteoporosis International.* 2001;12(6):493-9.
67. Maurer M, Riesen W, Muser J, Hulter HN, Krapf R. Neutralization of Western diet inhibits bone resorption independently of K intake and reduces cortisol secretion in humans. *American Journal of Physiology-Renal Physiology.* 2003;284(1):F32-F40.
68. Krapf R, Glatz M, Hulter HN. Neutral phosphate administration generates and maintains renal metabolic alkalosis and hyperparathyroidism. *American Journal of Physiology-Renal Physiology.* 1995;268(5):F802-F7.
69. Frassetto LA, Morris JR, Sebastian A. A practical approach to the balance between acid production and renal acid excretion in humans. *Journal of nephrology.* 2006;19:S33-40.
70. Remer T, Manz F. Potential renal acid load of foods and its influence on urine pH. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association.* 1995;95(7):791-7.
71. Remer T. Influence of nutrition on acid-base balance—metabolic aspects. *European journal of nutrition.* 2001;40(5):214-20.
72. Frassetto LA, Morris Jr RC, Sebastian A. Effect of age on blood acid-base composition in adult humans: role of age-related renal functional decline. *American Journal of Physiology-Renal Physiology.* 1996;271(6):F1114-F22.

**Table 1.** General characteristics of participants across tertiles of PRAL and NEAP scores among 60 prostatic cancer cases and 60 hospital-based controls<sup>a</sup>.

Variable
Age (y)
BMI (kg/m2)
Energy intake (Kcal/day)
<i>Ethnicity</i>
<i>Fars</i>
<i>Non Fars</i>
<i>job</i>
<i>Employment</i>
<i>Unemployment **</i>
<i>Education</i>
<i>Illiterate &amp; primary</i>
<i>Diploma &amp; academic</i>
<i>Smokers (%)</i>
<i>Physical activity</i>
<i>less or never</i>
<i>moderate</i>
<i>high</i>
<i>Antihyperlipidemic drug user(%)</i>
<i>Antihypertensive drug user(%)</i>
<i>Aspirin user (%)</i>
PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production; BMI, body mass index. ** Unemployed participants

**Table 2.** Dietary intakes of participants across tertiles of PRAL and NEAP scores among 60 prostatic cancer cases and 60 hospital-based controls.<sup>a</sup>

Variables	PRAL	PRAL	PRAL	PRAL	NEAP	NEAP	NEAP	NEAP
	Tertile 1 (N=40)	Tertile 2 (N=40)	Tertile 3 (N=40)	P-value <sup>b</sup>	Tertile 1 (N=40)	Tertile 2 (N=40)	Tertile 3 (N=40)	P-value
Carbohydrate (gr/day)	363.22 ± 13.87	316.94 ± 13.80	331.69 ± 13.71	0.06	362.87 ± 13.80	323.12 ± 13.74	325.86 ± 13.83	0.08
Protein (gr/day)	106.92 ± 3.07	104.56 ± 3.05	126.44 ± 3.03	<0.001	105.37 ± 3.08	106.66 ± 3.07	125.80 ± 3.09	<0.001
Total fat (gr/day)	60.12 ± 4.44	57.24 ± 4.42	68.30 ± 4.39	0.18	80.30 ± 4.35	54.88 ± 4.33	70.48 ± 4.36	0.04
Dietary fiber (gr/day)	27.96 ± 0.76	20.98 ± 0.75	18.96 ± 0.75	<0.001	27.67 ± 0.77	21.26 ± 0.77	18.98 ± 0.77	<0.001
Vitamin A (RAE/day)	3317.38 ±	2424.26 ±	3002.92 ±	0.01	3185.07 ±	2492.39 ±	3067.10 ±	0.04
Vitamin E (mg/day)	206.04 5.40 ± 0.20	205.01 4.05 ± 0.20	203.70 3.97 ± 0.20	<0.001	207.16 5.39 ± 0.20	206.17 4.09 ± 0.20	207.56 3.94 ± 0.20	<0.001

Variables	PRAL	PRAL	PRAL	PRAL	NEAP	NEAP	NEAP	NEAP
Vitamin K ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ )	152.70 $\pm 7.70$	109.27 $\pm 7.66$	109.83 $\pm 7.62$	<0.001	150.75 $\pm 7.72$	113.12 $\pm 7.68$	107.93 $\pm 7.73$	<0.001
Vitamin D ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ )	1.74 $\pm$ 0.37	1.56 $\pm$ 0.36	1.48 $\pm$ 0.36	0.88	1.75 $\pm$ 0.36	1.21 $\pm$ 0.36	1.83 $\pm$ 0.36	0.43
Vitamin C ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	221.67 $\pm 8.05$	163.70 $\pm 8.01$	135.23 $\pm 7.96$	<0.001	220.62 $\pm 8.09$	163.31 $\pm 8.05$	136.67 $\pm 8.10$	<0.001
Vitamin B1 ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	2.46 $\pm$ 0.08	2.29 $\pm$ 0.08	2.41 $\pm$ 0.08	0.29	2.45 $\pm$ 0.08	2.33 $\pm$ 0.08	2.38 $\pm$ 0.08	0.56
Vitamin B2 ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	2.32 $\pm$ 0.14	2.18 $\pm$ 0.14	2.74 $\pm$ 0.14	0.02	2.30 $\pm$ 0.14	2.24 $\pm$ 0.14	2.71 $\pm$ 0.14	0.05
Vitamin B3 ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	28.35 $\pm$ 1.10	28.66 $\pm$ 1.09	33.11 $\pm$ 1.08	0.003	28.14 $\pm$ 1.08	28.76 $\pm$ 1.08	33.23 $\pm$ 1.09	0.002
Vitamin B5 ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	7.06 $\pm$ 0.24	6.39 $\pm$ 0.24	7.17 $\pm$ 0.24	0.05	7.02 $\pm$ 0.24	6.50 $\pm$ 0.24	7.11 $\pm$ 0.24	0.15
Vitamin B6 ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	2.95 $\pm$ 0.18	2.27 $\pm$ 0.17	2.33 $\pm$ 0.17	0.01	2.93 $\pm$ 0.18	2.28 $\pm$ 0.17	2.34 $\pm$ 0.18	0.02
Vitamin B9 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ )	401.88 $\pm 12.27$	316.47 $\pm 12.21$	317.08 $\pm 12.13$	<0.001	397.63 $\pm 12.39$	321.68 $\pm 12.32$	316.12 $\pm 12.41$	<0.001
Vitamin B12 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ )	7.49 $\pm$ 2.60	8.75 $\pm$ 2.59	20.38 $\pm$ 2.57	0.001	6.85 $\pm$ 2.59	9.44 $\pm$ 2.57	20.32 $\pm$ 2.59	0.001
Potassium ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	5544.70 $\pm$	4094.10 $\pm$	3888.35 $\pm$	<0.001	5493.94 $\pm$	4160.38 $\pm$	3865.63 $\pm$	<0.001
Calcium ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	154.99 1280.50 $\pm 36.32$	154.21 1051.64 $\pm 36.14$	153.23 1063.23 $\pm 35.91$	<0.001	156.91 1277.21 $\pm 36.06$	156.16 1097.82 $\pm 35.89$	157.21 1050.34 $\pm 36.13$	<0.001
Iron ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	24.38 $\pm$ 1.51	22.67 $\pm$ 1.50	27.57 $\pm$ 1.49	0.06	23.69 $\pm$ 1.50	23.32 $\pm$ 1.49	27.61 $\pm$ 1.50	0.09
Magnesium ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	454.75 $\pm 12.46$	358.39 $\pm 12.40$	341.26 $\pm 12.32$	<0.001	448.73 $\pm 12.71$	364.13 $\pm 12.65$	341.54 $\pm 12.74$	<0.001
Zinc ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	11.81 $\pm$ 0.37	11.03 $\pm$ 0.37	12.63 $\pm$ 0.37	0.01	11.61 $\pm$ 0.37	11.16 $\pm$ 0.37	12.70 $\pm$ 0.37	0.01
Phosphorous ( $\text{mg}/\text{day}$ )	1511.73 $\pm 38.08$	1379.47 $\pm 37.88$	1476.19 $\pm 37.64$	0.04	1502.47 $\pm 38.36$	1410.48 $\pm 38.18$	1454.43 $\pm 38.43$	0.24
Fruits ( $\text{gr}/\text{day}$ )	637.78 $\pm 35.03$	468.35 $\pm 34.39$	345.34 $\pm 34.16$	<0.001	639.18 $\pm 35.02$	455.65 $\pm 34.39$	356.67 $\pm 34.63$	<0.001
Vegetables ( $\text{gr}/\text{day}$ )	812.68 $\pm 31.04$	604.28 $\pm 30.89$	548.17 $\pm 30.69$	<0.001	800.50 $\pm 31.50$	612.12 $\pm 31.35$	552.51 $\pm 31.56$	<0.001
Dairy ( $\text{gr}/\text{day}$ )	441.27 $\pm 25.33$	400.38 $\pm 35.20$	378.46 $\pm 25.04$	0.21	451.44 $\pm 24.84$	404.57 $\pm 24.72$	364.10 $\pm 24.89$	0.05

Variables	PRAL	PRAL	PRAL	PRAL	NEAP	NEAP	NEAP	NEAP
Nut/Legumes (gr/day)	56.34 ± 2.69	55.69 ± 2.68	51.57 ± 2.66	0.39	54.67 ± 2.65	58.23 ± 2.64	50.70 ± 2.66	0.14
Grains (gr/day)	367.97 ± 13.78	414.07 ± 13.71	429.46 ± 13.63	0.01	371.38 ± 13.81	416.23 ± 13.75	423.88 ± 13.84	0.02
Fish/Poultry (gr/day)	91.08 ± 7.51	99.50 ± 7.47	131.66 ± 7.43	<0.001	89.86 ± 7.57	104.48 ± 7.53	127.90 ± 7.58	0.002
Red/processed meats (gr/day)	65.38 ± 7.60	74.59 ± 7.56	112.80 ± 7.51	<0.001	61.30 ± 7.33	74.79 ± 7.29	116.67 ± 7.34	<0.001
PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production. <sup>a</sup>	PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production. <sup>a</sup>	PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production. <sup>a</sup>	PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production. <sup>a</sup>	PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production. <sup>a</sup>	PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production. <sup>a</sup>	PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production. <sup>a</sup>	PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production. <sup>a</sup>	PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production. <sup>a</sup>
Data are presented as mean ± SE. b	Data are presented as mean ± SE. b	Data are presented as mean ± SE. b	Data are presented as mean ± SE. b	Data are presented as mean ± SE. b	Data are presented as mean ± SE. b	Data are presented as mean ± SE. b	Data are presented as mean ± SE. b	Data are presented as mean ± SE. b
ANCOVA test was used.	ANCOVA test was used.	ANCOVA test was used.	ANCOVA test was used.	ANCOVA test was used.	ANCOVA test was used.	ANCOVA test was used.	ANCOVA test was used.	ANCOVA test was used.

**Table 3 .** Risk of prostate cancer in relation to PRAL and NEAP among 60 prostatic cancer cases and 60 hospital-based controls<sup>a</sup>.

Patterns
PRAL
No. cases/controls
Crude
Model 1
Model 2
Model 3
NEAP
No. cases/controls
Crude
Model 1
Model 2
Model 3
PRAL, potential renal acid load; NEAP, net endogenous acid production. <sup>a</sup> Multivariate logistic regression was used. Data

**Hosted file**

Tables.pdf available at <https://authorea.com/users/368534/articles/487607-dietary-acid-load->

and-risk-of-prostate-cancer-a-case-control-study