

# The suggestion that landscapes should contain 40% of forest cover lacks evidence and is problematic

Cristina Banks-Leite<sup>1</sup>, Cecilia Larrosa<sup>2</sup>, Luis Roman Currasco<sup>3</sup>, Leandro Tambosi<sup>4</sup>, and E.J. Milner-Gulland<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Imperial College London

<sup>2</sup>Oxford University

<sup>3</sup>National University of Singapore

<sup>4</sup>Universidade Federal do ABC

<sup>5</sup>University of Oxford

October 20, 2020

## Abstract

A recent review on optimal strategies for preserving biodiversity within human-modified landscapes suggests that that forest cover needs to be restored or maintained to at least 40%. While we agree that it is paramount to protect and increase cover in forested biomes, no evidence is presented to support this 40% threshold. Furthermore, there are several issues regarding implementation of such policy or social-economic constraints that makes this suggestion unhelpful and potentially dangerous.

## Hosted file

BanksLeite\_et\_al\_19.10.20.pdf available at <https://authorea.com/users/267106/articles/487794-the-suggestion-that-landscapes-should-contain-40-of-forest-cover-lacks-evidence-and-is-problematic>