Significant seasonal variations in the rate of women diagnosed with gestational diabetes: A observational single centre study of 28,128 women

Matthew Cauldwell¹, Yolande VanDerl'isle², Ingrid Watt-Coote², and Philip Steer³

March 22, 2021

Abstract

Objective To test the hypothesis that there is seasonal variation in the rates of gestational diabetes (GDM) diagnosed using a 2 hour oral glucose tolerance test. Design Monthly assessment of the percentage of women screened from 1st April 2016 to the 31st December 2020 who were diagnosed as having gestational diabetes Setting London Teaching Hospital Population 28,128 women receiving antenatal care between April 1st 2016 and 31 December 2020. Methods Retrospective study of prospectively collected data. Main Outcome Measures Proportion of women screened diagnosed as having gestational diabetes. Results The mean (SD) percentage of women diagnosed with GDM was 14.78 (2.24) in summer (June, July, August) compared with 11.23 (1.62) in winter (p < 0.001), 12.13 (1.94) in spring (p = 0.002), and 11.88 (2.67) in autumn (p = 0.003). There was a highly significant positive correlation of the percentage testing positive for GDM with the mean maximum monthly temperature (R2 = 0.248, p < 0.001). There was a statistically significant 33.8% increase in the proportion of GDM diagnoses from June 2020 onwards, possibly related to a reduction in exercise secondary to the Covid-19 pandemic. Conclusions There is a 23.3% higher rate of GDM diagnoses in the warmer summer months. There has been a 33.8% rise in GDM diagnoses associated with the Covid-19 pandemic.

Significant seasonal variations in the rate of women diagnosed with gestational diabetes: A observational single centre study of 28,128 women

Matthew Cauldwell^{1**}Yolande Van De L'Isle¹, Ingrid Watt Coote¹, Philip J Steer²

Department of Obstetrics, Maternal Medicine Service, St
 George's Hospital, Blackshaw Road, London, SW17 0QT

Academic Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, 369 Fulham Road, London, SW10 9NH, United Kingdom

** Corresponding author: Matthew.cauldwell@nhs.net, Maternal Medicine Services, Department of Obstetrics, St George's Hospital, Blackshaw Road, London, SW17 0QT ,

020 8725 1924

Running Title "Significant seasonal variation with rates of GDM"

Objective

To test the hypothesis that there is seasonal variation in the rates of gestational diabetes (GDM) diagnosed using a 2 hour oral glucose tolerance test.

¹Academic Department of Obstetrics

²St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

³Imperial College London

Design

Monthly assessment of the percentage of women screened from 1st April 2016 to the 31st December 2020 who were diagnosed as having gestational diabetes

Setting

London Teaching Hospital

Population

28,128 women receiving antenatal care between April 1st 2016 and 31 December 2020.

Methods

Retrospective study of prospectively collected data.

Main Outcome Measures

Proportion of women screened diagnosed as having gestational diabetes.

Results

The mean (SD) percentage of women diagnosed with GDM was 14.78 (2.24) in summer (June, July, August) compared with 11.23 (1.62) in winter (p < 0.001), 12.13 (1.94) in spring (p = 0.002), and 11.88 (2.67) in autumn (p = 0.003). There was a highly significant positive correlation of the percentage testing positive for GDM with the mean maximum monthly temperature ($\mathbb{R}^2 = 0.248$, p < 0.001). There was a statistically significant 33.8% increase in the proportion of GDM diagnoses from June 2020 onwards, possibly related to a reduction in exercise secondary to the Covid-19 pandemic.

Conclusions

There is a 23.3% higher rate of GDM diagnoses in the warmer summer months. There has been a 33.8% rise in GDM diagnoses associated with the Covid-19 pandemic.

Funding: None

Keywords: gestational diabetes, screen,

Tweetable abstract: "Rates of GDM are higher in summer and since the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic."

Word Count 1807

Short Title "GDM rates and seasonal variation"

Introduction

Gestational diabetes (GDM) is a relatively common disorder which typically affects about one in eight pregnancies in the United Kingdom(UK)(1). A meta-analysis published in 2017 focusing on GDM diagnosed in European countries reported a prevalence of 5.4% (2). However, it should be acknowledged that many studies included in in this meta-analysis are over 20 years old (3, 4). During this time maternity demographics have changed with women delaying pregnancy until they are older, there are greater rates of obesity(5) and more multiple pregnancies(6) as a result of assisted conception. All of these are known to be risk factors for gestational diabetes. At present screening for gestational diabetes in the UK is largely based on a risk factor-based approach system with guidance set out by the National Institute for Healthcare and Excellence (NICE)(7). In their guidance the gold standard for testing is a 75g oral glucose tolerance (OGTT) performed between 24-28 weeks (although testing maybe done earlier, particularly if there was GDM in a prior pregnancy). A positive result is determined by either a fasting reading of >5.3mmol/l or a two-hour blood level of 7.8mmol/l. Retesting for GDM in pregnancy maybe done if there is high level of clinical suspicion despite the finding of a normal OGTT. Data from the landmark Hyperglycaemia and Adverse Pregnancy Events (HAPO) Trial only tested women using a OGTT up until 32 completed weeks

of pregnancy(8), beyond this point there are concerns regarding the validity of using a OGTT to diagnose GDM and so home blood glucose monitoring is typically used.

There is increasing evidence to suggest that rates of GDM vary by season.(9). A single centre cohort study from Australia showed the prevalence of GDM was 28% higher in summer and 31% lower in summer(9). Similar findings have been replicated in several other populations (Ref). Retnakaran et al investigated beta cell function and insulin sensitivity in almost 1500 women who were screened for GDM(10). Their data showed that rising environmental temperature in the 3-4 weeks prior to testing appeared to be associated with beta cell dysfunction and therefore greater rates of GDM(10). To date there have been few studies in the UK population analysing seasonal variation in the rates of GDM(11). We there conducted a single centre study examining rates of GDM diagnosed in our institution over a 4 year period, examining the impact of seasonality on the overall prevalence of GDM.

Methods

This was a single centre study undertaken in a tertiary London hospital where there are approximately 5000 deliveries per year. Within our institution we have offered screening for GDM using a 2hr OGTT since 2010, based upon the NICE guidelines (which include ethnic/racial origin) as well as additional risk factors including a maternal age of 35 or more, multiple pregnancy, and previous late pregnancy loss. Data have been collected on the number of women tested and diagnosed with GDM by OGTT prospectively each month since then. An update to NICE guidance in September 2015 recommended a reduction in the threshold for the diagnosis of GDM from 5.6mmol/litre to 5.3mmol/litre(7); this was implemented in our institution from 1st April 2016. We offer screening with OGTT up until 33+6 weeks' gestation; beyond this gestation we use home glucose testing. To test the hypothesis that there is a seasonal variation in the rate of positive GDM diagnoses we examined the prevalence of GDM diagnosed by screening using OGTT only before 34 weeks' gestation to test whether there is a monthly and seasonal variation in the proportion of women tested who receive a positive result.

Because of the changes in diagnostic threshold, we have analysed only those women attending for antenatal care from 1stApril 2016 until 31st December 2020. We also analysed the demographics of women presenting for antenatal care to assess whether they showed any variation which would explain a seasonal effect.

Data were analysed using SPSS version 26. Initially we plotted the monthly proportion of screened women testing positive using an individual moving range control chart. We then plotted the distribution of that proportion against the average monthly maximum temperature at Heathrow Airport (17 miles from our institution) for which data are publically available(12). We then assessed the mean proportions diagnosed with GDM (+/- SD) by season, where winter is December to February, spring is March to May, summer is June to August and autumn (fall) is September to November inclusive. We assessed the demographics of women having their antenatal care at our institution, on the same seasonal basis. Core outcome sets and patient involvement were not involved in this study

Results

The average proportion of women testing positive for GDM was 12.7% (SD 2.60), median 12.78 (IQR 10.68 to 13.98). Gaussian distribution was confirmed using the Kolmogorov Smirnov test. It appeared that there were higher rates of positivity in the summer months; this was confirmed on aggregated analysis by season (figure 2). The mean (SD) percentage was 14.78 (2.24) in summer compared with 11.23 (1.62) in winter (p < 0.001), 12.13 (1.94) in Spring (p = 0.002), and 11.88 (2.67) in autumn (p = 0.003). The average percentage of GDM diagnoses in spring, autumn and winter combined was 11.91% (SD 2.30), so the percentage was almost a quarter (23.3%) higher in the summer than in the other three seasons (p<0.001).

There was a highly significant correlation of the percentage testing positive for GDM with the mean maximum monthly temperature at Heathrow Airport (figure 3), R = 0.498, $R^2 = 0.248$, p < 0.001. This effect is not associated with any significant variation in the demographics of women presenting for antenatal care by season (table 1), with the exception of the autumn, which has a slightly younger mean age and a slightly

higher proportion of South Asians compared with the other three seasons. The demographics of the summer population are not significantly different from spring or winter.

An unexpected finding was that, apart a single high proportion in July 2016, there was a consistently higher proportion of GDM positives from June 2020 onwards, following the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. We therefore compared the six months from June to December 2020 inclusive (period 2) with the previous 65 months (period 1). The mean proportion of GDM diagnoses in period 1 was 12.14% (SD 2.20) but in period 2 it was 16.24% (SD 2.22), p<0.001, a 33.8% rise (absolute difference 4.1%). There were no significant differences in the mean age, height, weight or BMI of women booking between periods 1 and 2, nor was there a significant change in the booking proportions of white European (47.8% vs 46.7%), Black (10.7% vs 9.8%) or South Asian women (14.7% vs 13.6%), although there was a significant rise in the proportion of 'others', from 26.0% to 28.8% (p=0.001).

Finally, we checked to see if there had been a change in the proportion of the bookings tested (figure 4). There was a drop in March and April 2020 due to a change in the screening policy in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, as recommended by the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, followed by a high value in June as the previous testing regime was reinstated, including catch-up for those not tested in March-April, following which the proportions returned to previous levels. We have reported on this previously (13). However, the overall proportion tested from 1st April 2016 to May 31st 2020 (63.75% SD 7.99) was not significantly different from that during 1st June 2020 to 31st December 2020 (69.49% SD 20.5) (p=0.526, unequal variances).

Discussion

Main findings

Our study demonstrates there is a significant seasonal variation with regards to women receiving a positive screening result for gestational diabetes through the OGTT, with more women being diagnosed in summer months compared to winter months. The proportion of women testing positive is strongly correlated with the mean maximum monthly temperature. Furthermore, since the beginning of the Covid-19 pandemic there has been a significant increase in the proportion of women screened for GDM receiving a positive result.

The findings from our study in England agree with others who have examined how seasonality influences rates of GDM in (9, 14-16). In their review paper Pace and colleagues (16) report higher rates of GDM in the warmer months in Italy, Greece, Sweden, Brazil, Canada and Taiwan, although two of three studies in Australia did not (perhaps because of limited seasonal differences). The only previous study we have identified in the UK reported a GDM prevalence of 2.9% in June compared with 1.1% in November (11), but they concluded that there was no significant seasonal effect, probably because of small numbers of positive diagnoses (they only studied 4,942 women who were all white European).

Pace et al outline several different mechanistic ways in which this maybe explained (17). One possible pathway is through brown adipose tissue metabolism. Data suggests that exposure to cold temperatures improves insulin sensitivity in those with Type 2 diabetes (18). Conversely with rising temperatures brown adipose tissue is rather less activated(19); this may partially explain higher rates of GDM witnessed in warmer months.

Interestingly our data did not show quite such a striking variation in rates of GDM as the Australian study by Moses et al ((9). They found that the prevalence of GDM was 29% higher in summer as compared to winter. The variation witnessed in our study was 23.3%. Whilst average seasonal temperature differences are similar in both cohorts, the mean summer temperatures they reported were much higher.

The unexpected finding was the significant increase in the proportion of women testing positive for GDM since the onset of the Covid pandemic. We hypothesise that this may be related to restricted activity during the various lockdowns(20, 21) without a commensurate reduction in dietary intake(22).

Strengths and Limitations

One of the key strengths of our study is that data regarding the incidence of GDM in women screened has been collected prospectively. Although we did not record the maternal demographics specifically of those tested, we do have them for the total population, and there have been no significant temporal changes in the characteristics of the population served. We have longitudinal data spanning more than 4.5 years, with large numbers making our findings highly statistically significant. While our data are limited to a single centre and may not be generalisable to other maternity units, our cohort includes over 25,000 women; furthermore, our population is highly diverse with just over half the women booking describing their ethnicity as being something other than White European.

Conclusion

The diagnosis of GDM is significantly temperature sensitive, with the incidence being 23.3% higher in the summer months. This may affect its significance in relation to outcome, which would have management implications. There has been a significant 33.8% increase in the proportion of GDM diagnoses since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, which may be due to altered exercise levels during lock down.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the staff working in the diabetes service who worked tirelessly to arrange retesting of women for GDM.

Disclosure of Interest

They authors have no disclosures of interest to report

Author Roles:

YDVL Abstraction of data and study design.

PJS analysed data and edited the manuscript

IWC - study design

MC wrote manuscript.

Ethical Approval:

As this study analysed routinely collated data as part of a service evaluation ethical approval was not required.

References

- 1. Pregnancy DU-WigDa. 2020.
- 2. Eades CE, Cameron DM, Evans JMM. Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in Europe: A meta-analysis. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2017;129:173-81.
- 3. Breschi MC, Seghieri G, Bartolomei G, Gironi A, Baldi S, Ferrannini E. Relation of birthweight to maternal plasma glucose and insulin concentrations during normal pregnancy. Diabetologia. 1993;36(12):1315-21.
- 4. Griffin ME, Coffey M, Johnson H, Scanlon P, Foley M, Stronge J, et al. Universal vs. risk factor-based screening for gestational diabetes mellitus: detection rates, gestation at diagnosis and outcome. Diabet Med. 2000;17(1):26-32.
- 5. McKeating A, Maguire PJ, Daly N, Farren M, McMahon L, Turner MJ. Trends in maternal obesity in a large university hospital 2009-2013. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2015;94(9):969-75.
- 6. Smith LK, Manktelow BN, Draper ES, Boyle EM, Johnson SJ, Field DJ. Trends in the incidence and mortality of multiple births by socioeconomic deprivation and maternal age in England: population-based cohort study. BMJ Open. 2014;4(4):e004514.

7. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence: Clinical Guidelines. Diabetes in pregnancy: management from preconception to the postnatal period. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (UK)

Copyright © NICE 2019.; 2015.

- 8. Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, et al. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(19):1991-2002.
- 9. Moses RG, Wong VC, Lambert K, Morris GJ, San Gil F. Seasonal Changes in the Prevalence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care. 2016;39(7):1218-21.
- 10. Retnakaran R, Ye C, Kramer CK, Hanley AJ, Connelly PW, Sermer M, et al. Impact of daily incremental change in environmental temperature on beta cell function and the risk of gestational diabetes in pregnant women. Diabetologia. 2018;61(12):2633-42.
- 11. Janghorbani M, Stenhouse E, Jones RB, Millward A. Gestational diabetes mellitus in Plymouth, U.K.: prevalence, seasonal variation and associated factors. J Reprod Med. 2006;51(2):128-34.
- 12. Ltd. RP. Weather for 243 countries of the world. 2021.
- 13. van-de-l'Isle Y, Steer PJ, Watt Coote I, Cauldwell M. Impact of changes to national UK Guidance on testing for gestational diabetes screening during a pandemic: a single-centre observational study. Bjog. 2020.
- 14. Chiefari E, Pastore I, Puccio L, Caroleo P, Oliverio R, Vero A, et al. Impact of Seasonality on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets. 2017;17(3):246-52.
- 15. Vasileiou V, Kyratzoglou E, Paschou SA, Kyprianou M, Anastasiou E. The impact of environmental temperature on the diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus. Eur J Endocrinol. 2018;178(3):209-14.
- 16. Booth GL, Luo J, Park AL, Feig DS, Moineddin R, Ray JG. Influence of environmental temperature on risk of gestational diabetes. Cmaj. 2017;189(19):E682-e9.
- 17. Pace NP, Vassallo J, Calleja-Agius J. Gestational diabetes, environmental temperature and climate factors From epidemiological evidence to physiological mechanisms. Early Hum Dev. 2020:105219.
- 18. Hanssen MJ, Hoeks J, Brans B, van der Lans AA, Schaart G, van den Driessche JJ, et al. Short-term cold acclimation improves insulin sensitivity in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nat Med. 2015;21(8):863-5.
- 19. Symonds ME, Farhat G, Aldiss P, Pope M, Budge H. Brown adipose tissue and glucose homeostasis the link between climate change and the global rise in obesity and diabetes. Adipocyte. 2019;8(1):46-50.
- 20. Davenport MH, Ruchat SM, Poitras VJ, Jaramillo Garcia A, Gray CE, Barrowman N, et al. Prenatal exercise for the prevention of gestational diabetes mellitus and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2018;52(21):1367-75.
- 21. Ruiz-Roso MB, Knott-Torcal C, Matilla-Escalante DC, Garcimartín A, Sampedro-Nuñez MA, Dávalos A, et al. COVID-19 Lockdown and Changes of the Dietary Pattern and Physical Activity Habits in a Cohort of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Nutrients. 2020;12(8).
- 22. Park S, Kim MY, Baik SH, Woo JT, Kwon YJ, Daily JW, et al. Gestational diabetes is associated with high energy and saturated fat intakes and with low plasma visfatin and adiponectin levels independent of prepregnancy BMI. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2013;67(2):196-201.

Hosted file

Table and Figures GDM.pdf available at https://authorea.com/users/403386/articles/514853-significant-seasonal-variations-in-the-rate-of-women-diagnosed-with-gestational-diabetes-a-observational-single-centre-study-of-28-128-women