The expected values of Sombor indices in random hexagonal chains, phenylene chains and Sombor indices of some chemical graphs

Xiaona Fang¹, Lihua You¹, and Hechao Liu¹

¹South China Normal University

April 12, 2021

Abstract

Hexagonal chains are a special class of catacondensed benzenoid system and phenylene chains are a class of polycyclic aromatic compounds. Recently, A family of Sombor indices was introduced by Gutman in the chemical graph theory. It had been examined that these indices may be successfully applied on modeling thermodynamic properties of compounds. In this paper, we study the expected values of the Sombor indices in random hexagonal chains, phenylene chains, and consider the Sombor indices of some chemical graphs such as graphene, coronoid systems and carbon nanocones.

Introduction

Chemical graph theory is an interdisciplinary field of science which relates chemistry with a branch of mathematical modeling of graphs. Topological indices are graph invariants that play an important role in chemical and pharmaceutical sciences, since they can be used to predict physicochemical properties of organic compounds ((N. Trinajstic, 2018)). There are lots of topological indices in the literature of chemical graph theory. Recently, Gutman introduces a family of Sombor indices in the chemical graph theory ((I. Gutman, 2021)). It was examined in (I. Redžepović, 2021) that the Sombor index, reduced Sombor index and average Sombor index showed satisfactory predictive and discriminative potential in modeling entropy and enthalpy of vaporization of alkanes. The results of testing predictive potential of Sombor indices indicate that these descriptors may be successfully applied on modeling thermodynamic properties of compounds.

Let G = (V, E) be a finite, connected, simple graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E = E(G), where |V(G)| is the number of vertices and |E(G)| is the number of edges. We denote the degree of a vertex i in G by d_i . The (ordinary) Sombor index is defined as

$$SO(G) = \sum_{i \sim j} \sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2},$$

the reduced Sombor index is defined as

$$SO_{red}(G) = \sum_{i \sim j} \sqrt{(d_i - 1)^2 + (d_j - 1)^2},$$

and the average Sombor index, as

$$SO_{avr}(G) = \sum_{i \sim j} \sqrt{(d_i - \bar{d})^2 + (d_j - \bar{d})^2},$$

where $\bar{d} = \frac{2 \cdot |E(G)|}{|V(G)|}$ is the average degree of graph G ((I. Gutman, 2021)). In this paper, Sombor indices refer to Sombor index, reduced Sombor index and average Sombor index. Let a be any real number or parameter of graph G. We generalize the Sombor indices with a. The generalized index is defined as

$$SO_a(G) = \sum_{i \sim j} \sqrt{(d_i - a)^2 + (d_j - a)^2}.$$

It's clear when a = 0, $SO_a(G) = SO(G)$, when a = 1, $SO_a(G) = SO_{red}(G)$ and when $a = \overline{d}$, $SO_a(G) = SO_{avr}(G)$.

Sombor indices have attracted much attention due to good chemical applicability. Cruz, Gutman and Rada characterized the extremal graphs of the chemical graphs, chemical trees and hexagon systems with respect to Sombor index ((2021)). In (2021), the Sombor index of polymer graphs which can be decomposed into monomer units was consider. In (R. Cruz & bicyclic graphs, 2021), the extremal values of the Sombor index in unicyclic and bicyclic graphs were studied. Das, Cevik, Cangul and Shang presented lower and upper bounds on the Sombor index of graphs by using some graph parameters and obtain several relations on Sombor index with the first and second Zagreb indices of graphs ((2021)). More results of Sombor indices can be found in (missing citation; Doi:10.1002/qua.2, 2021; I. Gutman, 2021; I. Gutman, 2021; 2021; 2021; 2021; 2021; 2021; I. Redžepović, 2021; 2021; Doi:10.1007/s12190-021-01516-X, 2021). In Section , we study the expected values of the Sombor indices in the random hexagonal chains and random phenylene chains, and make a comparison between the expected values. In Section 7, we study the Sombor indices of some graphs that are of importance in chemistry such as graphene, coronoid systems and carbon nanocones, and give numerical comparison of the Sombor indices and graphical profiles of the comparison.

The expected values of Sombor indices in random hexagonal chains and phenylene chains

Random molecular graphs are of great importance for theoretical chemistry. There are many results about the extreme values of topological indices of random molecular graphs in recent years ((A. Jahanbani & randić indices in random polyphenyl chains, 2020; 2020; Z. Raza et al., 2020; Z. Raza, 2020; 2012)). In this section, we study the expected values of the Sombor indices in random hexagonal chains and phenylene chains.

We say an edge is (i, j)-type if it joins a vertex with degree i and a vertex with degree j in G. Let $m_{ij}(G)$ be the number of edges of (i, j)-type. Then we have the following Proposition.

Let G be a graph. If there exists only (2,2), (2,3) and (3,3)-type of edges in G, then we have

$$SO_a(G) = \sqrt{2} \cdot |2 - a| \cdot m_{22}(G) + \sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13m_{23}(G)} + \sqrt{2} \cdot |3 - a| \cdot m_{33}(G)$$
(1)

Random hexagonal chains

A benzenoid system is a finite connected subgraph of the infinite hexagonal lattice without cut vertices or non-hexagonal interior faces. A benzenoid system without any hexagon which has more than two neighboring hexagons is called a hexagonal chain, denoted by HXG_n . For $n \ge 3$, the terminal hexagon can be attached in three ways, which results in the local arrangements, we describe as HXG_n^1 , HXG_n^2 , and HXG_n^3 , respectively, see Figure 1.

A random hexagonal chain $HXG(n; p_1, p_2)$ with n hexagons is a hexagonal chain obtained by stepwise addition of terminal hexagons. At each step $t(=3, 4, \dots, n)$, a random selection is made from one of the three possible constructions:

(1) $HXG_{n-1} \to HXG_n^1$ with probability p_1 ;

(2) $HXG_{n-1} \rightarrow HXG_n^2$ with probability p_2 ; (3) $HXG_{n-1} \rightarrow HXG_n^3$ with probability $1 - p_1 - p_2$, where p_1 , p_2 are constants, irrelative to the step parameter t.

figures/g21/g21-eps-converted-to.pdf

Figure 1: The three types of local arrangements in the hexagonal chains.

Since $HXG(n; p_1, p_2)$ is a random hexagonal chain, $SO(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))$, $SO_{red}(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))$ and $SO_{avr}(HXG(n;p_1,p_2))$ are random variables. We denote the expected values of these indices by $E_n^a = E[SO_a(HXG(n;p_1,p_2))], E_n = E[SO(HXG(n;p_1,p_2))], E_n^{red} = E[SO_{red}(HXG(n;p_1,p_2))]$ and $E_n^{avr} = E[SO_{red}(HXG(n;p_1,p_2))]$ $E[SO_{avr}(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))]$. In this section, a is a constant.

Let $HXG(n; p_1, p_2)$ be the hexagonal chain of length $n \geq 2$. Then

$$E_n = [(2\sqrt{13} - 5\sqrt{2})p_2 + 8\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{13}]n + (10\sqrt{2} - 4\sqrt{13})p_2 - \sqrt{2},$$

(3)

$$E_n^{red} = [(2\sqrt{5} - 3\sqrt{2})p_2 + 5\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{5}]n + (6\sqrt{2} - 4\sqrt{5})p_2 - 2\sqrt{2}$$

Posted on Authorea 12 Apr 2021 — The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https://doi.org/10.22541/au.161826077.77699117/v1 — This a preprint and has not been peer reviewed. Data may

From the structure of the hexagonal chain, it is easy to see that there exists only (2, 2), (2, 3) and (3, 3)-type of edges. From Proposition , when n = 2, $E_2^a = 4\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + \sqrt{2}(6 \cdot |2 - a| + |3 - a|)$.

For $n \geq 3$, there are three possibilities to be considered (see Figure 1).

Case 1 . $HXG_{n-1} \rightarrow HXG_n^1$.

$$\begin{split} \max_{n=1} (HXG_n^{-1}) &= \max_{n=1} (HXG_n^{-1}) \\ &= \max_{n=2} (HXG_n^{-1}) \\ &= \max_{n=2} (HXG_{n-1}) \\ &= \max_{n=2} (HXG_{n-$$

$$E_n^a = E_{n-1}^a + 2(p_2 + 1)\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + \sqrt{2}[(1 - p_2) \cdot |2 - a| + (2 - p_2) \cdot |3 - a|].$$

(6)

After solving the recurrence relation (6) with initial condition, we get (2).

When a = 0, we have (3). When a = 1, we have (4). Since $|V(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))| = 4n + 2$, $|E(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))| = 5n + 1$, we have $2 < \overline{d} = \frac{5n+1}{2n+1} < 3$. For given n, \overline{d} is a constant and therefore we get (5).

Let
$$R_n = HXG(n; 0, 0)$$
, $L_n = HXG(n; 1, 0)$ and $P_n = HXG(n; 0, 1)$. By Theorem , we have

The Sombor indices of R_n , L_n and P_n are

$$SO_a(R_n) = SO_a(L_n) = 2n\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + \sqrt{2}(n+4) \cdot |2-a| + \sqrt{2}(2n-3) \cdot |3-a|$$
$$SO_a(P_n) = 4(n-1)\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + 6\sqrt{2} \cdot |2-a| + \sqrt{2}(n-1) \cdot |3-a|.$$

Among all random hexagonal chains $HXG_n (n \ge 2)$, we have

(1) $(8\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{13})n - \sqrt{2} \leq SO(HXG_n) \leq (3\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{13})n + 9\sqrt{2} - 4\sqrt{13}$, with left equality iff $G \cong R_n$ or $G \cong L_n$, right equality iff $G \cong P_n$. (2) $(5\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{5})n - 2\sqrt{2} \leq SO_{red}(HXG_n) \leq (2\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{5})n + 4\sqrt{2} - 4\sqrt{5}$, with left equality iff $G \cong R_n$ or $G \cong L_n$, right equality iff $G \cong P_n$. (3) $2n\sqrt{2\overline{d^2} - 10\overline{d} + 13} + \sqrt{2}[(4 - \overline{d})n + 7\overline{d} - 17] \leq SO_{avr}(HXG_n) \leq 4(n - 1)\sqrt{2\overline{d^2} - 10\overline{d} + 13} + \sqrt{2}[(3 - \overline{d})n + 7\overline{d} - 15]$, where $\overline{d} = \frac{5n+1}{2n+1}$, with left equality iff $G \cong R_n$ or $G \cong L_n$, right equality iff $G \cong P_n$.

Since $E_n = (n-2)(2\sqrt{13}-5\sqrt{2})p_2 + \sqrt{2}(8n-1) + 2\sqrt{13}n$ and $(n-2)(2\sqrt{13}-5\sqrt{2}) \ge 0$, $SO(HXG_n)$ reaches the maximum value when $p_2 = 1$ and reaches the minimum value when $p_2 = 0$.

Since $E_n^{red} = (n-2)(2\sqrt{5} - 3\sqrt{2})p_2 + \sqrt{2}(5n-2) + 2\sqrt{5}n$ and $(n-2)(2\sqrt{5} - 3\sqrt{2}) \ge 0$, $SO_{red}(HXG_n)$ reaches the maximum value when $p_2 = 1$ and reaches the minimum value when $p_2 = 0$.

 $E_n^{avr} = (n-2)[2\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13} - \sqrt{2}]p_2 + 2n\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13} + \sqrt{2}[(4-\bar{d})n + 7\bar{d} - 17] \text{ can be regarded as a linear function of } p_2.$ Since $n \ge 2$, $2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 = 2(\bar{d} - \frac{5}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \ge \frac{1}{2}$, we have $2\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13} - \sqrt{2} \ge 0$. Thus $SO_{avr}(HXG_n)$ reaches the maximum value when $p_2 = 1$ and reaches the minimum value when $p_2 = 0$.

Denote by \mathcal{HC}_n the set of all hexagonal chains with *n* hexagons. The average value of Sombor indices among \mathcal{HC}_n can be characterized as

$$A_a(\mathcal{HC}_n) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{HC}_n|} \sum_{G \in \mathcal{HC}_n} SO_a(G).$$

Since each element in \mathcal{HC}_n has the same probability of occurrence, we have $p_1 = p_2 = 1 - p_1 - p_2 = \frac{1}{3}$. Then we have the following theorem.

The average values of Sombor indices among \mathcal{HC}_n are

$$A_a(\mathcal{HC}_n) = \frac{4}{3}(2n-1)\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{2}(n+7) \cdot |2-a| + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(5n-7) \cdot |3-a|.$$

We find that the average values of the Sombor index with respect to R_n, L_n, P_n is equal to the average value of Sombor index among \mathcal{HC}_n .

$$\frac{SO_a(R_n) + SO_a(L_n) + SO_a(P_n)}{3} = A_a(\mathcal{HC}_n).$$

Random phenylene chains

The phenylene chains are a class of conjugated hydrocarbons consists of hexagons and squares connected in turn, which has unique physicochemical properties due to their aromatic and antiaromatic rings. In (Z. Raza & geometric indices in random phenylene chains, 2020; Z. Raza, 2021), Raza studied the expected values of some indices such as sum-connectivity, harmonic, symmetric division, arithmetic bond connectivity and geometric indices in random phenylene chains. In the following, we will study the Sombor indices of phenylene chains which are special molecular graphs. A phenylene chain RPH_n with n hexagons can be regarded as a phenylene chain RPH_{n-1} with n-1 hexagons to which a new terminal hexagon has been adjoined by two edges. For $n \ge 3$, the terminal hexagon can be attached in three ways, which results in the local arrangements, we describe as RPH_n^1 , RPH_n^2 , and RPH_n^3 , respectively (see Figure ??).

A random phenylene chain $RPH(n; p_1, p_2)$ with n hexagons is a polyphenyl chain obtained by stepwise addition of terminal hexagons. At each step $t(=3, 4, \dots, n)$, a random selection is made from one of the three possible constructions:

(1) $RPH_{n-1} \rightarrow RPH_n^1$ with probability p_1 ; (2) $RPH_{n-1} \rightarrow RPH_n^2$ with probability p_2 ; (3) $RPH_{n-1} \rightarrow RPH_n^3$ with probability $1 - p_1 - p_2$, where p_1 , p_2 are constants, irrelative to the step parameter t.

We denote the expected values of Sombor indices by $\mathbb{E}_n^a = E[SO_a(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))], \mathbb{E}_n = E[SO(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))], \mathbb{E}_n^{red} = E[SO_{red}(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))]$ and $\mathbb{E}_n^{avr} = E[SO_{avr}(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))]$. In this section, *a* is a constant.

Let $RPH(n; p_1, p_2)$ be the random phenylene chain of length $n \geq 2$. Then



$$\mathbb{E}_n = \left[(2\sqrt{13} - 5\sqrt{2})p_2 + 17\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{13} \right]n + 2(5\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{13})p_2 - 10\sqrt{2},$$

$$\mathbb{E}_n^{red} = \left[(2\sqrt{5} - 3\sqrt{2})p_2 + 11\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{5} \right]n + (6\sqrt{2} - 4\sqrt{5})p_2 - 8\sqrt{2},$$

(7)

(7)

From the structure of the phenylene chain, it is easy to see that there exists only (2, 2), (2, 3) and (3, 3)-type of edges. From Proposition , when n = 2, $\mathbb{E}_2^a = 4\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + 6\sqrt{2} \cdot |2 - a| + 4\sqrt{2} \cdot |3 - a|$. For $n \ge 3$, there are three possibilities to be considered (see Figure ??).

Case 1. $RPH_{n-1} \rightarrow RPH_n^1$.

$$m_{22}(RPH_n^1) = m_{22}(RPH_{n-1}) + 1;$$

$$\begin{split} m_{23}(RPH_n^1) &= m_{23}(RPH_{n-1}) + 2;\\ m_{33}(RPH_n^1) &= m_{33}(RPH_{n-1}) + 5.\\ \end{split}$$
 Thus, $SO_a(RPH_n^1) &= SO_a(RPH_{n-1}) + 2\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + \sqrt{2} \cdot |2 - a| + 5\sqrt{2} \cdot |3 - a|$

Case 2. $RPH_{n-1} \rightarrow RPH_n^2$.

$$\begin{split} m_{22}(RPH_n^2) &= m_{22}(RPH_{n-1}) + 0; \\ m_{23}(RPH_n^2) &= m_{23}(RPH_{n-1}) + 4; \\ m_{33}(RPH_n^2) &= m_{33}(RPH_{n-1}) + 4. \end{split}$$

Thus, $SO_a(RPH_n^2) = SO_a(RPH_{n-1}) + 4\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + 4\sqrt{2} \cdot |3 - a|.$ Case 3. $RPH_{n-1} \to RPH_n^3.$

$$\begin{split} m_{22}(RPH_n) &= m_{22}(RPH_{n-1}) + 1; \\ m_{23}(RPH_n^3) &= m_{23}(RPH_{n-1}) + 2; \\ m_{33}(RPH_n^3) &= m_{33}(RPH_{n-1}) + 5. \end{split}$$

Thus, $SO_a(RPH_n^3) = SO_a(RPH_{n-1}) + 2\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + \sqrt{2} \cdot |2 - a| + 5\sqrt{2} \cdot |3 - a|.$ Therefore, $\mathbb{E}_n^a = p_1 \cdot SO_a(RPH_n^1) + p_2 \cdot SO_a(RPH_n^2) + (1 - p_1 - p_2) \cdot SO_a(RPH_n^3) = SO_a(RPH_{n-1}) + 2(p_2 + 1) \cdot SO_a(RPH_n^3) = SO_a(RPH_n^3) + 2(p_2 + 1) \cdot SO_a(RPH_n^3) = SO_a(RPH_n^3) + 2(p_2 + 1) \cdot S$

 $1)\sqrt{2a^2-10a+13} + \sqrt{2}(1-p_2) \cdot |2-a| + \sqrt{2}(5-p_2) \cdot |3-a|$. Since $E[\mathbb{E}_n^a] = \mathbb{E}_n^a$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{n}^{a} = \mathbb{E}_{n-1}^{a} + 2(p_{2}+1)\sqrt{2a^{2}-10a+13} + \sqrt{2}(1-p_{2}) \cdot |2-a| + \sqrt{2}(5-p_{2}) \cdot |3-a|$$

(7)

After solving the recurrence relation (7) with initial condition, we get (7).

When a = 0, we have (7). When a = 1, we have (7). Since $|V(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))| = 6n$, $|E(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))| = 8n - 2$, we have $2 < \overline{d} = \frac{8n-2}{3n} < 3$. For given n, \overline{d} is a constant and therefore we get (7).

Let $\mathbb{R}_n = RPH(n; 0, 0)$, $\mathbb{L}_n = RPH(n; 1, 0)$ and $\mathbb{P}_n = RPH(n; 0, 1)$. By Theorem , we have

The Sombor indices of \mathbb{R}_n , \mathbb{L}_n and \mathbb{P}_n are

$$SO_a(\mathbb{R}_n) = SO_a(\mathbb{L}_n) = 2n\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + \sqrt{2}(n+4) \cdot |2-a| + \sqrt{2}(5n-6) \cdot |3-a|,$$

$$SO_a(\mathbb{P}_n) = 4(n-1)\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + 6\sqrt{2} \cdot |2-a| + 4\sqrt{2}(n-1) \cdot |3-a|.$$

Among all random phenylene chains $RPH_n (n \ge 2)$, we have

(1) $(17\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{13})n - 10\sqrt{2} \leq SO(RPH_n) \leq (12\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{13})n - 4\sqrt{13}$, with left equality iff $G \cong \mathbb{R}_n$ or $G \cong \mathbb{L}_n$, right equality iff $G \cong \mathbb{P}_n$.

(2) $(11\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{5})n - 8\sqrt{2} \le SO_{red}(RPH_n) \le (8\sqrt{2} + 4\sqrt{5})n - 2\sqrt{2} - 4\sqrt{5}$, with left equality iff $G \cong \mathbb{R}_n$ or $G \cong \mathbb{L}_n$, right equality iff $G \cong \mathbb{P}_n$.

$$\begin{array}{l} (3)2n\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + \sqrt{2}[(13 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d}) + 5\bar{d} - 12]}, \\ (3)2n\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + \sqrt{2}[(13 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d}^2 - 10\bar{d} + 13 + 2\sqrt{2}[2n(3 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)]} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq 4(n-1)\sqrt{2\bar{d} - 13} \leq SO_{avr}(RPH_n) \leq SO_{avr}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}_n = (n-2)(2\sqrt{13} - 5\sqrt{2})p_2 + \sqrt{2}(17n - 10) + 2\sqrt{13}n$ and $(n-2)(2\sqrt{13} - 5\sqrt{2}) \ge 0$, $SO(RPH_n)$ reaches the maximum value when $p_2 = 1$ and reaches the minimum value when $p_2 = 0$.

Since $\mathbb{E}_{n}^{red} = (n-2)(2\sqrt{5}-3\sqrt{2})p_{2} + \sqrt{2}(11n-8) + 2\sqrt{5}n$ and $(n-2)(2\sqrt{5}-3\sqrt{2}) \ge 0$, $SO_{red}(RPH_{n})$ reaches the maximum value when $p_{2} = 1$ and reaches the minimum value when $p_{2} = 0$.

 $\mathbb{E}_{n}^{avr} = (n-2)[2\sqrt{2\bar{d}^{2} - 10\bar{d} + 13} - \sqrt{2}]p_{2} + 2n\sqrt{2\bar{d}^{2} - 10\bar{d} + 13} + \sqrt{2}[(13 - 4\bar{d})n + 2(5\bar{d} - 13)] \text{ can be regarded} as a linear function of <math>p_{2}$. Since $n \geq 2$, $2\bar{d}^{2} - 10\bar{d} + 13 = 2(\bar{d} - \frac{5}{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $2\sqrt{2\bar{d}^{2} - 10\bar{d} + 13} - \sqrt{2} \geq 0$. Thus $SO_{avr}(RPH_{n})$ reaches the maximum value when $p_{2} = 1$ and reaches the minimum value when $p_{2} = 0$.

Denote by \mathcal{PC}_n the set of all phenylene chains with *n* hexagons. The average value of Sombor indices among \mathcal{PC}_n can be characterized as

$$A_a(\mathcal{PC}_n) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{PC}_n|} \sum_{G \in \mathcal{PC}_n} SO_a(G).$$

Since each element in \mathcal{PC}_n has the same probability of occurrence, we have $p_1 = p_2 = 1 - p_1 - p_2 = \frac{1}{3}$. Then we have the following theorem.

The average values of Sombor indices among \mathcal{PC}_n are

$$A_a(\mathcal{PC}_n) = \frac{4}{3}(2n-1)\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{2}(n+7) \cdot |2-a| + \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{2}(7n-8) \cdot |3-a|$$

We find that the average values of the Sombor index with respect to $\mathbb{R}_n, \mathbb{L}_n, \mathbb{P}_n$ is equal to the average value of Sombor index among \mathcal{PC}_n .

$$\frac{SO_a(\mathbb{R}_n) + SO_a(\mathbb{L}_n) + SO_a(\mathbb{P}_n)}{3} = A_a(\mathcal{PC}_n).$$

Comparisons between Sombor indices with respect to random hexagonal chains and random phenylene chains

With the help of Theorems and , we make a comparison between the expected values for Sombor, reduced Sombor and average Sombor indices of a random hexagonal chain or a random phenylene chain with the same probabilities p_i (i = 1, 2) (see Figure 2, ??).

Let $HXG(n; p_1, p_2)$ be the hexagonal chain of length $n \geq 2$ and $RPH(n; p_1, p_2)$ be the random phenylene chain of length $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{split} E[SO(G)] > E[SO_{red}(G)] > E[SO_{avr}(G)], \text{ where } G &\cong HXG(n; p_1, p_2) \text{ or } RPH(n; p_1, p_2), \\ E[SO(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))] > E[SO(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))], \\ E[SO_{red}(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))] > E[SO_{red}(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))], \\ E[SO_{avr}(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))] > E[SO_{avr}(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))]. \end{split}$$

Since $2 \leq d_i, d_i \leq 3, 2 < \overline{d} < 3$, we have

$$\sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} > \sqrt{(d_i - 1)^2 + (d_j - 1)^2} > \sqrt{(d_i - \bar{d})^2 + (d_j - \bar{d})^2},$$

thus $E[SO(G)] > E[SO_{red}(G)] > E[SO_{avr}(G)].$

Since $E[SO(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))] - E[SO(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))] = 9\sqrt{2}(n-1) > 0, E[SO_{red}(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))] - E[SO_{red}(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))] = 6\sqrt{2}(n-1) > 0$, we have $E[SO(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))] > E[SO(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))]$, and $E[SO_{red}(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))] > E[SO_{red}(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))]$.

When n = 2, from Theorem and Theorem , we have $E[SO_{avr}(RPH(2;p_1,p_2))] > E[SO_{avr}(HXG(2;p_1,p_2))]$. Let $\bar{d}_1 = \bar{d}(HXG(n;p_1,p_2))$ and $\bar{d}_2 = \bar{d}(RPH(n;p_1,p_2))$. Since

$$\frac{11}{5} \le \bar{d}_1 = \frac{5n+1}{2n+1} < \frac{5}{2}, \quad \frac{7}{3} \le \bar{d}_2 = \frac{8n-2}{3n} < \frac{8}{3},$$

we have $\bar{d}_1 - 4\bar{d}_2 \ge \frac{11}{5} - 4 \times \frac{8}{3} = -\frac{127}{15}$. Let $f(a) = 2\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13}$, then $f(\bar{d}_2) - f(\bar{d}_1) \ge f(\frac{5}{2}) - f(\frac{11}{5}) = \sqrt{2} - \frac{2}{5}\sqrt{17}$. By (6) and (7),

Therefore, $E[SO_{avr}(RPH(n; p_1, p_2))] > E[SO_{avr}(HXG(n; p_1, p_2))].$

figures/hxg/hxg-eps-converted-to.pdf

Figure 2: Difference between $SO(HXG_n)$, $SO_{red}(HXG_n)$ and $SO_{avr}(HXG_n)$

The Sombor indices of graphene, coronoid systems and carbon nanocones

Graphene (1986; 2004), denoted by GN(n,k), is a flat monolayer of carbon atoms tightly packed into a two-dimensional hexagonal lattice that forms a basic building block for graphitic materials of different forms (see Figure ??). Due to the C-C covalent bonds, graphene is the hardest material known in nature (2007). There are various results about the topological indices of graphene in recent years (2016; 2020).

Let G be a graphene nanoribbon GN(n,k), $1 \le k \le n$. Then

$$SO(G) = 4\sqrt{13}(n+k-2) + \sqrt{2}(18nk-15n-11k+20),$$

$$SO_{red}(G) = 4\sqrt{5}(n+k-2) + \sqrt{2}(12nk-8k-10n+12),$$

From the structure of graphene GN(n, k), it is easy to see that there exists only (2, 2), (2, 3) and (3, 3)-type of edges. Since $m_{22}(G) = 2k + 4$, $m_{23}(G) = 4n + 4k - 8$, $m_{33}(G) = 6nk - 5k - 5n + 4$, from Proposition , we have

$$SO_a(G) = 4(n+k-2)\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + 2\sqrt{2}(k+2) \cdot |2-a| + \sqrt{2}(6nk - 5k - 5n + 4) \cdot |3-a|.$$

Thus

$$SO(G) = 4\sqrt{13}(n+k-2) + \sqrt{2}(18nk-15n-11k+20),$$

$$SO_{red}(G) = 4\sqrt{5}(n+k-2) + \sqrt{2}(12nk-8k-10n+12).$$

Since |V(G)| = 2(2n+1)k, |E(G)| = (6n+1)k - n, we have $2 < \bar{d} = \frac{(6n+1)k - n}{(2n+1)k} < 3$. Thus

The proof is completed.

A coronoid system can be regarded as a benzenoid system that is allowed to have 'holes' such that the perimeter of the coronoid system and the perimeters of the holes are pairwise disjoint. There are many results on topological index of coronoid systems (*Doi: 10.1080/10402020.1804415, 2020; 2021*). We now consider a special family of coronoid systems, denoted by K(n, p, r) (see Figure ??), which is formally generated from polycyclic benzenoid systems by circumcising some interior atoms or bonds.

Let G be the K(n, p, r) coronoid structure with $r \ge 1$, $n \ge 3$ and $1 \le p \le n$. Then

$$SO(G) = 4\sqrt{13}(2n + 4p + 3r - 6) + 3\sqrt{2}[3(3r - 2)(2p + n) + 9r^2 - 15r + 16],$$

$$SO_{red}(G) = 4\sqrt{5}(2n + 4p + 3r - 6) + \sqrt{2}[4(3r - 2)(2p + n) + 18r^2 - 30r + 30],$$

$$SO_{avr}(G) = \frac{1}{r+1}[4\sqrt{r^2 + 1}(2n + 4p + 3r - 6) + \sqrt{2}(1 + 6r)].$$

From the structure of K(n, p, r) coronoid structure, it is easy to see that there exists only (2, 2), (2, 3) and (3, 3)-type of edges. Since $m_{22}(G) = 6$, $m_{23}(G) = 8(2p + n) + 12(r - 2)$, $m_{33}(G) = 2(3r - 2)(2p + n) + 3(3r^2 - 5r + 4)$, from Proposition, we have

$$SO_a(G) = 4\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13}(4p + 2n + 3r - 6) + 6\sqrt{2} \cdot |2 - a| + |3 - a| \cdot \sqrt{2}[2(3r - 2)(2p + n) + 3(3r^2 - 5r + 4)].$$

Thus

$$SO(G) = 4\sqrt{13}(2n + 4p + 3r - 6) + 3\sqrt{2}[3(3r - 2)(2p + n) + 9r^2 - 15r + 16],$$

$$\begin{split} SO_{red}(G) &= 4\sqrt{5}(4p+2n+3r-6) + \sqrt{2}[4(3r-2)(n+2p)+18r^2-30r+30].\\ |V(G)| &= 2(r+1)(4p+2n+3r-3), \, |E(G)| = (3r+2)(4p+2n+3r-3), \, \text{we have} \, 2 < \bar{d} = \frac{3r+2}{r+1} < 3.\\ SO_{avr}(G) &= \frac{1}{r+1}[4\sqrt{r^2+1}(2n+4p+3r-6)+\sqrt{2}(1+6r)]. \end{split}$$

The proof is completed.

Since Thus

From Theorem 7, it is easy to obtain Corollary 7 and Corollary 7 as special cases. More precisely, we use the previous theorem on K(2, 1, r) and K(2, 2, r) to compute the indices for r-circumscribed $C_{32}H_{16}$ and $C_{48}H_{24}$ coronoid structures.

Let G be an r-circumscribed $C_{32}H_{16}$ coronoid structure $(r \ge 1)$. Then

$$SO(G) = 4\sqrt{13}(2+3r) + 3\sqrt{2}(9r^2 + 21r - 8),$$

$$SO_{red}(G) = 4\sqrt{5}(2+3r) + 2\sqrt{2}(9r^2 + 9r - 1),$$

$$SO_{avr}(G) = \frac{1}{r+1}[4\sqrt{r^2 + 1}(2+3r) + \sqrt{2}(1+6r)].$$

Let G be an r-circumscribed $C_{48}H_{24}$ coronoid structure $(r \ge 1)$. Then

$$SO(G) = 12\sqrt{13}(2+r) + 3\sqrt{2}(9r^2 + 39r - 20),$$

$$SO_{red}(G) = 12\sqrt{5}(2+r) + 6\sqrt{2}(3r^2 + 7r - 3),$$

$$SO_{avr}(G) = \frac{1}{r+1}[12\sqrt{r^2 + 1}(2+r) + \sqrt{2}(1+6r)].$$

Carbon nanocones are conical structures, which are conceived as curved forms of graphite sheet obtained by excising a wedge and subsequently joining the edges (see Figure ??). Carbon nanocones have a wide range of applications, such as caping ultrafine gold needles, which attracted the attention of both theoretical and experimental chemists. There are many results on topological index of carbon nanocones (2019; 2018).

Let G be the carbon nanocone structure $CNC_k(n)$ with k > 4 and $n \ge 1$. Then

$$SO(G) = 2\sqrt{13}kn + \frac{\sqrt{2k}}{2}(9n^2 + 3n + 4),$$

$$SO_{red}(G) = 2\sqrt{5}kn + \sqrt{2k}(3n^2 + n + 1),$$

$$SO_{avr}(G) = \frac{kn}{2} \left(\frac{4}{n+1}\sqrt{n^2 + 1} + 3\sqrt{2}\right).$$

From the structure of carbon nanocone $CNC_k(n)$, it is easy to see that there exists only (2, 2), (2, 3) and (3, 3)-type of edges. Since $m_{22}(G) = k$, $m_{23}(G) = 2kn$, $m_{33}(G) = kn(3n+1)/2$, from Proposition, we have

$$SO_a(G) = 2kn\sqrt{2a^2 - 10a + 13} + \frac{\sqrt{2}k}{2}[2 \cdot |2 - a| + |3 - a| \cdot (3n + 1)n].$$

Thus,

$$SO(G) = 2\sqrt{13}kn + \frac{\sqrt{2}k}{2}(9n^2 + 3n + 4),$$

$$SO_{red}(G) = 2\sqrt{5}kn + \sqrt{2}k(3n^2 + n + 1).$$

Since $|V(G)| = k(n+1)^2$, |E(G)| = k(n+1)(3n+2)/2, we have $2 < \bar{d} = \frac{3n+2}{n+1} < 3$. Thus $SO_{avr}(G) = \frac{kn}{2} \left(\frac{4}{n+1}\sqrt{n^2+1} + 3\sqrt{2}\right).$

The proof is completed.

Let G be n-circumscribed one pentagonal carbon nanocone structure $CNC_5(n)$ with $n \ge 1$. Then

$$SO(G) = 10\sqrt{13}n + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{2}(9n^2 + 3n + 4),$$

$$SO_{red}(G) = 10\sqrt{5}n + 5\sqrt{2}(3n^2 + n + 1),$$

$$SO_{avr}(G) = \frac{5n}{2}\left(\frac{4}{n+1}\sqrt{n^2 + 1} + 3\sqrt{2}\right).$$

figures/GN/GN-eps-converted-to.pdf

Figure 3: Differences between Sombor, reduced Sombor and average Sombor indices of GN(n, k).

It's clear that $E[SO(G)] > E[SO_{red}(G)] > E[SO_{avr}(G)]$ for graph G with only (2, 2), (2, 3) and (3, 3)-type of edges. The graphical profiles of the comparison between Sombor, reduced Sombor and average Sombor indices of graphene GN(n,k) or carbon nanocone structure $CNC_k(n)$ is give in Figure 3, ??. The numerical comparison of the Sombor indices with respect to different types of K(n, p, r) coronoid structure is give in Table 1.

(n, p, r)	SO(G)	$SO_{red}(G)$	$SO_{avr}(G)$
(3,1,1)	207.02	116.35	24.75
(3,1,2)	492.12	261.98	35.94
(3,1,3)	853.58	458.51	47.83
(4,2,4)	1759.79	929.34	79.64
(4,2,5)	2388.54	1278.61	92.29
(4,2,6)	3093.66	1678.80	104.80
(5,2,1)	373.31	210.53	47.38
(5,3,2)	970.66	505.07	71.72
(5,4,3)	1797.10	918.41	98.42
(6,4,4)	2696.53	1376.08	119.22
(6,4,5)	3554.38	1827.18	133.08
(6,4,6)	4488.60	2329.18	146.51
(9,5,7)	6852.57	3508.95	194.98
(9,6,8)	8748.16	4482.31	222.69
(9,7,9)	10872.85	5574.47	250.46

Table 1: Numeric differences for K(n, p, r)

Conclusion

In this paper, the expected values of Sombor index, reduced Sombor index and average Sombor index have been determined for random hexagonal chains and random phenylene chains. Explicit formulae for Sombor index, reduced Sombor index and average Sombor index of some chemical graphs such as graphene, coronoid systems and carbon nanocones are given. And detailed comparisons between these indices with respect to different chemical graphs have been determined explicitly. The structural characteristics of the compound can be deduced from the topological index formulae, which provides a theoretical basis for drug discovery and synthetic organic chemistry.

References

(2018).
(2021). *Doi:10.2298/jsc201215006r*. (2021).
(2021).
(2021).
(2021).
(2021).
(2021).
(2021).
(2021).
(2021).
(2021).
(2021).
(2021).
(2021).

(2021).
Doi: 10.1080/10402020.1809472. (2020).
(2020).
Doi:10.1080/10402020.1749089. (2020).
Doi:10.1080/10402020.1809469. (2020).
(2012).
(2020).
(2021).

(1986).

(2004).

(2007).

(2016).

(2020).

(2020).

(2021).

(2019).

(2018).