

On the Exponential Diophantine Equation

$$(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$$

Zafer Şiar and Refik Keskin

Bingöl University, Department of Mathematics, Bingöl/TURKEY

zsiar@bingol.edu.tr

Sakarya University, Department of Mathematics, Sakarya/TURKEY

rkeskin@sakarya.edu.tr

October 14, 2019

Abstract

In this paper, we deal with the equation

$$(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2, 2 \leq a < b, a, b, x, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

We solve the equation (1) for $(a, b) \in \{(2, 10), (4, 100), (10, 58), (3, 45)\}$. Moreover, we show that $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has no solution n, x if $2|n$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. We also give a conjecture which says that the equation $(2^n - 2)((2P_k)^n - 2) = x^2$ has only the solution $(n, x) = (2, Q_k)$, where $k > 3$ is odd and P_k, Q_k are Pell and Pell Lucas numbers, respectively. We also conjecture that if the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has a solution n, x , then $n \leq 6$.

Keywords: Pell equation, exponential Diophantine equation, Lucas sequence

MSC: 11D61, 11D31, 11B39

1 Introduction

Over the last decades, several authors have dealt with the equation

$$(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2, \quad x, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2)$$

where $a > 1$ and $b > 1$ are different fixed integers. Firstly, Szalay [14] handled the equation (2) for $(a, b) = (2, 3), (2, 5)$, and $(2, 2^k)$. He showed that there is no solution if $(a, b) = (2, 3)$. Also he proved that there is only the solution $n = 1$ for $(a, b) = (2, 5)$, and only the solution $k = 2$ and $n = 3$ for $(a, b) = (2, 2^k)$ with $k > 1$. Then, in [4], the authors determined that the equation (2) has no solutions

for $(a, b) = (2, 6)$ and that has only the solutions $(a, n, k) = (2, 3, 2), (3, 1, 5)$, and $(7, 1, 4)$ for $(a, b) = (a, a^k)$ with $kn > 2$. Last result was extended by Cohn [1] to the case $a^l = b^k$. He also proved that the equation (2) has no solutions if $4|n$ except for $(a, b) = (13, 239)$, in which case $n = 4$. Later, in [7, 11, 17, 18], the authors studied the equation (2) for the different values of a and b . Lastly, in [6], Keskin proved that the equation (2) has no solutions for $n > 4$ with $2|n$ if a and b have opposite parity. Keskin also proved that if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, $2||n$, and $n > 4$, then the equation (2) has no solutions.

Motivated by the above studies, in this study, we consider the equation (1). By assuming the *abc* conjecture is true, in [8], Luca and Walsh gave the following theorem:

Theorem 1 *Let a, b, c, d, e be non-zero integers. Then the *abc* conjecture implies that the equation*

$$(ax^m + b)(cy^n + d) = ez^2$$

has only finitely many solutions (x, y, z, m, n) satisfying $xyz \neq 0$, $dax^m \neq bcy^n$ and $\min(m, n) \geq 5$.

This theorem implies that the equation (1) has only finitely many solutions n, x if a and b are different fixed positive integers. For more information on the *abc* conjecture, one can consult [13]. In this paper, we discuss on the solution of the equation (1) and solve this equation for $(a, b) \in \{(2, 10), (4, 100), (10, 58), (3, 45)\}$. Our method is elementary and use solutions of Pell equations and properties of the first and second kind Lucas sequences. In the last section, we give a conjecture which says that the equation $(2^n - 2)((2P_k)^n - 2) = x^2$ has only the solution $(n, x) = (2, Q_k)$, where $k > 3$ is odd and P_k, Q_k are Pell and Pell Lucas numbers, respectively. We also conjecture that if the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has a solution n, x , then $n \leq 6$, where $2 \leq a < b$.

2 Preliminaries

In this study, while solving the equation (1), the first and second kind of Lucas sequence $(U_n(P, Q))$ and $(V_n(P, Q))$ play an essential role. So, we need to recall them.

Let P and Q be nonzero relatively prime integers such that $P^2 + 4Q > 0$. Define

$$U_0(P, Q) = 0, U_1(P, Q) = 1, U_{n+1}(P, Q) = PU_n(P, Q) + QU_{n-1}(P, Q) \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

$$V_0(P, Q) = 2, V_1(P, Q) = P, V_{n+1}(P, Q) = PV_n(P, Q) + QV_{n-1}(P, Q) \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Sometimes, we write U_n and V_n instead of $U_n(P, Q)$ and $V_n(P, Q)$, respectively. For $(P, Q) = (2, 1)$, we have Pell and Pell-Lucas sequences (P_n) and (Q_n) . The following identities concerning the sequences (U_n) and (V_n) , which will be used in the next section, are well known (see [12, 15, 16]).

Let $d = (m, n)$. Then

$$(U_m, U_n) = U_d \quad (3)$$

and

$$(V_m, V_n) = \begin{cases} V_d & \text{if } m/d \text{ and } n/d \text{ are odd,} \\ 1 \text{ or } 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$V_{2n} = V_n^2 - 2(-Q)^n \quad (5)$$

$$V_{3n} = V_n(V_n^2 - 3(-Q)^n) \quad (6)$$

$$V_n(P, -1) = U_{n+1}(P, -1) - U_{n-1}(P, -1). \quad (7)$$

If P is even, then

$$V_n \text{ is even,}$$

$$2|U_n \text{ if and only if } 2|n, \quad (8)$$

and

$$U_n(P, -1) - U_{n-1}(P, -1) \text{ is odd.} \quad (9)$$

Let d be a positive integer which is not a perfect square and N be any nonzero fixed integer. Then the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = N$ is known as Pell equation. For $N = 1$, the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$ is known as classical Pell equation. We use the notations (x, y) , and $x + y\sqrt{d}$ interchangeably to denote solutions of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = N$. Also, if x and y are both positive, we say that $x + y\sqrt{d}$ is positive solution to the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = N$. The least positive integer solution $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d}$ to the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = N$ is called the fundamental solution to this equation.

Now, consider the Pell equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1. \quad (10)$$

If $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d}$ is the fundamental solution of the equation (10), then all positive integer solutions of this equation are given by

$$x_n + y_n\sqrt{d} = (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d})^n \quad (11)$$

with $n \geq 1$. Using the identity (10) and definitions of the sequences $U_n(P, Q)$ and $V_n(P, Q)$, the following lemma can be proved (see also Lemma 13 in [6]).

Lemma 2 *Let $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d}$ be the fundamental solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$. Then all positive integer solutions of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$ are given by*

$$x_n = \frac{V_n(2x_1, -1)}{2} \text{ and } y_n = y_1 U_n(2x_1, -1)$$

with $n \geq 1$.

By Theorem 110 given in [10], we can give the following lemma.

Lemma 3 Let $k_1 + t_1\sqrt{d}$ be the fundamental solution of the equation $u^2 - dv^2 = 2$ and let $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d}$ be the fundamental solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$. Then all positive integer solutions of the equation $u^2 - dv^2 = 2$ are given by

$$X_n + Y_n\sqrt{d} = \left(k_1 + t_1\sqrt{d}\right) \left(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d}\right)^n \quad (12)$$

with $n \geq 1$.

Let us denote $v_2(m)$ by the exponent of 2 in the factorization of the positive integer m . Then we have

Lemma 4 (Lemma 2.1, [2]) If P is even, then

$$v_2(V_n(P, -1)) = \begin{cases} v_2(P) & n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ 1 & n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5 (Theorem 2.2, [15]) Let a be a positive integer which is not a perfect square and let b be a positive integer. Let $u_1\sqrt{a} + v_1\sqrt{b}$ be the minimal solution of the equation $ax^2 - by^2 = 1$ and $P = 4au_1^2 - 2$. Then all positive integer solutions of the equation $ax^2 - by^2 = 1$ are given by $(x, y) = (u_1(U_{m+1} - U_m), v_1(U_{m+1} + U_m))$ with $n \geq 0$, where $U_m = U_m(P, -1)$.

Lemma 6 (3.5. Corollary, [16]) Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and m be a nonzero integer. Then

$$U_{2mn+r}(P, -1) \equiv U_r(P, -1) \pmod{U_m(P, -1)}. \quad (13)$$

We have

$$3|U_n(P, -1) \iff \begin{cases} 3|P \text{ and } 2|n, \\ P \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } 3|n, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and

$$5|U_n(P, -1) \iff \begin{cases} P \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \text{ and } 2|n, \\ P^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \text{ and } 3|n, \\ P^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{5} \text{ and } 5|n, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

for every natural number n .

Lemma 7 Let $P \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$ and $n \geq 1$. Then $a|U_n(P, -1) - U_{n-1}(P, -1)$ if and only if $n \equiv 2, 5 \pmod{6}$.

The above identities and Lemma 7 can be proved by using the identity (13).

3 Main Theorems

From now on, we assume that the numbers a and b are fixed integers such that $2 \leq a < b$ and $n > 1$.

Theorem 8 *The equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has no solution (n, x) in the following cases:*

- i) if a and b have opposite parity,
- ii) if $a = 2^t r$ and $b = 2^l s$ with $t \neq l$ and r, s odd, and $2 \mid n$,
- iii) if $a = 2^t r$ and $b = 2^l r$ such that t and l have the same parity with $t \neq l$ and r is odd.

Proof. i) Without loss of generality, assume that a is even and b is odd. Say $a = 2^t r$ with r odd and $t \geq 1$. Then we have the equation

$$2(2^{nt-1}r^n - 1)(b^n - 2) = x^2.$$

It can be seen that the number $v_2(2(2^{nt-1}r^n - 1)(b^n - 2))$ is odd. This contradicts the fact that the number $v_2(x^2)$ is even.

ii) Let $2 \mid n$. Assume that $a = 2^t r$ and $b = 2^l s$ such that r and s are odd, and $t \neq l$. Then we get

$$(2^{nt-1}r^n - 1)(2^{nl-1}s^n - 1) = (x/2)^2. \quad (16)$$

Let $d = \gcd(2^{nt-1}r^n - 1, 2^{nl-1}s^n - 1)$. Thus, from the equation (16), we obtain

$$2 \left(2^{\frac{nt-2}{2}} r^{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^2 - du^2 = 1 \text{ and } 2 \left(2^{\frac{nl-2}{2}} s^{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^2 - dv^2 = 1$$

for some integers u and v with $\gcd(u, v) = 1$. By Lemma 5, it follows that

$$2^{\frac{nt-2}{2}} r^{\frac{n}{2}} = u_1(U_{c+1} - U_c) \text{ and } 2^{\frac{nl-2}{2}} s^{\frac{n}{2}} = u_1(U_{k+1} - U_k),$$

where $u_1\sqrt{2} + v_1\sqrt{d}$ is the minimal solution of the equation $2x^2 - dy^2 = 1$. Since $U_{c+1} - U_c$ and $U_{k+1} - U_k$ are odd by the identity (9), it can be seen that

$$v_2(u_1) = v_2 \left(2^{\frac{nt-2}{2}} \right) = v_2 \left(2^{\frac{nl-2}{2}} \right).$$

This shows that $t = l$, which contradicts the fact that $t \neq l$.

iii) If $2 \mid n$, then the proof is obvious from ii). Now let $2 \nmid n$. Assume that $a = 2^t r$ and $b = 2^l r$ such that t and l have the same parity with $t \neq l$. Then, we get

$$(2^{nt-1}r^n - 1)(2^{nl-1}r^n - 1) = (x/2)^2.$$

Let $n = 2k + 1$. We shall discuss separately the proof according to whether both of t and l are even or odd.

Let t and l be odd. In this case, we obtain the equation

$$r \left(2^{\frac{nt-1}{2}} r^k \right)^2 - du^2 = 1 \text{ and } r \left(2^{\frac{nl-1}{2}} r^k \right)^2 - dv^2 = 1 \quad (17)$$

for some integers u and v with $\gcd(u, v) = 1$, where $d = \gcd(2^{nt-1}r^n - 1, 2^{nl-1}r^n - 1)$. If r is not a perfect square, then Lemma 5 and the identity (17) imply that

$$2^{\frac{nt-1}{2}} r^k = u_1(U_{c+1} - U_c) \text{ and } 2^{\frac{nl-1}{2}} r^k = u_1(U_{k+1} - U_k), \quad (18)$$

and if $r = z^2$, then Lemma 2 and (17) imply that

$$2^{\frac{nt-1}{2}} z^{2k+1} = \frac{V_{m_1}(2x_1, -1)}{2} \text{ and } 2^{\frac{nl-1}{2}} z^{2k+1} = \frac{V_{m_2}(2x_1, -1)}{2}, \quad (19)$$

where $u_1\sqrt{r} + v_1\sqrt{d}$ and $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d}$ are, respectively, the minimal solution of the equations $rx^2 - dy^2 = 1$ and $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$. Since $U_{c+1} - U_c$ and $U_{k+1} - U_k$ are odd by the identity (9), (18) gives us that

$$v_2(u_1) = v_2 \left(2^{\frac{nt-1}{2}} \right) = v_2 \left(2^{\frac{nl-1}{2}} \right).$$

Thus, we get $t = l$, which contradicts the fact that $t \neq l$. On the other hand, since $V_{m_1}(2x_1, -1)/2$ and $V_{m_2}(2x_1, -1)/2$ are even by (19), it is obvious that $v_2(V_{m_1}), v_2(V_{m_2}) \geq 2$. Therefore, we see that

$$v_2(2x_1) = v_2 \left(2^{\frac{nt-1}{2}} z^{2k+1} \right) = v_2 \left(2^{\frac{nl-1}{2}} z^{2k+1} \right)$$

by Lemma 4. From here, we get $\frac{nt-1}{2} = \frac{nl-1}{2}$, i.e., $t = l$, which contradicts the fact that $t \neq l$.

In case both t and l are even, the proof is similar and we omit the proof. ■

From Theorems 8, we can conclude the following result.

Corollary 9 *Let a be even, $b = 2^k a$ with $k \geq 1$ and let $v_2(a)$ and $v_2(b)$ have the same parity. Then the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has no solution (n, x) .*

By simple congruence modulo 3 arguments, one can prove the following two corollaries.

Corollary 10 *Let $3 \nmid a$ and $3 \mid b$. If $2 \mid n$, then the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has no solution (n, x) .*

Corollary 11 *If $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $3 \mid b$, then the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has no solution (n, x) .*

If $a + b\sqrt{d}$ is a solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 2$, then $(a + b\sqrt{d})^2/2 = (a^2 + db^2)/2 + ab\sqrt{d}$ is a solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$.

The proof of the following lemma can be found in Lemma 1 [5].

Lemma 12 Let $d > 2$. If $k_1 + t_1\sqrt{d}$ is the fundamental solution of the equation $u^2 - dv^2 = 2$, then $(k_1^2 + dt_1^2)/2 + k_1t_1\sqrt{d}$ is the fundamental solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$.

Theorem 13 Let $d > 2$. Let $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d}$ and $k_1 + t_1\sqrt{d}$ be the fundamental solutions of the equations $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$ and $u^2 - dv^2 = 2$, respectively. Then $(x_1, y_1) = (k_1^2 - 1, k_1t_1)$ and all solutions of the equation $u^2 - dv^2 = 2$ are given by

$$(X_n, Y_n) = (k_1(U_{n+1} - U_n), t_1(U_{n+1} + U_n))$$

with $n \geq 1$, where $U_n = U_n(2x_1, -1)$.

Proof. Since $k_1 + t_1\sqrt{d}$ is the fundamental solutions of the equation $u^2 - dv^2 = 2$, then $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d} = (k_1^2 + dt_1^2)/2 + k_1t_1\sqrt{d}$ is the fundamental solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$ by Lemma 12. It is immediately seen that $(x_1, y_1) = (k_1^2 - 1, k_1t_1)$. By the identity (12), all positive solutions of the equation $u^2 - dv^2 = 2$ are given by $X_n + Y_n\sqrt{d} = (k_1 + t_1\sqrt{d})(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d})^n$. Therefore $X_n + Y_n\sqrt{d} = (k_1 + t_1\sqrt{d})(x_n + y_n\sqrt{d})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} X_n + Y_n\sqrt{d} &= \left(\frac{k_1^2 + y_1\sqrt{d}}{k_1}\right)(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d})^n \\ &= \left(\frac{x_1 + 1 + y_1\sqrt{d}}{k_1}\right)(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d})^n \\ &= \frac{1}{k_1} \left[(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d})^{n+1} + (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{d})^n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$X_n = \frac{x_{n+1} + x_n}{k_1} \text{ and } Y_n = \frac{y_{n+1} + y_n}{k_1}$$

Since (x_n, y_n) is a solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$, we have

$$(x_n, y_n) = (V_n(2x_1, -1)/2, y_1U_n(2x_1, -1))$$

by Lemma 2. Using the identity (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= \frac{1}{2k_1} (V_{n+1} + V_n) = \frac{1}{2k_1} (U_{n+2} - U_n + U_{n+1} - U_{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2k_1} (2x_1U_{n+1} - U_n - U_n + U_{n+1} + U_{n+1} - 2x_1U_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2k_1} [(2x_1 + 2)U_{n+1} - (2x_1 + 2)U_n] \\ &= \frac{1}{2k_1} [2k_1^2U_{n+1} - 2k_1^2U_n] \\ &= k_1(U_{n+1} - U_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Y_n = \frac{1}{k_1} (y_1 U_{n+1} + y_1 U_n) = \frac{y_1}{k_1} (U_{n+1} + U_n) = t_1 (U_{n+1} + U_n). \blacksquare$$

Now, we can give the following result.

Corollary 14 *Let $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has no solutions if $2|n$.*

Proof. Assume that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and $2|n$. Let $n = 2m$. Then

$$(a^m)^2 - du^2 = 2 \text{ and } (b^m)^2 - dv^2 = 2, \quad (20)$$

for some integers u and v with $\gcd(u, v) = 1$, where $d = (a^n - 2, b^n - 2)$. If $d = 1$, then we get $(a^m)^2 - u^2 = 2$, a contradiction. Therefore $d > 2$. Assume that (x_1, y_1) and (k_1, t_1) are the fundamental solutions of the equations $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$ and $u^2 - dv^2 = 2$, respectively. Then by Theorem 13, we get

$$a^m = k_1(U_r - U_{r-1}), \quad u = t_1(U_r + U_{r-1})$$

and

$$b^m = k_1(U_s - U_{s-1}), \quad v = t_1(U_s + U_{s-1}).$$

Since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, we get $\gcd(a^m, b^m) = 1$. From the above equations it follows that $(k_1, t_1) = (1, 1)$ since $\gcd(a^m, b^m) = \gcd(u, v) = 1$. This implies that $d = -1$, which is impossible. \blacksquare

Using Mathematica, we verified for all $2 \leq a < b \leq 300$ and n in the range $2 \leq n \leq 1000$ that the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has only solutions $(a, b, n, x) = (2, 10, 2, 14), (2, 10, 6, 7874), (2, 58, 2, 82), (3, 45, 2, 119), (4, 100, 3, 7874), (10, 58, 2, 574), (5, 235, 2, 1127), (4, 116, 3, 434)$. We will solve the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ for $(a, b) = (2, 10), (3, 45), (4, 100), (10, 58)$.

The proofs of the following two lemmas can be done by induction on m .

Lemma 15 *Let $m \geq 4$. Then $5^m > 2^{2m+1} - 3$.*

Lemma 16 *Let $m \geq 2$. Then $2 \cdot 3^{4m-3} > 5^m + 1$.*

From Lemma 15, we can give the following corollary.

Corollary 17 *Let m and z be positive integers. If $(z + 1)(2z - 1)^2 = 10^{2m}$, then $m = 1, z = 3$ or $m = 3, z = 63$.*

Theorem 18 *The equation $(2^n - 2)(10^n - 2) = x^2$ has only the solutions $(n, x) = (2, 14), (6, 6874)$.*

Proof. It is obvious that $(n, x) = (2, 14)$ is a solution. Let $n > 2$. Firstly, assume that n is even, say $n = 2m$. Then

$$(2^m)^2 - 2du^2 = 2 \text{ and } (10^m)^2 - 2dv^2 = 2$$

for some integers u and v with $\gcd(u, v) = 1$, where $2d = \gcd(2^n - 2, 10^n - 2)$. Since $m > 1$, it can be seen that $2d > 2$. Hence, by Theorem 13, it follows that

$$2^m = k_1(U_r - U_{r-1}), \quad u = t_1(U_r + U_{r-1})$$

and

$$10^m = k_1(U_s - U_{s-1}), \quad v = t_1(U_s + U_{s-1}),$$

where $U_t = U_t(2x_1, -1)$ and (x_1, y_1) and (k_1, t_1) are the fundamental solutions of the equations $x^2 - 2dy^2 = 1$ and $u^2 - 2dv^2 = 2$, respectively. Since $U_r + U_{r-1}$ is odd by the identity (9) and $\gcd(u, v) = 1$, it follows that $k_1 = 2^m$, $r = 1$, and $t_1 = 1$, which implies that $u = 1$. Thus $(2^m)^2 - 2d = 2$, i.e., $d = 2^{n-1} - 1$. By Lemma 12, $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2d} = (2^m + \sqrt{2d})^2/2 = 2^n - 1 + 2^m\sqrt{2d}$. This shows that $x_1 = 2^n - 1$ and $y_1 = 2^m$. On the other hand, since $(10^m)^2 - 2dv^2 = 2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (10^m + v\sqrt{2d})^2 &= 10^{2m} + 2dv^2 + 10^m 2v\sqrt{2d} \\ &= 10^n - 1 + 10^m v\sqrt{2d}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that $10^n - 1 + 10^m v\sqrt{2d}$ is a solution of the equation $x^2 - 2dy^2 = 1$. Thus we have

$$10^n - 1 = V_k(2x_1, -1)/2 \text{ and } 10^m v = y_1 U_k(2x_1, -1) = 2^m U_k$$

for some positive integer k by Lemma 2. This implies that $5|U_k$. Now assume that $4|n$. Then $U_2 = P = 2x_1 = 2^{n+1} - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ and this implies that k is even since $5|U_k$. Taking $k = 2c$, we get $2 \cdot 10^n - 2 = V_{2c} = V_c^2 - 2$ by the identity (5), i.e., $2 \cdot 10^n = V_c^2$. This is impossible. Hence $2 \nmid n$. Then $P \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$. Since $U_k = U_{6q+r} \equiv U_r \pmod{U_3}$ by the identity (13) and $5|U_3$, it follows that $k = 3t$. This implies that $2 \cdot 10^n - 2 = V_{3t} = V_t^3 - 3V_t$ by the identity (6). Taking $V_t = 2z$, then, from the last equality, we get $(z+1)(2z-1)^2 = 10^{2m}$. By Corollary 17, it follows that $m = 1$ or $m = 3$. Since $n > 2$, we get $n = 2m = 6$.

Secondly, assume that n is odd. If $n = 4k + 3$, then $x^2 = (2^n - 2)(10^n - 2) \equiv -2 \pmod{5}$, a contradiction. If $n = 4k + 1$, then we have the equation $(2^{4k} - 1)(2^{4k} 5^{4k+1} - 1) = \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2$. This implies that

$$(2^k)^4 - du^2 = 1 \text{ and } 5(10^k)^4 - dv^2 = 1$$

for some integers u and v with $\gcd(u, v) = 1$, where $d = \gcd(2^{4k} - 1, 2^{4k} 5^{4k+1} - 1)$. From the equation $(2^k)^4 - du^2 = 1$, we can write that $2^{2k} - 1 = ra^2$, $2^{2k} + 1 = sb^2$ for some integers a, b, r, s , where $rs = d$. The equation $5(10^k)^4 - dv^2 = 1$ implies that $5(10^{2k})^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ and $5(10^{2k})^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$. Thus $\left(\frac{r}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{s}{5}\right) = 1$. If k is even, then $sb^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, which implies that $\left(\frac{s}{5}\right) = -1$. This is a contradiction. If k is odd, then $ra^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$. This shows that $\left(\frac{r}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) = -1$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. ■

From Theorem 18, we immediately deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 19 *The equation $(4^n - 2)(100^n - 2) = x^2$ has only the solution $(n, x) = (3, 7874)$.*

Theorem 20 *The equation $(10^n - 2)(58^n - 2) = x^2$ has only the solution $(n, x) = (2, 574)$.*

Proof. Clearly, $(n, x) = (2, 574)$ is a solution. If $4|n$ or $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then it can be seen that $x^2 \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{5}$, which is impossible. Assume that $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then n is form of $12q + 3$ or $12q + 7$, or $12q + 11$. If $n = 12q + 3$, then we get $x^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$, a contradiction. If $n = 12q + 11$, then it is seen that $x^2 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$, which is impossible. Let $n = 12q + 7$. Then we get $n \equiv 7, 19, 31, 43$, or $55 \pmod{60}$. If $n \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$, then we get $x^2 \equiv 8 \pmod{11}$, a contradiction. Let $n \equiv 7, 19, 43$, or $55 \pmod{60}$. Similarly, when we investigate the equation $(10^n - 2)(58^n - 2) = x^2$ according to modulo 31, we can see that it has no solutions.

Now assume that $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Say $n = 2m$ with m odd. Then we get $(2^{2m-1}5^{2m} - 1)(2^{2m-1}29^{2m} - 1) = (x/2)^2$. Thus

$$2(2^{m-1}5^m)^2 - du^2 = 1 \text{ and } 2(2^{m-1}29^m)^2 - dv^2 = 1$$

for some integers u and v with $\gcd(u, v) = 1$, where $d = \gcd(2^{2m-1}5^{2m} - 1, 2^{2m-1}29^{2m} - 1)$. By Lemma 5, we obtain

$$2^{m-1}5^m = u_1(U_r - U_{r-1}), \quad u = v_1(U_r + U_{r-1})$$

and

$$2^{m-1}29^m = u_1(U_s - U_{s-1}), \quad v = v_1(U_s + U_{s-1}),$$

where $U_c = U_c(P, -1)$ with $P = 8u_1^2 - 2$, and (u_1, v_1) is the fundamental solution of the equation $2x^2 - dy^2 = 1$. Since $U_r + U_{r-1}$ and $U_s + U_{s-1}$ are odd by the identity (9) and $\gcd(u, v) = 1$, it follows that $u_1 = 2^{m-1}$, $v_1 = 1$, $U_r - U_{r-1} = 5^m$ and $U_s - U_{s-1} = 29^m$. Thus $2(2^{m-1})^2 - d = 1$, i.e., $d = 2^{n-1} - 1$. Since $2^{m-1}\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{d}$ is the fundamental solution of the equation $2x^2 - dy^2 = 1$, $(2^{m-1}\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{d})^2 = 2^n - 1 + 2^m\sqrt{2d}$ is the fundamental solution of the equation $x^2 - 2dy^2 = 1$. On the other hand, it is seen that $(2^{m-1}5^m\sqrt{2} + u\sqrt{d})^2 = 10^n - 1 + 10^m u\sqrt{2d}$, and this is a solution of the equation $x^2 - 2dy^2 = 1$. Hence, by Lemma 2, we get $10^n - 1 = V_k(2x_1, -1)/2$ and $10^m u = y_1 U_k(2x_1, -1)$ for some natural number k , where $x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2d} = 2^n - 1 + 2^m\sqrt{d}$. From this, it is clear that $V_k(2x_1, -1) = 2 \cdot 10^n - 2$ and $U_k(2x_1, -1) = 5^m u$. Therefore $5|U_k$. Since $2x_1 = 2^{n+1} - 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, it follows that $3|k$ by the identity (15). Let $k = 3t$. Then we get $2 \cdot 10^n - 2 = V_{3t} = V_t^3 - 3V_t$ by the identity (6). Taking $V_t = 2z$, then from the last equality we get $(z+1)(2z-1)^2 = 10^{2m}$. By Corollary 17, it follows that $m = 1$ or $m = 3$. Therefore $n = 2$ or $n = 6$. But $n = 6$ is impossible and so $n = 2$. This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 21 *The equation $(3^n - 2)(45^n - 2) = x^2$ has only the solution $(n, x) = (2, 119)$.*

Proof. Clearly, $(n, x) = (2, 574)$ is a solution. If $4|n$ or $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then it can be seen that $x^2 \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{5}$, which is impossible. Assume that $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $n \equiv 3, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$. In these cases, using modulo 13, it can be seen that the equation $(3^n - 2)(45^n - 2) = x^2$ is impossible.

Now assume that $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Say $n = 2m$ with m odd. Then we get

$$(3^m)^2 - du^2 = 2 \text{ and } (45^m)^2 - dv^2 = 2$$

for some integers u and v with $\gcd(u, v) = 1$, where $d = \gcd(3^n - 2, 45^n - 2)$. By Theorem 13, we obtain

$$3^m = k_1(U_r - U_{r-1}), \quad u = t_1(U_r + U_{r-1}) \quad (21)$$

and

$$45^m = k_1(U_s - U_{s-1}), \quad v = t_1(U_s + U_{s-1}), \quad (22)$$

where $U_c = U_c(P, -1)$ with $P = 2x_1 = 2k_1^2 - 2$, $y_1 = k_1t_1$, (x_1, y_1) is the fundamental solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$, and (k_1, t_1) is the fundamental solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 2$. So $k_1 > 1$ and this implies that $3|k_1$ by the identity (21). Then $P = 2k_1^2 - 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Assume that $3|U_r - U_{r-1}$. Then it is seen that $r \equiv 2, 5 \pmod{6}$ by Lemma 7. Since $5 \nmid k_1$ by the identity (21), it follows that $P = 2k_1^2 - 2 \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{5}$. Assume that $P \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$. Then $5|U_r - U_{r-1}$ by Lemma 7 since $r \equiv 2, 5 \pmod{6}$. This is impossible by (21). Let $P \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. Then it can be seen that $5 \nmid U_s - U_{s-1}$ by the identity (15). This is impossible by the identity (22) since $5 \nmid k_1$. We conclude that $3 \nmid U_r - U_{r-1}$ and therefore $k_1 = 3^m$ and $r = 0$ by (21). Thus $x_1 = k_1^2 - 1 = 3^n - 1$. Besides, it is clear that $u = t_1 = 1$ since $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ and $r = 0$. This implies that $y_1 = k_1t_1 = 3^m$ and $d = 3^n - 2$. On the other hand, since $45^m + v\sqrt{d}$ is a solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 2$, then $(45^m + v\sqrt{d})^2 / 2 = 45^n - 1 + 45^m v\sqrt{d}$ is a solution of the equation $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$. In this case, $45^n - 1 = V_k(P, -1)/2$ and $45^m v = y_1 U_k(P, -1) = 3^m U_k(P, -1)$ by Lemma 2. From this, it is clear that $V_k(P, -1) = 2 \cdot 45^{2m} - 2$ and $U_k(P, -1) = 3^m 5^m v$. Therefore $3|U_k$. Since $P \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, it follows that $3|k$ by the identity (14). Let $k = 3t$. Then we get $2 \cdot 45^{2m} - 2 = V_{3t} = V_t^3 - 3V_t$ by the identity (6). Taking $V_t = 2z$, from the last equality, we get $(z+1)(2z-1)^2 = w^2$ with $w = 45^m$. Then $z+1 = (\frac{w}{2z-1})^2$. Let $a = \frac{w}{2z-1}$. Then $z+1 = a^2$ and $w = a(2z-1) = a(2a^2-3)$. It can be seen that $\gcd(a, 2a^2-3) = 3$ since $3|a(2a^2-3)$. Then $a = 3b$ and $2a^2-3 = 3c$ for some integers b and c with $\gcd(b, c) = 1$. Then $9bc = 45^m = 3^{2m}5^m$ and therefore $bc = 3^{2m-2}5^m$. Since $a = 3b$ and $2a^2-3 = 3c$, we get $c = 6b^2-1$ and therefore $b(6b^2-1) = 3^{2m-2}5^m$. Then $b = 3^{2m-2}$ and $6b^2-1 = 5^m$. Thus $2 \cdot 3^{4m-3} = 5^m + 1$. This is only possible for $m = 1$ by Lemma 16. Consequently, $n = 2$ and $x = 119$. ■

4 Concluding Remark

Using a program with Mathematica, we verified for all $2 \leq a < b \leq 300$ and n in the range $2 \leq n \leq 1000$ that the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has solutions only

when $n \leq 6$. Also, this program have showed in the range $2 \leq n \leq 1000$ that for the pairs $(a, b) = (5, 235), (4, 116), (2, 58)$, the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has only solutions $(n, x) = (2, 1127), (3, 434), (2, 82)$, respectively. We have not handled to find all integer solutions of the equations $(5^n - 2)(235^n - 2) = x^2$ and $(4^n - 2)(116^n - 2) = x^2$ yet. But we think that the equation $(5^n - 2)(235^n - 2) = x^2$ has only the solution $(n, x) = (2, 1127)$ and the equation $(4^n - 2)(116^n - 2) = x^2$ has only the solution $(n, x) = (3, 434)$. Besides, we have handled to determine all integer solutions of the equation $(2^n - 2)(58^n - 2) = x^2$ but we were not able to solve this problem. So, we think the following conjectures are true.

Conjecture 22 *The only solutions of the equation $(2^n - 2)(58^n - 2) = x^2$ is $(n, x) = (2, 82)$.*

Conjecture 23 *Let $2 \leq a < b$. If the equation $(a^n - 2)(b^n - 2) = x^2$ has a solution (n, x) , then $n \leq 6$.*

A computer search with Mathematica showed that in the ranges $2 \leq n \leq 1000$ and $3 < k \leq 100$, the equation $(2^n - 2)((2P_k)^n - 2) = x^2$ has no solutions. This situation enables us to give the following conjectures.

Conjecture 24 *Let $k > 3$ be odd. Then the equation $(2^n - 2)((2P_k)^n - 2) = x^2$ has only the solution $(n, x) = (2, Q_k)$.*

When $k = 3$, $P_k = P_3 = 5$, the above equation becomes $(2^n - 2)((10^n - 2) = x^2$, which has only the solutions $(n, x) = (2, 14), (6, 7874)$ by Theorem 18.

References

- [1] J. H. E. Cohn, *The Diophantine equation $(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$* , Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, **44(2)** (2002), 169–175.
- [2] M. T. Damir, B. Faye, F. Luca and A. Tall, *Members of Lucas sequences whose Euler function is a power of 2*, Fibonacci Quart., **52(1)** (2014), 3–9.
- [3] B. Demirtürk and R. Keskin, *Integer solutions of some Diophantine equations via Fibonacci and Lucas numbers*, Journal of Integer Sequences, **12** (2009), Article 09.8.7.
- [4] L. Hajdu and L. Szalay, *On the Diophantine equation $(2^n - 1)(6^n - 1) = x^2$ and $(a^n - 1)(a^{kn} - 1) = x^2$* , Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, **40(2)** (2000), 141–145.
- [5] R. Keskin, O. Karaath, and Z. Şiar, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 - kxy + y^2 + 2^n = 0$* , Miskolc Mathematical Notes, **13** (2012), 375–388.
- [6] R. Keskin, *A Note on the exponential Diophantine equation $(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$* , Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 129 (2019), no. 5, Art. 69, 12 pp.

- [7] L. Lan and L. Szalay, *On the exponential Diophantine equation $(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$* , Publ. Math. Debrecen, **77** (2010), 1–6.
- [8] F. Luca and P. G. Walsh, *The product of like-indexed terms in binary recurrences*, Journal N.Theory, **96** (2002), 152–173.
- [9] F. Luca, *Effective Methods for Diophantine Equations*, https://math.dartmouth.edu/archive/m105f12/public_html/lucaHungary1.pdf.
- [10] T. Nagell, *Introduction to number theory*, AMS Chelsea Publishing Company, 2001.
- [11] Zhao-Jun Li and M. Tang, *A Remark on paper of Luca and Walsh*, Integers, **11** (2011).
- [12] P. Ribenboim, *My numbers, my friends*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2000.
- [13] P. Ribenboim, *ABC candies*, J. Number Theory **81** (2000), 48–60.
- [14] L. Szalay, *On the Diophantine equation $(2^n - 1)(3^n - 1) = x^2$* , Publ. Math. Debrecen, **57** (2000), 1–9.
- [15] R. Keskin and Z. Şiar, *Positive integer solutions of some Diophantine equations in terms of integer sequences*, Afrika Matematika, **34** (2019), 181–194.
- [16] Z. Şiar and R. Keskin, *Some new identities concerning generalized Fibonacci and Lucas numbers*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **42** (3) (2013), 211–222.
- [17] M. Tang, *A note on the exponential Diophantine equation $(a^m - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$* , J. Math. Research and Exposition, **31**(6) (2011), 1064–1066.
- [18] G. Xiaoyan, *A note on the Diophantine equation $(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$* , Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, **66**(1) (2013), 87–93.