

Key Points

- Socio-economic agents' **decision-making** is a determinant factor driving/affecting hydrologic processes
- A **holistic, multi-factor** perspective is required to evaluate the impacts of policy interventions in complex human-water systems
- Socio-hydrologic analysis requires both **innovative and integrative** socio-economic and eco-hydrologic **modelling frameworks**



Motivation

Water resources management is a nontrivial process requiring a **holistic understanding** of the factors driving the **dynamics of human-water systems**.


Policy-induced or autonomous behavioural changes in human systems may affect water and land management, which may affect water systems and **feedback** to human systems, further impacting water and land management.

Effective planned adaptation actions should take into account **responses at the individual level**; as a consequence, interactions between agricultural and hydrologic systems should be taken into account when designing policies in order **to ensure the sustainability of social-ecological systems**.


Mathematical models can be valuable tools to **support decision-making** in water resources management, provided that the information is reliable.

Most hydro-economic models lack the ability to describe such dynamics either because they do not account for the **multi-factor/multi-output** nature of these systems and/or are not designed to operate at a **river basin scale**.

Methodology



Results



Conclusions

- Complex human-water systems requires integrative methodological frameworks to capture the connections and feedbacks between systems;
- Spatially connected elements such as HERUs can help better describing the complex interaction between human and water systems;
- Dynamic agricultural and hydrologic behaviours must be taken into consideration when designing water policy interventions.

