

# A NEW EXTENSION OF THE (H.2) SUPERCONGRUENCE OF VAN HAMME FOR PRIMES $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

VICTOR J. W. GUO

ABSTRACT. Using Andrews' multi-series generalization of Watson's  ${}_8\phi_7$  transformation, we give a new extension of the (H.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for primes  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , as well as its  $q$ -analogue. Meanwhile, applying the method of 'creative microscoping', recently introduced by the author and Zudilin, we establish some further  $q$ -supercongruences modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^3$ , where  $\Phi_n(q)$  denotes the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial in  $q$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1997, Van Hamme [29, (H.2)] established the following supercongruence: for any prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $(a)_n = a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1)$  is the Pochhammer symbol. Since the  $p$ -adic order of  $(1/2)_k/k!$  is 1 for  $(p-1)/2 < k \leq p-1$ , we may compute the sum in (1.1) for  $k$  up to  $p-1$ . For all kinds of generalizations of (1.1), we refer the reader to [14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 26, 27].

The objective of this paper is to prove the following extension of (1.1).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $s \geq 0$  be an even integer. Let  $p$  be an odd prime with  $p \geq 2s+3$  and  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (4k+1)^s \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.2)$$

It is clear that (1.1) is the  $s = 0$  case of (1.2). We shall prove Theorem 1.1 by establishing its  $q$ -analogue.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33D15, 11A07, 11B65.

*Key words and phrases.* cyclotomic polynomial;  $q$ -congruence; supercongruence; Andrews' transformation; Watson's transformation.

The author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11771175).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $s \geq 0$  be an even integer. Let  $n$  be a positive odd integer with  $n \geq 2s + 3$  and  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{(1-2s)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.3)$$

Here we need to familiarize ourselves with the standard basic hypergeometric notation. The  $q$ -integer is defined by  $[n] = [n]_q = (1 - q^n)/(1 - q)$ . The  $q$ -shifted factorial is defined as  $(a; q)_0 = 1$  and  $(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1})$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Moreover, the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_n(q)$  is given by

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(n, k) = 1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where  $\zeta$  is an  $n$ -th primitive root of unity. Note that  $\Phi_n(q^2) = \Phi_n(q)\Phi_n(-q)$  for odd  $n$ .

Letting  $n = p$  be a prime and taking the limits as  $q \rightarrow 1$  in (1.3), we immediately obtain (1.5). The  $s = 0$  case of (1.3) is already known. It was first conjectured by the author and Zudilin [15, Conjecture 4.13] and was recently confirmed by themselves in [17]. For some other recent progress on  $q$ -congruences, the reader may consult [3–5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 21, 25, 30, 31, 33].

Recently, Mao and Pan [23] (see also Sun [27, Theorem 1.3]) showed that, if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is a prime, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p+1)/2} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.4)$$

In this paper, we shall prove the following generalization of (1.4).

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $s \geq 0$  be an even integer. Let  $p$  be an odd prime with  $p \geq 2s + 3$  and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p+1)/2} (4k-1)^s \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.5)$$

For some recent supercongruences involving  $(4k-1)^s$  with  $s$  odd, see [11]. As before, we have a  $q$ -analogue of Theorem 1.3 as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $s \geq 0$  be an even integer. Let  $n$  be a positive odd integer with  $n \geq \max\{3, 2s + 3\}$  and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{(7-2s)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.6)$$

Likewise, letting  $n = p$  be a prime and taking the limits as  $q \rightarrow 1$  in (1.6), we are led to (1.5). The  $s = 0$  case of (1.3) was first observed by the author and Schlosser [13, Conjecture 10.2] and was recently proved by the author and Zudilin [17].

We shall prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 in Sections 2 and 3 by making a careful use of Andrews' multi-series extension [1, Theorem 4] of Watson's  ${}_8\phi_7$  transformation. It should be pointed out that Andrews' transformation plays an important part in combinatorics and number theory (see [8] and the introduction of [12] for such examples). We shall give generalizations of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^3$  in Section 4 by using the 'creative microscoping' method, recently introduced by the author and Zudilin [15]. We end this paper by Section 5, where we propose two open problems on further generalizations of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We will use the following powerful transformation formula due to Andrews [1, Theorem 4]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a, q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}, b_1, c_1, \dots, b_m, c_m, q^{-N}; q)_k}{(q, \sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, aq/b_1, aq/c_1, \dots, aq/b_m, aq/c_m, aq^{N+1}; q)_k} \left( \frac{a^m q^{m+N}}{b_1 c_1 \dots b_m c_m} \right)^k \\
&= \frac{(aq, aq/b_m c_m; q)_N}{(aq/b_m, aq/c_m; q)_N} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(aq/b_1 c_1; q)_{j_1} \dots (aq/b_{m-1} c_{m-1}; q)_{j_{m-1}}}{(q; q)_{j_1} \dots (q; q)_{j_{m-1}}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(b_2, c_2; q)_{j_1} \dots (b_m, c_m; q)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(aq/b_1, aq/c_1; q)_{j_1} \dots (aq/b_{m-1}, aq/c_{m-1}; q)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(q^{-N}; q)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(b_m c_m q^{-N}/a; q)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}} \frac{(aq)^{j_{m-2} + \dots + (m-2)j_1} q^{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(b_2 c_2)^{j_1} \dots (b_{m-1} c_{m-1})^{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-2}}}. \tag{2.1}
\end{aligned}$$

This transformation may be deemed a multi-series generalization of Watson's  ${}_8\phi_7$  transformation formula (see [2, Appendix (III.18)]) which in standard notation for basic hypergeometric series [2, Section 1] may be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_8\phi_7 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, & qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, & -qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, & b, & c, & d, & e, & q^{-n} \\ & a^{\frac{1}{2}}, & -a^{\frac{1}{2}}, & aq/b, & aq/c, & aq/d, & aq/e, & aq^{n+1} \end{matrix} ; q, \frac{a^2 q^{n+2}}{bcde} \right] \\
&= \frac{(aq, aq/de; q)_n}{(aq/d, aq/e; q)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} aq/bc, & d, & e, & q^{-n} \\ aq/b, & aq/c, & deq^{-n}/a \end{matrix} ; q, q \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We assume that  $s \geq 2$  (as mentioned before, the  $s = 0$  case has already been proved by the author and Zudilin [17]). It is easy to see that

$$\frac{(abq^2, q^2; q^4)_k}{(abq^4, q^4; q^4)_k} \equiv \frac{(aq^2, bq^2; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, bq^4; q^4)_k} \pmod{(1-a)(1-b)}.$$

Letting  $a = q^{2n}$  and  $b = q^{-2n}$  and noticing that  $1 - q^{2n}$  has the factor  $\Phi_n(q)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^2}{(q^4; q^4)_k^2} \equiv \frac{(q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^{4+2n}, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . Thus, modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ , the left-hand side of (1.3) is congruent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^{4+2n}, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_k} q^{(1-2s)k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^2, q^5, -q^5, \overbrace{q^5, \dots, q^5}^{(s-1)s q^5}, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q, -q, q, \dots, q, q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}; q^4)_k} q^{(1-2s)k}, \end{aligned}$$

which, by Andrews' transformation (2.1) with the parameter substitutions  $m = s/2$ ,  $q \mapsto q^4$ ,  $a = q^2$ ,  $b_1 = c_1 = \dots = b_{m-1} = c_{m-1} = b_m = q^5$ ,  $c_m = q^{2+2n}$ , and  $N = (n-1)/2$ , is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^6, q^{-2n-1}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_1} \dots (q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_{m-1}}}{(q^4; q^4)_{j_1} \dots (q^4; q^4)_{j_{m-1}}} \\ & \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (q^5, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^7; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \\ & \times q^{4(j_1+\dots+j_{m-1})-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{(q^{-4}; q^4)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k} = \begin{cases} (-1)^k q^{-4k}, & \text{if } k = 0, 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{(1-2s)k} \\ & \equiv \frac{(q^6, q^{-2n-1}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ & \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (q^5, q^{2+2n}, q^{2-2n}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^7; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where  $m = s/2$ .

It is easy to see that  $(q^6; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}$  contains the factor  $1-q^{2n}$ , and  $(q^{-2n-1}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}$  contains the factor  $1-q^{-n}$  for  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $n \geq 7$ . At the same time, the polynomial  $(q, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}$  is relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q)$  for  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and so is  $(q; q^4)_j$  for such  $n$  and  $j \leq (n-1)/2$ . Moreover, by the condition  $n \geq 2s+3$  in the theorem, we have  $j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1} \leq m-1 = (s-2)/2 \leq (n-7)/4$  and so  $(q^7; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}$  is also relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q)$  (for  $n=7$  this  $q$ -shifted factorial is understood to be 1 since  $m=1$  in this case). This proves that the right-hand side of (2.2) is congruent to 0 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ , thus establishing the theorem.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.2, modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ , the left-hand side of (1.6) is congruent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{-2}, q^{-2+2n}, q^{-2-2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^{4+2n}, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_k} q^{(7-2s)k} \\ &= q^{-s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q^{-2}, q^3, -q^3, \overbrace{q^3, \dots, q^3}^{(s-1)s}, q^{-2+2n}, q^{-2-2n}; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^{-1}, -q^{-1}, q^{-1}, \dots, q^{-1}, q^{4-2n}, q^{4+2n}; q^4)_k} q^{(7-2s)k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

By Andrews' transformation (2.1) with the parameter replacements  $m = s/2$ ,  $q \mapsto q^4$ ,  $a = q^{-2}$ ,  $b_1 = c_1 = \dots = b_{m-1} = c_{m-1} = b_m = q^3$ ,  $c_m = q^{-2+2n}$ , and  $N = (n+1)/2$ , the right-hand side of (3.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{-s-1} \frac{(q^2, q^{1-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}}{(q^{-1}, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 \frac{(q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_1} \dots (q^{-4}; q^4)_{j_{m-1}}}{(q^4; q^4)_{j_1} \dots (q^4; q^4)_{j_{m-1}}} \\ & \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q^3; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (q^3, q^{-2+2n}, q^{-2-2n}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \dots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \\ & \times q^{4(j_1+\dots+j_{m-1})-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $m = s/2$ .

Note that  $(q^2; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}$  contains the factor  $1 - q^{2n}$ , and  $(q^{1-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}$  contains the factor  $1 - q^{-n}$  for  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Meanwhile, the polynomial  $(q^{-1}, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}$  is relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q)$  for  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and so is  $(q^{-1}; q^4)_j$  for such  $n$  and  $j \leq (n+1)/2$ . Furthermore, the condition  $n \geq \max\{3, 2s-3\}$  in the theorem implies that  $j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1} \leq m-1 = (s-2)/2 \leq (n-1)/4$  and so  $(q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}$  is also relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q)$ . This proves that the expression (3.2) is congruent to 0 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ , as desired.

### 4. FURTHER $q$ -CONGRUENCES MODULO $\Phi_n(q)^3$

Like the paper [17], we may give a generalization of Theorem 1.2 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^3$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $n > 1$  be an odd integer and let  $s > 0$  be an even integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{-3k} \\
& \equiv [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{5(1-n)/2} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\
& \quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (q^2, q^2, q^5; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q^7; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \\
& \quad \begin{cases} (\text{mod } \Phi_n(q)^2 \Phi_n(-q)^3) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ (\text{mod } \Phi_n(q)^3 \Phi_n(-q)^3) & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $m = s/2$ .

For example, for odd  $n > 1$ , modulo

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_n(q)^2 \Phi_n(-q)^3 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \Phi_n(q)^3 \Phi_n(-q)^3 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1] \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{-3k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{5(1-n)/2}, \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^3 \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{-7k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2} ([7] - [2]^2 [5])}{(q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2} [7]} q^{5(1-n)/2}, \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^5 \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{-11k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{5(1-n)/2} R(q),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $R(q) = \frac{(5q^{12}+18q^{11}+39q^{10}+68q^9+100q^8+123q^7+131q^6+123q^5+100q^4+68q^3+39q^2+18q+5)q^2}{(q^{10}+q^9+q^8+q^7+q^6+q^5+q^4+q^3+q^2+q+1)(q^6+q^5+q^4+q^3+q^2+q+1)}$ .

When  $n = p$  is an odd prime and  $q \rightarrow -1$ , then (4.1) reduces to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^k (4k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^3} \tag{4.2}$$

(tagged (B.2) on Van Hamme's list [29]). The supercongruence (4.2) was first confirmed by Mortenson [24] using a  ${}_6F_5$  transformation, and later received another proof by Zudilin [32] via the Wilf–Zeilberger method. We point out that some different  $q$ -analogues of (4.2) were given in [6, 7, 17].

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* We first establish the following parametric generalization of (4.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^2, q^2/a, q^2; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, q^4; q^4)_k} q^{-3k} \\
& \equiv [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{5(1-n)/2} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2} + \dots + (m-2)j_1)} \\
& \quad \times \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^5; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^2, q^2/a, q^5; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(q; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}^2 (q^7; q^4)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}} \\
& \quad \begin{cases} (\text{mod } \Phi_n(-q)(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ (\text{mod } \Phi_n(q)^2(1 - aq^{2n})(a - q^{2n})) & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $m = s/2$ .

Note that

$$\frac{(q^6, q^{-2n-1}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} = [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{5(1-n)/2}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 implies that, when  $a = q^{-2n}$  or  $a = q^{2n}$ , both sides of (4.3) are equal. Namely, the  $q$ -congruence holds modulo  $1 - aq^{2n}$  and  $a - q^{2n}$ .

On the other hand, by [13, Lemma 3.1], for  $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$ , we have

$$\frac{(aq; q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}}{(q^2/a; q^2)_{(n-1)/2-k}} \equiv (-a)^{(n-1)/2-2k} \frac{(aq; q^2)_k}{(q^2/a; q^2)_k} q^{(n-1)^2/4+k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

Applying the above  $q$ -congruence one can easily verify that, for odd  $n > 1$  and  $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$ , the  $k$ -th and  $((n-1)/2 - k)$ -th summands on the left-hand side of (4.3) cancel each other modulo  $\Phi_n(-q)$  (or modulo  $\Phi_n(q^2)$  if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ). This proves that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1]_{q^2} [4k+1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^2, q^2/a, q^2; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, q^4; q^4)_k} q^{-3k} \\
& \equiv 0 \begin{cases} (\text{mod } \Phi_n(-q)) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ (\text{mod } \Phi_n(q)^2) & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that the right-hand side of (4.3) is congruent to 0 modulo  $\Phi_n(-q)$  if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and modulo  $\Phi_n(q^2)$  if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Hence, the  $q$ -congruence (4.3) is true modulo  $\Phi_n(-q)$  if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and modulo  $\Phi_n(q^2)$  if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Since  $1 - aq^{2n}$ ,  $a - q^{2n}$  and  $\Phi_n(-q)$  (or  $\Phi_n(q^2)$ ) are pairwise relatively prime polynomials, we finish the proof of (4.3).

It is easy to see that the denominator of the reduced form of  $[n]_{q^2}(q^5; q^4)_k / (q^7; q^4)_k$  is relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q^2)$  for any  $k \geq 0$ . Letting  $a = 1$  in (4.3) and noticing that  $1 - q^{2n}$  has the factor  $\Phi_n(q^2)$ , we immediately obtain (4.1).  $\square$

We also have the following generalization of Theorem 1.4 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^3$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $n > 1$  be an odd integer and let  $s > 0$  be an even integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{(7-2s)k} \\
& \equiv [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q^3; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{3(1-n)/2-s-1} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\
& \quad \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (q^{-2}, q^{-2}, q^3; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \\
& \quad \begin{cases} (\text{mod } \Phi_n(q)^3 \Phi_n(-q)^3) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ (\text{mod } \Phi_n(q)^2 \Phi_n(-q)^3) & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $m = s/2$ .

For example, for odd  $n > 1$ , modulo

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_n(q)^3 \Phi_n(-q)^3 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \Phi_n(q)^2 \Phi_n(-q)^3 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1] \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{3k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q^3; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{3(1-n)/2-3}, \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^3 \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{-k} \equiv -[n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q^3; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{3(1-n)/2-7} \\
& \quad \times (q^4 + 3q^3 + 3q^2 + 3q + 1), \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^5 \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{-5k} \equiv -[n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q^3; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{3(1-n)/2-9} S(q),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(q) = \frac{3q^8 + 14q^7 + 32q^6 + 51q^5 + 59q^4 + 51q^3 + 32q^2 + 14q + 3}{q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 1}.$$



Letting  $n = p$  be an odd prime and  $q \rightarrow -1$  in Theorem 4.2, we are led to the following result:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p+1)/2} (-1)^k (4k-1) \frac{(-1/2)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p(-1)^{(p+1)/2} \pmod{p^3}. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that different  $q$ -analogues of (4.5) can be found in [17] and [15, Theorem 4.9] with  $r = -1$ ,  $d = 2$  and  $a = 1$  (see also [13, Section 5]).

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.1. Noticing that

$$\frac{(q^2, q^{1-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}}{(q^{-1}, q^{4-2n}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2}} = \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q^3; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{3(1-n)/2},$$

and, for  $0 \leq k \leq (n+1)/2$ ,

$$\frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k}}{(q^2/a; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k}} \equiv (-a)^{(n+1)/2-2k} \frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_k}{(q^2/a; q^2)_k} q^{(n-1)^2/4+3k-1} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$$

(see [13, (5.4)]), we can establish the following parametric generalization of (4.4):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1]_{q^2} [4k-1]^{s-1} \frac{(aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(aq^4, q^4/a, q^4; q^4)_k} q^{(7-2s)k} \\ & \equiv [n]_{q^2} \frac{(q^5; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{(q^3; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} q^{3(1-n)/2-s-1} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}=0}^1 (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} q^{-4(j_{m-2}+\dots+(m-2)j_1)} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^3; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^3; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-2}}^2 (aq^{-2}, q^{-2}/a, q^3; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}}{(q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1}^2 \cdots (q^{-1}; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}^2 (q; q^4)_{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}}} \\ & \quad \begin{cases} \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \pmod{\Phi_n(-q)(1-aq^{2n})(a-q^{2n})} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where  $m = s/2$ .

Observe that the denominator of the reduced form of  $[n]_{q^2}(q^5; q^4)_k/(q^3; q^4)_k$  is relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q^2)$  for any  $k \geq 0$ . Letting  $a = 1$  in (4.6) and noticing that  $1 - q^{2n}$  contains the factor  $\Phi_n(q^2)$ , we arrive at (4.4).  $\square$

## 5. DISCUSSION

In 2015, Swisher [28] proposed 12 amazing conjectures on Dwork-type supercongruences (see [9, 18] for a recent progress on such supercongruences). For example, she [28, (H.3)] conjectured that, for any integer  $r \geq 2$  and prime  $p > 3$  with  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \sum_{k=0}^{(p^{r-2}-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \pmod{p^{3r-2}}, \quad (5.1)$$

It seems that there are no common generalizations of (1.5) and (5.1). Nevertheless, we find the following conjecture on Dwork-type supercongruences.

**Conjecture 5.1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime with  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $s \geq 0$  be an even integer. Then, for  $r \geq 2$ , we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} (4k+1)^s \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \sum_{k=0}^{(p^{r-2}-1)/2} (4k+1)^s \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \pmod{p^{3r-5}},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} (4k+1)^s \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \sum_{k=0}^{p^{r-2}-1} (4k+1)^s \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \pmod{p^{3r-5}}.$$

We also have the following conjecture related to Theorem 1.3.

**Conjecture 5.2.** *Let  $p$  be a prime with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $s \geq 0$  be an even integer. Then, for  $r \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r+1)/2} (4k-1)^s \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} (4k-1)^s \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2r-1}}. \quad (5.2)$$

Unlike Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, we do not require additional conditions for  $p$  (such as  $p \geq 2s+3$ ) in Conjecture 5.1 and 5.2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions, in: Theory and Application for Basic Hypergeometric Functions, R.A. Askey, ed., Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Publ. No. 35, Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 191–224.
- [2] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [3] O. Gorodetsky,  $q$ -Congruences, with applications to supercongruences and the cyclic sieving phenomenon, Int. J. Number Theory 15 (2019), 1919–1968.
- [4] C.-Y. Gu and V.J.W. Guo,  $q$ -Analogues of two supercongruences of Z.-W. Sun, Czechoslovak Math. J., in press; <https://doi.org/10.21136/CMJ.2020.0516-18>
- [5] J. Guillera, WZ pairs and  $q$ -analogues of Ramanujan series for  $1/\pi$ , J. Difference Equ. Appl. 24 (2018), 1871–1879.
- [6] V.J.W. Guo, A  $q$ -analogue of a Ramanujan-type supercongruence involving central binomial coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 458 (2018), 590–600.
- [7] V.J.W. Guo, Common  $q$ -analogues of some different supercongruences, Results Math. 74 (2019), Art. 131.
- [8] V.J.W. Guo, Proof of a generalization of the (B.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme through a  $q$ -microscope, Adv. Appl. Math. 116 (2020), Art. 102016.
- [9] V.J.W. Guo,  $q$ -Analogues of Dwork-type supercongruences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 487 (2020), Art. 124022.
- [10] V.J.W. Guo and J.-C. Liu,  $q$ -Analogues of two Ramanujan-type formulas for  $1/\pi$ , J. Difference Equ. Appl. 24 (2018), 1368–1373.
- [11] V.J.W. Guo and J.-C. Liu, Some congruences related to a congruence of Van Hamme, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 31 (2020), 221–231.
- [12] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some new  $q$ -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series: even powers, Results Math. 75 (2020), Art. 1.

- [13] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some  $q$ -supercongruences from transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series, *Constr. Approx.*, to appear.
- [14] V.J.W. Guo, J. Zeng, Some  $q$ -supercongruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series, *Acta Arith.* 171 (2015), 309–326.
- [15] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A  $q$ -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [16] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, On a  $q$ -deformation of modular forms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 475 (2019), 1636–646.
- [17] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A common  $q$ -analogue of two supercongruences, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Art. 46.
- [18] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, Dwork-type supercongruences through a creative  $q$ -microscope, preprint, 2020, arXiv:2001.02311.
- [19] J.-C. Liu, Some supercongruences on truncated  ${}_3F_2$  hypergeometric series, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 24 (2018), 438–451.
- [20] J.-C. Liu, On Van Hamme’s (A.2) and (H.2) supercongruences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 471 (2019), 613–622.
- [21] J.-C. Liu and F. Petrov, Congruences on sums of  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 116 (2020), Art. 102003.
- [22] L. Long and R. Ramakrishna, Some supercongruences occurring in truncated hypergeometric series, *Adv. Math.* 290 (2016), 773–808.
- [23] G.-S. Mao and H. Pan, On the divisibility of some truncated hypergeometric series, *Acta Arith.*, to appear.
- [24] E. Mortenson, A  $p$ -adic supercongruence conjecture of van Hamme, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008), 4321–4328.
- [25] H.-X. Ni and H. Pan, Some symmetric  $q$ -congruences modulo the square of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 481 (2020), Art. 123372.
- [26] Z.-H. Sun, Generalized Legendre polynomials and related supercongruences, *J. Number Theory* 143 (2014), 293–319.
- [27] Z.-W. Sun, On sums of Apéry polynomials and related congruences, *J. Number Theory* 132 (2012), 2673–2690.
- [28] H. Swisher, On the supercongruence conjectures of van Hamme, *Res. Math. Sci.* 2 (2015), Art. 18.
- [29] L. Van Hamme, Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series, in:  *$p$ -Adic functional analysis* (Nijmegen, 1996), *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.* 192, Dekker, New York, 1997, pp. 223–236.
- [30] X. Wang and M. Yue, Some  $q$ -supercongruences from Watson’s  ${}_8\phi_7$  transformation formula, *Results Math.*, in press; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-020-01195-3>
- [31] X. Wang and M. Yue, A  $q$ -analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for any prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , *Int. J. Number Theory*, in press; <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042120500694>
- [32] W. Zudilin, Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *J. Number Theory* 129 (2009), 1848–1857.
- [33] W. Zudilin, Congruences for  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Ann. Combin.* 23 (2019), 1123–1135.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUAIYIN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HUAI’AN 223300, JIANGSU, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address:* jwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn