

**A new method of metabarcoding Microsporidia and their hosts reveals high levels of
microsporidian infections in mosquitoes (Culicidae)**

Artur Trzebny^{1*}, Anna Slodkiewicz-Kowalska², James J. Becnel³, Neil Sanscrainte³,
Mirosława Dabert¹

¹ Molecular Biology Techniques Laboratory, Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University,
Poznan, Poland

² Department of Biology and Medical Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine I, University of
Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

³ Center for Medical, Agricultural, and Veterinary Entomology, Agricultural Research Service,
United States Department of Agriculture, Gainesville, Florida, USA

* Corresponding author

e-mail: arturtrzebny@amu.edu.pl

Abstract

Microsporidia are obligate intracellular eukaryotic parasites that infect nearly all animal groups, including humans. The most common molecular methods for Microsporidia detection rely on species-targeting qPCR or end-point PCR using group-specific primers. However, these methods could be not specific enough or fail in case of mixed infections. We developed a method for parallel detection of both microsporidian infection and the host species. We designed new primer sets: one specific for the classical Microsporidia (targeting hypervariable V5 region of ssu rDNA), and a second one targeting a shortened fragment of the COI gene (standard metazoan DNA-barcode); both markers are well suited for a NGS approach. The analysis of ssu rDNA dataset representing 607 microsporidian species (120 genera) indicated that the V5 region enables identification of >98% species in the dataset (596/607). To test the method, we used microsporidians that infect mosquitoes in natural populations. Using mini-COI data, all field-collected mosquitoes were unambiguously assigned to seven species; among them almost 60% of specimens (127/212) were positive for at least 11 different microsporidian species, including a new microsporidian ssu rDNA sequence (*Microsporidium* sp. PL01). Phylogenetic analysis of *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 ssu rDNA showed that this species belongs to one of the two main clades in the Terresporidia. In addition, the level of microsporidian mixed infections was relatively high (9.4%). The numbers of sequence reads for the OTUs suggest that the occurrence of *Nosema* spp. in co-infections could benefit them; however, this observation should be re-tested using more intensive host sampling. The proposed method for detection of Microsporidia can be applied to all types of DNA extracts, including medical and environmental samples.

Keywords:

rDNA, DNA Barcoding, Molecular Diagnostics, Molecular Phylogeny, Coinfection, *Nosema* spp.

Introduction

Microsporidia are a large and diverse group of obligate intracellular eukaryotic parasites that infect nearly each animal phylum and certain protist species. Molecular data suggest that Microsporidia and Rozellomycota form a monophyletic group, which is in a sister relation to the fungi (Corsaro et al., 2019; James et al., 2006, 2013; Karpov et al., 2014). The phylum Microsporidia consists of three evolutionary lineages: the so-called "classical Microsporidia" and two poorly studied groups, the Metchnikovellida and the Chytridiopsida. This classification results from structural and developmental characteristics (Vossbrinck, Debrunner-Vossbrinck, & Weiss, 2014); recent molecular phylogenetic analyses seem to support this classification, especially the monophyletic classical Microsporidia (Bass et al., 2018; Corsaro et al., 2019).

Classical Microsporidia are the largest group in the phylum, with more than 1,500 described species belonging to at least 200 genera (Vávra & Lukeš, 2013; Becnel, Takvorian, & Cali, 2014). Moreover, this group includes 17 species causing human disease; the most common belong to the *Enterocytozoon* and *Encephalitozoon* genera (Fayer & Santin-Duran, 2014; Franzen & Müller, 2001; Stentiford et al., 2016). In the immunosuppressed host, including humans, Microsporidia can infect any organ system; the most reported infections concern encephalitis, myositis, ocular infection and sinusitis (Sharma, Balne, & Das, 2014; Wang et al., 2018; Weiss, 2014; Weiss & Schwartz, 2015).

The real species diversity in this group probably is largely unknown, since Microsporidia are studied mostly as zoonotic and/or waterborne agents of human disease or veterinary parasites. Sometimes, Microsporidia are recorded incidentally during fine structure

analysis of their hosts (Radek, Kariton, Dabert, & Alberti, 2015; Ribeiro & Passos, 2006).

Many microsporidian species are known only based on unique DNA sequence coding for nuclear ribosomal RNA (henceforth: rDNA) (Vossbrinck, Andreadis, Vávra, & Becnel, 2004; Williams, Hamilton, Jones, & Bass, 2018; Krebs, Blank, Frankowski, & Bastrop, 2010).

Difficulties in the identification of microsporidians result mainly from their specific modifications of both morphological and genomic characteristics. The classical microsporidian cell is characterized by mitochondria significantly reduced to mitosomes (Vávra, 2005; Williams, Hirt, Lucocq, & Embley, 2002), the absence of Golgi apparatus (Beznoussenko et al., 2007; Vávra & Lukeš, 2013) and lack of peroxisomes or other simple organelles of this type (Fast, Law, Williams, & Keeling, 2003; Vávra & Lukeš, 2013). In addition, their ribosomes have a prokaryote-like structure with subunits of 50S and 30S (Ishihara & Hayashi, 1968). The microsporidian spores, the only developmental stage with the ability to survive outside the host cell, are equipped with a characteristic infection apparatus, called polar tube or polar filament, which injects infective sporoplasm into the host cell (Franzen, 2004). The length and bending angle of the polar filament along with life cycle observations are the main morphological diagnostic features for the microsporidian species identification (Xu & Weiss, 2005). Increasingly, the description of new species is being supplemented by molecular data (Nishikori et al., 2018; Sokolova & Overstreet, 2018; Vávra, Fiala, Krylová, Petrusek, & Hylíš, 2019).

The standard Microsporidia detection methods base on ultrastructural assessment of an infected material. Light microscopy-based methods mainly consist in the detection of a thick chitin wall of spores using different stains (Field, Hing, Milliken, & Marriott, 1993; Ignatius et al., 1997; Moura et al., 1997; Peterson, Spitsbergen, Feist, & Kent, 2011; van Gool et al., 1993). On the one hand, the staining for light microscopy rarely enables species identification. On the other, electron microscopy has low sensitivity because only a small amount of sample can be examined (Weber, Bryan, Schwartz, & Owen, 1994). To identify

pathogenic microsporidia in clinical samples, antigen-based detection assays are used to recognize characteristic pathogen-specific antigens, mostly located in the spore wall or the polar tube (del Aguila et al., 1998; Furuya et al., 2008; Luján et al., 1998; Singh, Sheoran, Zhang, Carville, & Tzipori, 2005; Zhang et al., 2005). Serological tests are rarely used because they can lead to false results (Hollister, Canning, & Willcox, 1991; Kučerová-Pospíšilová & Ditrich, 1998; van Gool et al., 1997).

Nucleic acid-based detection methods are used for both diagnostic and environmental applications. The methods used in medical diagnostics are strictly targeted. Usually, they involve nested- or quantitative-PCR techniques employing species-specific primers (Ghosh & Weiss, 2009; Ghosh, Schwartz, & Weiss, 2014; Ghoyounchi et al., 2019; Javanmard et al., 2018) and probes (Wolk, Schneider, Wengenack, Sloan, & Rosenblatt, 2002; Menotti et al., 2003; Huibers et al., 2018; Verweij, Ten Hove, Brienens, & van Lieshout, 2007; Wang, Orlandi, & Stenger, 2005). Microsporidia detection in natural populations, on the other hand, base on end-point PCR amplification of rDNA fragments and direct Sanger sequencing of the resulted amplicons. Most often, as a target for sequencing, researches amplify fragments of nuclear small subunit rRNA gene (henceforth: ssu rDNA) using Microsporidia-specific (Weiss & Vossbrinck, 1998) or semi-specific primer sets (e.g. Emsen et al., 2016; Grabner, 2017; Grabner et al., 2015; Quiles et al., 2019). Presently, the primer pair V1F (Zhu et al., 1993) and 530R (Baker, Vossbrinck, Didier, Maddox, & Shadduck, 1995) seems to be the most commonly used for the detection of microsporidian DNA. V1F/530R primer set targets a fragment of about 400-bp which covers hypervariable V1-V3 regions of ssu rDNA. This approach has proven its effectiveness in numerous microsporidian lineages (e.g. Bojko et al., 2015; Evans, Llanos, Kunin, & Evison, 2018; Simakova, Tokarev, & Issi, 2018; Sokolova, & Overstreet, 2018; Wattier et al., 2007). However, in case of co-infection with different microsporidian species, such an approach based on direct amplicon

sequencing using conventional method could fail due to ambiguous Sanger sequencing results or can give false results if one of the species dominates in the sample.

Recent advances in next-generation sequencing (NGS) have made great progress in studies concerning microbial diversity. The first attempt to use high throughput sequencing for microsporidian DNA detection was performed by Williams et al. (2018). They applied the standard V1F and 530R primers to check the diversity of Microsporidia in environmental samples. Using this primer set, they were able to uncover new microsporidian diversity; however, their raw data contained mostly non-target sequences (see Figure 2 in Williams et al. 2018). The high percentage of non-microsporidian sequences found in this study suggests that the standard V1F/530R primer set is not specific enough to amplify exclusively microsporidian DNA.

Our work aimed to develop a new molecular method for the rapid and sensitive microsporidia detection using a DNA marker better suited for NGS approach. Additionally, we present a new primer set to amplify the short DNA-barcode based on the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene for parallel identification of the microsporidian host species. As a model, we used microsporidia that infect mosquitoes (Culicidae). Microsporidia are common to mosquitoes: over 90 microsporidian species have been recorded worldwide from this host. In addition, some microsporidians parasitic in mosquitoes also infect other species of insects, crustaceans, and vertebrates (Andreadis, 2007; Becnel, White, & Shapiro, 2005; Vossbrinck, Andreadis, Vávra, & Becnel, 2004).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material

To determine sensitivity of the metabarcoding method we used three commercial lines of microsporidian spores: *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* (P103C @ 1x10⁶), *E. hellem*

(P103H @ 1x10⁶), and *E. intestinalis* (P103I @ 1x10⁶) from Waterborne Inc. New Orleans, LA. For each species, a series of ten-fold dilutions were prepared, ranging from 10 to 10,000 spores per mL. In addition, to prove that the new method is able to recover microsporidian species in co-infections, four samples consisting of a mixture of *E. cuniculi*, *E. hellem* and *E. intestinalis* spores was prepared, ranging from 10:10:10 to 10:10:10,000 spores, respectively.

As positive controls, we used DNA isolates of *Anncaliia algerae* and *Vavraia culicis* from the Mosquito and Fly Research Unit, USDA-ARS Center for Medical, Agricultural and Veterinary Entomology (CMAVE), Gainesville, FL, USA and a DNA sample of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* isolated in the Department of Biology and Medical Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine I, University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland.

As microsporidia-negative control, we used colony mosquitoes believed to be free of microsporidia bred at the USDA-ARS-CMAVE. In total, we analyzed 200 mosquito individuals representing four species of 50 individuals each: *Aedes aegypti*, *Anopheles quadrimaculatus*, *Culex quinquefasciatus* and *Uranotaenia lowii*.

Field-collected mosquito sample consisted of 212 adult females collected between July and August 2016 from periphery of mixed birch-oak and riparian forests, near the city of Poznan, Western Poland. Mosquitoes were collected at night using human-landing catches. Captured mosquitoes were preserved in 80% ethanol at 4°C until DNA extraction.

DNA extraction

Before DNA extraction, mosquitoes were washed three times in 96% ethyl alcohol. Individual mosquitoes were placed in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes until DNA extraction, while the alcohol collected after third washing was filtered through the MF-Millipore Membrane Filter, 0.22 µm pore size (Merck KGaA, Germany). Then the filter was cut and placed in an

Eppendorf tube containing 180 µl of ATL lysis buffer (Qiagen, Germany) and incubated with 0.2 mg of Proteinase K (Bio Basic Inc., Canada) for 48 h at 56°C. Later, 100 µl of the lysate was used for column-based DNA extraction using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol for animal tissues.

Genomic DNA was isolated from spores and mosquitoes using a modified ammonium hydroxide method (Rijpkema & Bruinink, 1996). Two hundred µl of 0.7 M ammonium hydroxide (POCH S.A., Poland) was added to 100 µl of spore suspension or to one mosquito individual and homogenized for 30 seconds using the Pellet Cordless Motor Pellet (DWK Life Sciences, USA) with disposable micro pestles (Scientific Specialties Inc., USA). Samples were incubated for 20 min at 99°C with shaking and then the tubes were opened and further left under the same conditions to concentrate the lysate to about 100 µl volumes. Then, the samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 10,000 rpm, and the supernatant was collected. Before PCR amplification, DNA extracts from mosquitoes were normalized with sterile water to the concentration of about 10 ng/µl.

Standard molecular approach for microsporidia detection

We compared the performance of our new metabarcoding approach with the standard PCR-based detection method. We amplified and sequenced the V1-V3 region of ssu rDNA using V1F (CACCAGGTTGATTCTGCCTGAC) and 530R (CCGCGGCKGCTGGCAC) primers. PCRs were prepared in two technical replicates, each in a total volume of 10 µl containing Hot FIREPol DNA Polymerase (Solis BioDyne, Estonia), 0.25 µM of each primer and 1 µl of template DNA. Amplification program was as follows: 12 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 1 min at 60°C and 1 min at 72°C, with a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. DNA isolated from 100 spores of *E. intestinalis* was used as a positive control. After amplification, technical replications were pooled.

Standard COI-barcode (Hebert, Cywinska, Ball, & deWaard, 2003) was amplified using bcdF01 and bcdR04 (Dabert, Witalinski, Kazmierski, Olszanowski, & Dabert, 2010) primers. PCRs were prepared in a volume of 10 µl containing Hot FIREPol DNA Polymerase, 0.5 µM of each primer and 1 µl of template DNA. PCR program was as follows: 12 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 30 s at 50°C and 1 min at 72°C, with a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. DNA isolated from *A. aegypti* was used as a positive control.

Five µL of the PCR reaction was analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel stained with GelRed (Biotium, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Samples containing visible bands were purified with *E. coli* exonuclease I and FastAP Alkaline Phosphatase (Thermo Scientific, USA) and sequenced using BigDye v3.1 kit and ABI Prism 3130XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA), following manufacturer's instructions. Sequence chromatograms were checked for accuracy and, if necessary, manually edited in Geneious R11.1.5 (Biomatters Ltd.).

Designing new primers for microsporidia detection

New microsporidia-specific primer set was developed based on ssu rDNA sequence data published in GenBank. In total, 1,133 sequences representing 120 genera belonging to the classical Microsporidia were aligned using MAFFT and L-INS-i algorithm (Kato, Misawa, Kuma, & Miyata, 2002; Kato & Standley, 2013) as implemented in Geneious R11.1.5. Primers were manually designed to cover about 200-bp fragment coding for the helices 27 to 34 in *Heterosporis anguillarum* ssu rDNA secondary structure (Tsai, Kou, Lo, & Wang, 2002); according to Neefs, Van de Peer, De Rijk, Chapelle & de Wachter (1993) this region covers the V5 hypervariable region of ssu rRNA (V5 region). The primer sequences were analyzed

in Oligo Analyzer version 3.1 (Integrated DNA Technologies Inc.) to check the difference of melting temperatures and to search for possible primer secondary structures.

The percentage identities of the aligned V1-V3 and V5 regions were estimated using Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistical test in GeneDoc version 2.7 sequence editing tool (Nicholas Jr. & McClain, 1995). The final dataset for V1-V3 and V5 alignments used in the analysis consisted of 649 and 607 available microsporidian sequences, respectively. Duplicate reads were extracted from the dataset using the default settings in Dedupe Duplicate Read Remover version 37.64 implemented in Geneious R11.1.5.

Amplification of V5 region and mini-COI for NGS sequencing

Microsporidian V5 region was amplified using CM-V5F (GATTAGANACNNNGTAGTTC) and CM-V5R (TAANCAGCACAMTCCACTC) primers developed in this study. Mosquito species were determined by DNA-barcoding using the shortened (373 bp) fragment of the mitochondrial COI gene (henceforth: mini-COI) covering 5' fragment of the standard DNA-barcode. The mini-COI was amplified using a primer pair: bcdF01 (CATTTTCHACTAAYCATAARGATATTGG) (Dabert, Witalinski, Kazmierski, Olszanowski, & Dabert, 2010) and bcdR06 (GGDGGRTAHACAGTYCAHCCNGT) developed in this study. All PCR primers for NGS sequencing used in this study were tailed at 5' ends with dual-indexed Ion Torrent adapters (forward tail 5'-CCATCTCATCCCTGCGTGTCTCCGACTCAG-index-GAT, reverse tail 5'-CCTCTCTATGGGCAGTCGGTGAT-index) for sequencing using Ion Torrent system (Life Technologies, USA).

The V5 region was amplified in two technical replications, each in a total volume of 10 µl containing Hot FIREPol DNA Polymerase, 0.25 µM of each tailed primer and 1 µl of

template DNA. PCR program was as follows: 12 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 30 s at 50°C and 30 s at 72°C, with a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min.

PCR amplification of mini-COI was performed in a volume of 5 µl containing Hot FIREPol DNA Polymerase, 0.25 µM of each tailed primer and 1 µl of template DNA. PCR program was as follows: 12 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 30 s at 50°C and 45 s at 72°C, with a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min.

Library construction and NGS sequencing

The V5 region and mini-COI libraries were prepared separately (Figure 1). For each PCR reaction, 3 µl were electrophoresed on a 2% agarose gel to check amplification efficiency. The V5 region amplicons were pooled based on DNA band intensities. The sample volumes ranged from 5 µl, where the amplicons were invisible on the gel, to 1 µl in case of brighter bands. The rare samples which had very high intensity were diluted 100-fold with sterile water before pooling. For the mini-COI library, all samples were pooled using 1 µl of each PCR reaction. The V5 region and mini-COI libraries were purified separately using 2% E-Gel SizeSelect II Agarose Gels system (Invitrogen, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentration and fragment length distribution of the libraries were established with the use of High Sensitivity D1000 Screen Tape assay on 2200 Tape Station system (Life Technologies, USA).

Sequence data used in this study were generated in several independent sequencing experiments. Clonal template amplifications were performed using the Ion Torrent One Touch System II and the Ion Torrent OT2 Kit according to manufacturer's instructions. For the emulsion PCR, the V5 region and mini-COI libraries were pooled in a 10:1 ratio. Sequencing was carried out using Hi-Q View Sequencing Kit and Ion PGM system on Ion 314 and Ion 318 chips or Ion 520 & Ion 530 Kit-OT2 and S5 system on Ion 530 chip (Life

Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were pooled for each sequencing to get at least 100,000 reads per sample. Negative controls from blank DNA extractions and PCR reagents were included in each PCR and sequencing experiment.

Read processing and data analysis

Raw sequence data were pre-filtered by Ion Torrent Suite software version 5.10.1 (Life Technologies, USA) to remove polyclonal and low quality sequences. Further bioinformatic analysis was conducted using fastq data and custom workflow. Sequence reads shorter than 180-bp were removed from the dataset. Leading and trailing low-quality bases or Ns were removed using trimmomatic version 0.39 (Bolger, Lohse, & Usadel, 2014). Fastx toolkit (Hannon, 2010) was used to extract sequences with the minimum of 50% of bases with a quality score ≥ 25 . Quality filtered sequences were separated into individual combinations of indexes in Geneious R11.1.5. Chimeras were removed using the default settings in UCHIME2 version 4.2.40 (Edgar, 2016) and SILVA database for ARB for small subunit ribosomal RNAs version 132 (Glöckner et al., 2017; Quast et al. 2013; Yilmaz et al., 2014) as implemented in Geneious R11.1.5. Next, the sequences were trimmed at 5' and 3' ends to exclude PCR primers.

Operational taxonomic unit (OTU) clustering was done in USEARCH version 11.0.667 (Edgar, 2010). Singletons (<10 reads) were removed, then OTUs were clustered from the sequences whose abundance exceeded a threshold of 10 counts using the CLUSTER_OTUS algorithm (Edgar, 2013). The OTU consensus sequences were compared to GenBank using BLASTN (Zhang, Schwartz, Wagner, & Miller, 2000) optimized for highly similar sequences (megablast algorithm) (Morgulis et al., 2008).

We used 97% identity threshold to determine mosquito species, and 100% identities for the identification of microsporidian species. Sequences generated in this study were

published in GenBank under the accession numbers MT001301-MT001427, MT015707-MT015901 and MT075548-MT075550 (Table S1).

Phylogenetic analyses

To confirm the taxonomic position of the Microsporidia detected in field-collected mosquitoes we used 122 ssu rDNA sequences representing all known clades of the classical Microsporidia (74), Metchnikovellida (4) and Chytridopsida (1). As close outgroups, we used Rozellomycota (6) and Fungi (28). For details concerning all ingroup and outgroup taxa see Table S2. Sequences were aligned using the L-INS-I algorithm in MAFFT v7.388 (Katoh, Misawa, Kuma, & Miyata, 2002; Katoh & Standley, 2013) as implemented in Geneious R11.1.5. The final alignment consisted of 2801 nucleotide positions (nps). The best fit model of DNA evolution (GTR+I+G) was chosen by PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear, Calcott, Ho, & Guindon, 2012). Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using Maximum Likelihood (ML) in Garli v.2.0 (Zwickl, 2006) and Bayesian inference (BI) in MrBayes 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al., 2012). Each BI run of four independent chains was performed in $2 \times 10,000,000$ generations, and the trees were sampled every 1000 generations. The final consensus tree was generated after discarding the burn-in fraction of 0.25% of initial trees; the average standard deviation of split frequencies dropped below 0.003. Bootstrap support for the ML tree was calculated by using 1000 data replicates as implemented in Garli. The trees were edited in FigTree 1.4.4 (Rambaut, 2018) and further in Corel Draw X4.

Statistical analyses

Sequence reads from microsporidia were normalized by OTUTAB_RARE algorithm (Edgar & Flyvbjerg, 2018) to compare sample diversities. The diversity of OTUs in individual samples were calculated using ALPHA_DIV algorithm (Edgar & Flyvbjerg, 2018). Due to the

lack of near-normal distribution in any sample ($p < 0.05$) in the initial Shapiro-Wilk test (Shapiro & Wilk, 1965), the nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test (Kruskal & Wallis, 1952) was used to compare technical repetitions series of spore dilutions and control co-infections, as well as to compare microsporidian species detected in mosquitoes. Rarefaction curves were generated using Past software version 3.23 (Hammer, Harper, & Ryan, 2001). The level of significance of the microsporidian infection frequencies was tested with the Dunn test (Dunn, 1964) constituting the post-hoc test for Q-Cochran analysis (Cochran, 1950). Heatmap was prepared using Heatmapper tools (Babicki et al., 2016). The chi-squared test statistic (Pearson, 1900) were used to evaluate whether there is an association between the detected microsporidia and their occurrence in different host species or in mixed-infections, and the relationship between numbers of sequence reads per OTU in the metabarcoding approach and a successful amplification of the V1-V3 region. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to determine the correlation between numbers of reads of individual microsporidians (Pearson, 1895). The results of Pearson's correlation were visualized in Gephi software version 0.9.2 (Bastian, Heymann, & Jacomy, 2009).

Microsporidian DNA was considered as incidental in field-collected mosquitoes when noticed in less than 1% of all analyzed individuals, its OTU was covered by less than 50 sequences and the species has not been previously reported from mosquitoes.

Results

Proof-of-concept experiment: positive and negative samples and mini-COI barcoding of the hosts

Microsporidia-positive samples including DNA extracts from spores (36), mixture of spores (36) and cultured microsporidia (3), as well as negative controls including blank DNA extractions (3) and blank PCRs (6) yielded 1,413,000 sequence reads after quality filtering.

None of the negative control samples passed our sequencing quality threshold of having at least 10 reads per OTU. Sequences generated from the DNAs extracted from cultured microsporidians (ca. 18,500) showed three OTUs that corresponded to the microsporidian species from which DNA samples were extracted: *A. algerae*, *V. culicis*, and *E. bienersi*.

Almost 106,000 sequence reads were obtained from the series of ten-fold dilution of *E. cuniculi*, *E. hellem*, and *E. intestinalis* spores. Samples containing 100, 1000 and 10,000 spores per mL were represented by, respectively, 673 (SD = 94), 1499 (SD = 407) and 9524 (SD = 862) sequence reads. The method was reproducible for all tested species to the level of 100 spores per 1 mL ($R^2 > 0.99$) (Figure S1). The repeatability of the method was supported by Kruskal–Wallis test ($H < 0.006$; $p > 0.05$).

Analysis of the relative sequence read abundance in sequencing results from DNA samples being a mixture of microsporidian species showed that DNAs from species present in smaller quantities in the sample (*E. cuniculi* and *E. hellem* vs *E. intestinalis*) were successfully detected in each mixture of spores in all technical replicates (Figure 2). DNAs from *E. cuniculi* and *E. hellem* spores were detected in the sample even when they were mixed in a ratio of 1 to 1000 with *E. intestinalis*. In this sample, they were represented by about 0.1% of all reads which corresponded to the assumed share of the spores representing each species. The repeatability of the experiment was supported by Kruskal–Wallis test ($H < 0.008$; $p > 0.05$).

To test the new method on colony mosquitoes, 213 samples consisting of the V5 region amplicons from *A. aegypti*, *A. quadrimaculatus*, *C. quinquefasciatus*, *U. lowii* and negative controls (13) were sequenced in one experiment. Negative controls included PCRs performed on the DNA extracted from preservation medium for mosquitoes, blank DNA extractions, and blank PCRs. After quality filtering, 480,307 reads were used in the OTU analysis. None of the negative control samples passed our sequencing quality threshold to generate OTUs. Less than 9% of the whole sequence data were of non-microsporidian

origin. From these non-microsporidian sequences, we reconstructed one OTU showing 96% of identities to acetylcholinesterase (Ace1) gene from *A. aegypti* (GenBank acc. no. BK006052). This OTU was found in almost all mosquito individuals (181/200). However, the number of reads for this OTU never exceeded 785 per individual (median = 312). Among colony mosquitoes, we found microsporidian DNA in two individuals belonging to *A. quadrimaculatus*. The OTU we found in this species matched *Microsporidium* sp. OB1 (GenBank acc. no. MG456597) originally reported from geometer moth *Operophtera bruceata* (Lepidoptera).

Based on mini-COI data, all mosquitoes were unambiguously assigned to the proper species. The COI sequence reads in a mosquito individual positive for microsporidia amounted to 7% (SD = 4) while the remaining reads represented the V5 region library. This result corresponded to the molar ratio of 1:10 used for pooling the libraries for sequencing.

Applying the metabarcoding method for field-collected mosquitoes

Using mini-COI data, all field-collected mosquitoes were unambiguously assigned to seven species: *Aedes cinereus* (13), *A. vexans* (19), *Coquillettidia richiardii* (16), *Ochlerotatus annulipes* (63), *O. cantans* (77), *O. punctor* (11) and *O. sticticus* (13) (Table 1, Table S1).

In total, the V5 region library of the field-collected mosquitos (212) and negative controls (5) generated about 1,330,000 reads after quality filtering. Negative controls yielded no sequence data using our default threshold. In microsporidia-free mosquitoes the number of reads never exceeded 626 per sample (median = 101). Together, non-microsporidian sequences accounted for only 12% of all quality filtered reads; most of them were of host origin (11.9%) and coded ssu rRNA genes (9.8%) or a collagen alpha-1 chain gene (2.1%; 100% identities with GenBank acc. no. XM_021840458). The less abundant OTUs

represented ssu rDNA fragments from fungi (in three mosquito individuals; <0.03% of sequence reads), gregarine (in one individual; <0.002%) and human (in one individual; <0.001%) (Table S1).

In field-collected mosquitoes, microsporidian DNA was found in almost 60% (127/212) of individuals representing all analyzed species (Table 1). The OTU clustering across microsporidia-positive samples produced 11 unique OTUs that represented: a new microsporidian ssu rDNA gene sequence which we named *Microsporidium* sp. PL01, *Amblyospora salinaria*, *Amblyospora* sp. (identical to AY090055), *Encephalitozoon hellem*, *Enterocytozpora artemiae*, *Nosema adaliae*, *N. ceranae*, *N. pieriae*, *N. thomsoni*, *Nosema* sp. CHW-2007a, and indistinguishable in this sequence fragment *N. chrysorrhoeae* and/or *N. portugal* (Tables 1, S1). Rarefaction curve analysis showed that the read depth was sufficient to recover all microsporidian species in the tested individuals (Figure S2). The analysis revealed that 10,000 reads per sample is required to identify all microsporidian diversity in the tested host.

Except for *A. cinereus* and *O. punctor* that hosted two microsporidian species, all the remaining mosquito species were positive for at least four different microsporidians that could potentially infect them (Table 1). The highest richness of different Microsporidia was observed in *O. annulipes*; mosquitoes belonging to this species potentially hosted six different microsporidians: *Microsporidium* sp. PL01, *Amblyospora salinaria* and at least four species from the genus *Nosema*. The presence of *E. hellem*, *E. artemiae* and *N. ceranae* was recognized as incidental because each of OTUs was noticed only in one host species and was found in <1% of all mosquito individuals.

The predominant microsporidium that was noticed in all mosquito species was *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 (69.3% of all infected individuals) (Table 1). This species occurred significantly more often than any of the other microsporidians ($p < 0.01$) in each of the analyzed mosquito species (Figure 3); Berger-Parker (0.69) and Simpson (0.51) dominance

indexes supported this observation. In addition, high frequency of *N. chrysorrhoeae* and/or *N. portugal* was observed (15.3%, $p < 0.05$), especially in *O. cantans*; this microsporidian DNA was also detected in mosquitoes belonging to the *A. vexans*, *C. richiardii* and *O. annulipes* species. The third most common detected microsporidian (4.7%) was *Nosema* sp. CHW-2007a, which was recorded in five of seven examined host species (Figure 3). In the remaining possible microsporidian pairs, there were no significant differences in their frequency ($p > 0.05$).

Results of the phylogenetic analysis showed that the *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 forms clade with *Microsporidium* sp. 1199 (GenBank acc. no. FN610845.1) and represents another species in the same genus nested in the microsporidian Clade IV (Figure 4).

Co-occurrence of different Microsporidia in single mosquito individuals

The co-occurrence of DNAs representing different microsporidian species in one host individual was recorded in 20 field-collected mosquitoes (9.4%); this relationship concerned all microsporidian and host species (Figure 5, Table 2). There were no statistically significant relationships between co-occurring microsporidians and mosquito species ($\chi^2 = 1.2$; $p > 0.05$). Microsporidia considered as incidental – *E. hellem*, *E. artemiae*, and *N. ceranae* – were found with the most abundant *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 in four mosquito individuals; additionally, in a single case, *N. ceranae* was noticed with *N. chrysorrhoeae* and/or *N. portugal*. The co-occurrence rate remained high in all host species, even omitting the incidental microsporidians. More than two microsporidian species were found in 12.6% of all microsporidia-positive mosquitoes.

Although most microsporidian co-infections occurred with the most abundant *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 (76.2%), the highest convergence rate of co-infections concerned microsporidians of the genus *Nosema*. Among 39 cases of the presence of *Nosema* DNAs in

mosquitoes, 23 of them (59%) were observed in co-occurrence with DNA representing the other microsporidian species. The chi-squared statistic showed that *Nosema* spp. occurred more frequently in mosquitoes with another microsporidian species than individually ($\chi^2 = 25.15$; $p < 0.05$).

A statistically significant relationship ($p < 0.05$) was found between the numbers of reads representing a given co-infecting microsporidian species. An almost complete correlation was observed in increasing numbers of sequence reads between *N. chrysorrhoeae/portugal* and *Nosema* sp. CHW-2007a ($r = 0.9$); also, similarly high correlation was observed between *Amblyospora* sp. and *A. salinaria* ($r = 0.51$) (Figure 6, Table S3).

Comparison of metabarcoding and standard molecular approach

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov plots for the microsporidian V1-V3 and V5 sequence alignments showed that the proposed V5 marker is only 4% less variable than the standard sequence covering V1-V3 regions (about 40% and 36% of different nps, respectively) (Figure 7). The extraction of duplicate reads from the alignment comprising the V5 region representing 607 microsporidian species belonging to 120 genera indicated that the marker enables the proper identification of almost all species in the dataset (596/607, >98%). Several sequences that were found in duplicates represented species of mainly of the genus *Nosema*, e.g. *N. chrysorrhoeae* and *N. portugal* or a group consisting of *N. antheraeae*, *N. trichoplusiae*, *N. spodopterae*, and *N. philosamiae* showed no variation in the V5 region. However, in these sample groups extremely low or no variation can also be observed in other regions of the ssu rDNA, including V1-V3 marker (data not shown). In three cases, the same V5 region sequences were found in species representing different genera (*Conglomerata obtusa* and *Berwaldia schaefernai*; *Ameson portunus*, *A. pulvis* and *Nadelospora canceri*; *Tetramicra brevifilum* and *Microgemma caulleryi*).

In total, 42 microsporidia-positive samples were obtained using the standard V1F/530R primer set and DNA extracts from field-collected mosquitoes previously used to test the metabarcoding method (Figure S3, Table S1). Using the same DNA templates we successfully amplified full-length COI-barcode (about 670 bp), which means that all DNA isolates were suitable for PCR amplification (Figure S4). As PCR products were found among 127 microsporidia-positive mosquitoes identified previously by the metabarcoding method, the standard PCR approach gave almost 67% false-negative samples (Table S4). We found a statistically significant correlation ($\chi^2 = 68.59$, $p < 0.05$) between the detection of microsporidia using the standard molecular approach and the obtaining at least 300 reads per OTU found by the metabarcoding method. Direct Sanger sequencing of the V1-V3 amplicons revealed two samples with unreadable chromatograms, one sample positive for *Amblyospora* sp. which was in agreement with the metabarcoding result, and 39 samples positive for the *Microsporidium* sp. PL01. The *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 populations infecting different mosquito host species shared the same V1-V3 sequence. Two unreadable chromatograms were found in mosquitoes that were highly co-infected with *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 and two *Nosema* spp. (samples AT.p02.E06 and AT.p03.D07, bolded in Table 2). On the other hand, direct V1-V3 amplicon sequencing failed to show four mixed samples where the *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 significantly dominated over other co-infecting species (highlighted in grey in Table 2).

Discussion

Effectiveness of metabarcoding microsporidia and their hosts

The metabarcoding approach proposed in this study can be used to fast, accurate and sensitive detection of microsporidia in all types of DNA samples. Our data show that the new marker covering the hypervariable V5 region of ssu rDNA allows proper identification of almost all classical microsporidian species known from rDNA sequence data.

The V5 region is flanked by group-conserved sequences that allowed us to design the CM-V5F/CM-V5R primer set with great specificity towards microsporidian ssu rDNA. Indeed, the specificity of our primers seems to be higher than the commonly used V1F/530R pair applied by Williams et al. (2018), as in our experiments the non-target sequences never exceeded 12% of quality-filtered sequence data. Results of our phylogenetic analysis, which included the V5 region sequences found in this study, support that the new primer set enables the amplification of ssu rDNA in different microsporidian evolutionary lineages, including Aquasporidia, Terresporidia, and Marinosporidia (Vossbrinck & Debrunner-Vossbrinck, 2005) (Figure 4). However, recently published first sequence data for the two remaining microsporidian lineages – metchnikovellid *Amphiamblys* sp. (Galindo et al., 2018; Mikhailov, Simdyanov, & Aleoshin, 2017) and chytridiopsid *Chytridiopsis typographi* (Corsaro et al., 2019) – suggest that the CM-V5F/CM-V5R primer set is rather group-specific for the classical Microsporidia. This observation indicates that there may be a need to develop additional primers that will be group-specific for both the Metchnikovellida and the Chytridiopsida to study species diversity in the whole phylum.

Our metabarcoding approach allows detecting of 100 spores per 1 mL which is comparable with other PCR-based methods (Menotti et al., 2003; Rinder et al., 1998; Wolk, Schneider, Wengenack, Sloan, & Rosenblatt, 2002) or microarray techniques developed for *Encephalitozoon* spp. in clinical samples (Wang, Orlandi, & Stenger, 2005). Usually, clinical samples are hardly accessible; therefore, the screening of different microsporidian species in the same sample at the same time may be of clinical benefit. The advantage of the metabarcoding is that it allows simultaneous screening of all species, without the need to detect particular species in separate PCR reactions. For example, methods commonly used for microsporidia detection in water are based on spore staining in smears from concentrated water samples, followed by the PCR amplification of marker sequences using species-specific primers (e.g. Ben Ayed et al., 2012; Izquierdo et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012).

Metabarcoding approach could help overcome these difficulties saving the analysis time and costs. In addition, the metabarcoding of concentrated water samples could be standardized which can help to develop good laboratory methods for more accurate waterborne disease risk assessment.

The comparison of our new method with a standard PCR-based approach clearly shows that the metabarcoding is more sensitive and accurate in detecting microsporidian infections. Our results show that direct amplicon sequencing would be impractical in co-infected samples where one microsporidian species was present at a much higher level of infection than the other co-infecting species. Although amplicon cloning in a plasmid vector and subsequent Sanger sequencing of several clones can detect mixed samples in cases of relatively balanced co-infections, the dominance of one microsporidian species would require sequencing a large number of clones, which is usually not assumed a priori.

Basing on the same mosquito DNA samples we successfully amplified both the full-length COI-barcode (about 670 bp) and the mini-COI fragment (373 bp) for the metabarcoding analysis. COI sequence analysis showed that both fragments allowed to unambiguously assign all mosquitoes to the proper species. Therefore, the mini-COI fragment can be successfully used in NGS approaches to determine microsporidian host species.

Microsporidia in field-collected mosquitoes

More than half of field-collected mosquitoes analyzed in this study were positive for microsporidian DNA. Reports about the prevalence of microsporidia in adult mosquito populations in nature are sparse and focused on particular microsporidians and their primary mosquito hosts. In one experiment conducted for almost 20 years, the prevalence of *Amblyospora stimuli* infections in *A. stimulans* adult female populations was relatively low

and ranged from 1% to 9.6% (Andreadis, 1999). On the other hand, in the study concerning a life cycle and ecology of *Amblyospora khaliulini*, prevalence rates of *A. khaliulini* infections in adult mosquito females ranged from 16.4% to 50% (Andreadis, Thomas, & Shepard, 2018). In our study, all mosquito species showed a much higher level of microsporidian positive individuals, ranging from 54.0% to 92.3% (Table 1). However, in the studies conducted by Andreadis et al. (1999, 2018), Microsporidia were identified by microscopic examination of infected tissues; mosquitoes were considered infected if either the vegetative or spore developmental stage of the parasite was observed.

Both Andreadis' studies (1999, 2018) based on a single collection of mosquitoes during the end of April or May, which are not the warmest months of the year in the studied areas (public data of the National Weather Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; www.weather.gov). For the present study, mosquitoes were collected in July and August, which are the warmest months in Poland (public data of the National Research Institute, Institute of Meteorology and Water Management; www.imgw.pl). Our preliminary results of a phenological survey of microsporidian infections in mosquito natural populations suggest that during the warmest months of the year the prevalence of microsporidians is higher and can reach about 60% of microsporidia-positive individuals, than in other seasons (about 30%) (Trzebny et al. in prep.). Thus, the differences between prevalence noticed in our and the previous studies could result from both the season of mosquito capturing and the use of a much more sensitive method for microsporidia detection.

The presence of microsporidian DNA does not necessarily result from infection. This assumption led us to exclude *E. hellem*, *E. artemiae* and *N. ceranae* as infecting factors because they had been noticed in less than 1% of all analyzed individuals and their OTUs were covered by low numbers of reads. However, there is no empirical basis to exclude *Nosema* spp. or *Amblyospora* spp. even though in the present study we recorded them in single host individuals and/or their OTUs were represented by low numbers of reads. To our

knowledge, the remaining microsporidian species, found by us in field-collected mosquitoes, have been recorded in Culicidae for the first time.

Our observations basing on numbers of reads representing the newly recorded microsporidian species OTUs or their occurrence in multiple mosquito individuals support the hypothesis that these species indeed can infect mosquitoes. A wide range of hosts for members of the *Nosema* genus also supports this hypothesis. For example, *N. thomsoni* has been noticed in *Andrena vaga* (Hymenoptera) (Ravoet et al., 2014), *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera) (Vilcinskas, Stoecker, Schmidtberg, Röhrich, & Vogel, 2013) and *Choristoneura conflictana* (Lepidoptera) (Kyei-Poku, Gauthier, & Van Frankenhuyzen, 2008).

Among the other microsporidians detected in our study, *N. adaliae* was found in *Adalia bipunctata* (Coleoptera) (Steele & Bjørnson, 2014), while *N. pieriae*, *N. chrysorrhoeae*, *N. portugal* and *Nosema* sp. CHW-2007a were noticed in different lepidopteran hosts (Huang et al., 2008; Hylíš et al., 2006; Maddox et al., 1999; Yaman, Bekircan, Radek, & Linde, 2014). *Amblyospora* sp. recorded in our study had been previously found in *Cyclops strenuous* (Copepoda) from the Czech Republic (Vossbrinck, Andreadis, Vávra, & Becnel, 2004). The dominant presence of one microsporidian species - *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 - in all mosquito species analyzed in this study suggests that there may be no "primary host" in microsporidia-mosquito relationships and the observed prevalence reflects only the abundance of a generalist microsporidian species infecting mosquito larvae in the water environment. Nevertheless, ultrastructural assessment, based on histology and transmission electron microscopy should be carried on to confirm the actual infection.

The new microsporidian ssu rDNA sequence found in this study is the most similar to ssu rDNA of *Microsporidium* sp. 1199 which was recorded in freshwater populations of *Gammarus duebeni* (Crustacea, Amphipoda) in a rivulet from Wales, Holyhead Island, during research on molecular characterization of the microsporidians of this host across its natural range (Krebes, Blank, Frankowski, & Bastrop, 2010). Our phylogenetic analysis results

strongly support that *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 and *Microsporidium* sp. 1199 represent different species in the same genus, which belongs to one of the two main clades in the Terresporidia. The members of this clade mostly parasitize on terrestrial arthropods, but this clade groups also microsporidians that can infect vertebrates, including humans (e.g. *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*).

Microsporidia in co-infections

Our metabarcoding method allows us to detect a relatively high level (9.4%) of co-occurrence of DNAs representing different microsporidian species in the same host individual. We cannot exclude accidental intake of spores in food; however, high numbers of sequence reads representing *N. thomsoni* or *N. chrysorrhoeae/portugal* in samples where they co-occurred with *Microsporidium* sp. PL01 are evidence of mixed infection by these species.

It is noteworthy that we found *Nosema* spp. in field-collected mosquitoes mostly in co-infections. Furthermore, we noticed that three out of five *Nosema* species – *N. adaliae*, *N. pieriae*, and *N. thomsoni* – in our study were found only in co-infections. Additionally, we found a positive correlation between numbers of reads for OTUs representing *N. chrysorrhoeae* and/or *N. portugal* and *Nosema* sp. CHW-2007a which suggests that these species support each other. A similar relationship was found for the *Amblyospora* spp. where the occurrence of *A. salinaria* was associated with the occurrence of *Amblyospora* sp.; although, in this case the numbers of reads suggest a lower level of infection. However, quantitative analyses should be carried out to confirm these relationships. To conclude, we believe that our observations based on the microsporidian DNA occurrences reflect the actual microsporidian diversity in a tested sample; however, the hypotheses concerning

infections and the mutual relationships of co-infecting species should be tested using more intensive host sampling with the use of ultrastructure data.

Conclusions

In this paper, we have proposed a new molecular approach for the detection of microsporidian DNA in samples extracted from their hosts. The method uses NGS sequence data from the hypervariable V5 region of ssu rDNA and the shortened fragment of the standard metazoan DNA-barcode, i.e. the mitochondrial COI gene, for microsporidian and host species identification, respectively.

We have tested this metabarcoding approach on microsporidians infecting mosquitoes; however, the method can be applied to all types of DNA extracts, including medical and environmental samples. We compared our new method with the standard molecular approach for microsporidian DNA detection, which uses end-point PCR and Sanger sequencing. This comparison showed that the metabarcoding is more sensitive and accurate than the traditional method.

An additional advantage of the new method is its effectiveness in identifying microsporidian species that co-infect the same host individual. Moreover, our data concerning numbers of sequence reads for the microsporidian OTUs found in co-infected hosts suggest that the occurrence of some microsporidian species in mixed-infections could benefit them; however, this observation should be re-tested using more intensive host sampling.

Acknowledgements

We thank Edward Baraniak from Adam Mickiewicz University, and Piotr Rzymiski from University of Medical Sciences for collecting mosquitos. This work is supported by Leading National Research Centre - KNOW RNA Research Centre in Poznań.

Data accessibility

Sequences generated in this study were published in GenBank under the accession numbers MT001301-MT001427, MT015707-MT015901 and MT075548-MT075550. Other details concerning our data are available in supplementary Figures S1-S4 and Tables S1-S4. All data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author contributions

AT, ASK, MD designed the research; ASK, JB, NS provided the material; AT performed experiments and analyzed data; AT, MD co-wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors interpreted results and contributed to the final manuscript.

References

- Andreadis, T. G. (1999). Epizootiology of *Amblyospora stimuli* (Microsporidiida: Amblyosporidae) Infections in Field Populations of a Univoltine Mosquito, *Aedes stimulans* (Diptera: Culicidae), Inhabiting a Temporary Vernal Pool. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 74(2), 198–205. doi:10.1006/jipa.1999.4875
- Andreadis, T. G. (2007). Microsporidian Parasites of Mosquitoes. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, 23(2), 3–29. doi:10.2987/8756-971X(2007)23[3:MPOM]2.0.CO;2
- Andreadis, T. G., Thomas, M. C., & Shepard, J. J. (2018). *Amblyospora khaliulini* (Microsporidia: Amblyosporidae): Investigations on its life cycle and ecology in *Aedes communis* (Diptera: Culicidae) and *Acanthocyclops vernalis* (Copepoda: Cyclopidae) with redescription of the species. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 151, 113–125. doi:10.1016/j.jip.2017.11.007

677 Babicki, S., Arndt, D., Marcu, A., Liang, Y., Grant, J. R., Maciejewski, A., & Wishart, D. S.
678 (2016). Heatmapper: web-enabled heat mapping for all. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(1),
679 147–153. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw419

680 Baker, M. D., Vossbrinck, C. R., Didier, E. S., Maddox, J. V., & Shadduck, J. A. (1995). Small
681 Subunit Ribosomal DNA Phylogeny of Various Microsporidia with Emphasis on AIDS
682 Related Forms. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 42(5), 564–570. doi:10.1111/j.1550-
683 7408.1995.tb05906.x

684 Bass, D., Czech, L., Williams, B. A. P., Berney, C., Dunthorn, M., Mahé, F., ... Williams, T. A.
685 (2018). Clarifying the Relationships between Microsporidia and Cryptomycota. *Journal of*
686 *Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 65(6), 773–782. doi:10.1111/jeu.12519

687 Bastian, M., Heymann, S., & Jacomy, M. (2009). Gephi: an open source software for
688 exploring and manipulating networks. *Proceedings of International AAAI Conference on*
689 *Weblogs and Social Media*, 361–362.

690 Becnel, J. J., Takvorian, P. M., & Cali, A. (2014). Checklist of Available Generic Names for
691 Microsporidia with Type Species and Type Hosts. In L. M. Weiss & J. J. Becnel (Eds.),
692 Microsporidia: Pathogens of Opportunity. Hoboken, New Jersey, United States: John
693 Wiley & Sons, Inc. doi:10.1002/9781118395264.app1

694 Becnel, J. J., White, S. E., & Shapiro, A. M. (2005). Review of microsporidia-mosquito
695 relationships: From the simple to the complex. *Folia Parasitologica*, 52(1–2), 41–50.
696 doi:10.14411/fp.2005.006

697 Ben Ayed, L., Yang, W., Widmer, G., Cama, V., Ortega, Y., & Xiao, L. (2012). Survey and
698 genetic characterization of wastewater in Tunisia for *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Giardia*
699 *duodenalis*, *Enterocytozoon bienersi*, *Cyclospora cayentanensis* and *Eimeria* spp.
700 *Journal of Water and Health*, 10(3), 431–444. doi:10.2166/wh.2012.204

701 Beznoussenko, G. V., Dolgikh, V. V., Seliverstova, E. V., Semenov, P. B., Tokarev, Y. S.,
702 Trucco, A., ... Mironov, A. A. (2007). Analogs of the Golgi complex in microsporidia:
703 structure and vesicular mechanisms of function. *Journal of Cell Science*, 120(7), 1288–
704 1298. doi:10.1242/jcs.03402

705 Bojko, J., Dunn, A. M., Stebbing, P. D., Ross, S. H., Kerr, R. C., & Stentiford, G. D. (2015).
706 *Cucumispora ornata* n. sp. (Fungi: Microsporidia) infecting invasive “demon shrimp”
707 (*Dikerogammarus haemobaphes*) in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Invertebrate*
708 *Pathology*, 128, 22–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2015.04.005

709 Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina
710 sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15), 2114–2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

711 Cochran, W. G. (1950). The Comparison of Percentages in Matched Samples. *Biometrika*,
712 37(3/4), 256–266. doi:10.2307/2332378

713 Corsaro, D., Wylezich, C., Venditti, D., Michel, R., Walochnik, J., & Wegensteiner, R. (2019).
714 Filling gaps in the microsporidian tree: rDNA phylogeny of Chytridiopsis typographi
715 (Microsporidia: Chytridiopsida). *Parasitology Research*, 118(1), 169–180.
716 doi:10.1007/s00436-018-6130-1

717 Dabert, M., Witalinski, W., Kazmierski, A., Olszanowski, Z., & Dabert, J. (2010). Molecular
718 phylogeny of acariform mites (Acari, Arachnida): Strong conflict between phylogenetic
719 signal and long-branch attraction artifacts. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 56(1),
720 222–241. doi:10.1016/J.YMPEV.2009.12.020

721 del Aguila, C., Croppo, G. P., Moura, H., Da Silva, A. J., Leitch, G. J., Moss, D. M., ...
 722 Visvesvara, G. S. (1998). Ultrastructure, immunofluorescence, western blot, and PCR
 723 analysis of eight isolates of *Encephalitozoon* (Septata) *intestinalis* established in culture
 724 from sputum and urine samples and duodenal aspirates of five patients with AIDS.
 725 *Journal of clinical microbiology*, 36(5), 1201-1208

726 Dunn, O. J. (1964). Multiple Comparisons Using Rank Sums. *Technometrics*, 6(3), 241–252.
 727 doi:10.1007/978-1-349-95810-8_109

728 Edgar, R. C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
 729 *Bioinformatics*, 26(19), 2460–2461. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461

730 Edgar, R. C. (2013). UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon
 731 reads. *Nature Methods*, 10(10), 996–998. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2604

732 Edgar, R. C. (2016). UCHIME2 : improved chimera prediction for amplicon sequencing.
 733 doi:10.1101/074252

734 Edgar, R. C., & Flyvbjerg, H. (2018). Octave plots for visualizing diversity of microbial OTUs.
 735 doi:10.1101/389833

736 Emsen, B., Guzman-Novoa, E., Hamiduzzaman, M. M., Eccles, L., Lacey, B., Ruiz-Pérez, R.
 737 A., & Nasr, M. (2016). Higher prevalence and levels of *Nosema ceranae* than *Nosema*
 738 *apis* infections in Canadian honey bee colonies. *Parasitology Research*, 115(1), 175–
 739 181. doi:10.1007/s00436-015-4733-3

740 Evans, A. N., Llanos, J. E. M., Kunin, W. E., & Evison, S. E. F. (2018). Indirect effects of
 741 agricultural pesticide use on parasite prevalence in wild pollinators. *Agriculture,*
 742 *Ecosystems and Environment*, 258, 40–48. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2018.02.002

743 Fast, N. M., Law, J. S., Williams, B. A. P., & Keeling, P. J. (2003). Bacterial Catalase in the
 744 Microsporidian *Nosema locustae* : Implications for Microsporidian Metabolism and
 745 Genome Evolution. *Eukaryotic Cell*, 2(5), 1069–1075. doi:10.1128/ec.2.5.1069-
 746 1075.2003

747 Fayer, R., & Santin-Duran, M. (2014). Epidemiology of Microsporidia in Human Infections. In
 748 L. M. Weiss & J. J. Becnel (Eds.), *Microsporidia: Pathogens of Opportunity: First Edition*
 749 (pp. 135–164). Hoboken, New Jersey, United States: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
 750 doi:10.1002/9781118395264.ch3

751 Field, A. S., Hing, M. C., Milliken, S. T., & Marriott, D. J. (1993). Microsporidia in the small
 752 intestine of HIV-infected patients. A new diagnostic technique and a new species.
 753 *Medical Journal of Australia*, 158(6), 390–394. doi:10.5694/J.1326-
 754 5377.1993.TB121832.X

755 Franzen, C. (2004). Microsporidia: How can they invade other cells? *Trends in Parasitology*,
 756 20(6), 275–279. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2004.04.009

757 Franzen, C., & Müller, A. (2001). Microsporidiosis: Human diseases and diagnosis. *Microbes*
 758 *and Infection*, 3(5), 389–400. doi:10.1016/S1286-4579(01)01395-8

759 Furuya, K., Miwa, S., Omura, M., Asakura, T., Yamano, K., Takatori, K., & Kudo, S. (2008).
 760 Mouse Monoclonal Immunoglobulin E Antibodies Specific for the Microsporidian
 761 *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* Polar Tube Protein 1. *Hybridoma*, 27(3), 153–157.
 762 doi:10.1089/hyb.2007.0564

763 Galindo, L. J., Torruella, G., Moreira, D., Timpano, H., Paskerova, G., Smirnov, A., ... López-
 764 García, P. (2018). Evolutionary genomics of *metchnikovella incurvata*

765 (metchnikovellidae): An early branching microsporidium. *Genome Biology and Evolution*,
766 10(10), 2736–2748. doi:10.1093/gbe/evy205

767 Ghosh K., Schwartz D., & Weiss L. M. (2014). Laboratory Diagnosis of Microsporidia. In L.
768 M. Weiss & J. J. Becnel (Eds.), *Microsporidia: Pathogens of Opportunity: First Edition*
769 (pp. 135–164). Hoboken, New Jersey, United States: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
770 doi:10.1002/9781118395264.ch17

771 Ghosh, K., & Weiss, L. M. (2009). Molecular Diagnostic Tests for Microsporidia.
772 *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Diseases*. doi: 10.1155/2009/926521

773 Ghoyounchi, R., Mahami-Oskoue, M., Rezamand, A., Spotin, A., Aminisani, N., Nami, S., ...
774 Madadi, S. (2019). Molecular Phylodiagnosis of *Enterocytozoon bienersi* and
775 *Encephalitozoon intestinalis* in Children with Cancer: Microsporidia in Malignancies as
776 an Emerging Opportunistic Infection. *Acta Parasitologica*, 64(1), 103–111.
777 doi:10.2478/s11686-018-00012-w

778 Glöckner, F. O., Yilmaz, P., Quast, C., Gerken, J., Beccati, A., Ciuprina, A., ... Ludwig, W.
779 (2017). 25 years of serving the community with ribosomal RNA gene reference
780 databases and tools. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 261, 169–176. doi:
781 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2017.06.1198

782 Grabner, D. S. (2017). Hidden diversity: parasites of stream arthropods. *Freshwater Biology*,
783 62(1), 52–64. doi: 10.1111/fwb.12848

784 Grabner, D. S., Weigand, A. M., Leese, F., Winking, C., Hering, D., Tollrian, R., & Sures, B.
785 (2015). Invaders, natives and their enemies: distribution patterns of amphipods and their
786 microsporidian parasites in the Ruhr Metropolis, Germany. *Parasites and Vectors*, 8,
787 419. doi: 10.1186/s13071-015-1036-6

788 Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T., & Ryan, P. D. (2001). PAST: Paleontological Statistics
789 Software Package for Education and Data Analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, 4(1), 1-
790 9. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2008.05.025

791 Hannon, G.J. (2010) FASTX-Toolkit. <http://hannonlab.cshl.edu>

792 Hebert, P. D. N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S. L., & deWaard, J. R. (2003). Biological identifications
793 through DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 270,
794 313–321. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2218

795 Hollister, W. S., Canning, E. U., & Willcox, A. (1991). Evidence for widespread occurrence of
796 antibodies to *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* (Microspora) in man provided by ELISA and other
797 serological tests. *Parasitology*, 102, 33–43. doi:10.1017/S0031182000060315

798 Huang, W. F., Bocquet, M., Lee, K. C., Sung, I. H., Jiang, J. H., Chen, Y. W., & Wang, C. H.
799 (2008). The comparison of rDNA spacer regions of *Nosema ceranae* isolates from
800 different hosts and locations. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 97(1), 9–13. doi:
801 10.1016/j.jip.2007.07.001

802 Huibers, M. H. W., Moons, P., Maseko, N., Gushu, M. B., Iwajomo, O. H., Heyderman, R. S.,
803 ... Calis, J. C. J. (2018). Multiplex Real-Time PCR Detection of Intestinal Protozoa in
804 HIV-Infected Children in Malawi, *Enterocytozoon Bienersi* is Common and Associated
805 with Gastrointestinal Complaints and May Delay BMI (Nutritional Status) Recovery. *The*
806 *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, 37(9), 910–915.
807 doi:10.1097/inf.0000000000001924

808 Hyliš, M., Pilarska, D. K., Oborník, M., Vávra, J., Solter, L. F., Weiser, J., ... McManus, M. L.
809 (2006). *Nosema chrysorrhoeae* n. sp. (Microsporidia), isolated from browntail moth
810 (*Euproctis chrysorrhoea* L.) (Lepidoptera, Lymantriidae) in Bulgaria: Characterization
811 and phylogenetic relationships. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 91(2), 105–114. doi:
812 10.1016/j.jip.2005.11.006

813 Ignatius, R., Henschel, S., Liesenfeld, O., Mansmann, U., Schmidt, W., Köppe, S., ... Ullrich,
814 R. (1997). Comparative evaluation of modified trichrome and Uvitex 2B stains for
815 detection of low numbers of microsporidial spores in stool specimens. *Journal of Clinical*
816 *Microbiology*, 35(9), 2266–2269.

817 Ishihara, R., & Hayashi, Y. (1968). Some properties of ribosomes from the sporoplasm of
818 *Nosema bombycis*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 11(3), 377–385.
819 doi:10.1016/0022-2011(68)90186-9

820 Izquierdo, F., Castro-Hermida, J. A., Fenoy, S., Mezo, M., González-Warleta, M., & del
821 Aguila, C. (2011). Detection of microsporidia in drinking water, wastewater and
822 recreational rivers. *Water Research*, 45(16), 4837–4843.
823 doi:10.1016/J.WATRES.2011.06.033

824 James, T. Y., Kauff, F., Schoch, C. L., Matheny, P. B., Hofstetter, V., Cox, C. J., ... Vilgalys,
825 R. (2006). Reconstructing the early evolution of Fungi using a six-gene phylogeny.
826 *Nature*, 443, 818–822. doi: 10.1038/nature05110

827 James, T. Y., Pelin, A., Bonen, L., Ahrendt, S., Sain, D., Corradi, N., & Stajich, J. E. (2013).
828 Shared signatures of parasitism and phylogenomics unite cryptomycota and
829 microsporidia. *Current Biology*, 23(16), 1548–1553. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.06.057

830 Javanmard, E., Mirjalali, H., Niyyati, M., Jalilzadeh, E., Seyed Tabaei, S. J., Asadzadeh
831 Aghdaei, H., ... Zali, M. R. (2018). Molecular and phylogenetic evidences of dispersion
832 of human-infecting microsporidia to vegetable farms via irrigation with treated
833 wastewater: One-year follow up. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental*
834 *Health*, 221(4), 642–651. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.03.007

835 Karpov, S. A., Mamkaeva, M. A., Aleoshin, V. V., Nassonova, E., Lilje, O., & Gleason, F. H.
836 (2014). Morphology, phylogeny, and ecology of the aphelids (Aphelidea, Opisthokonta)
837 and proposal for the new superphylum Opisthosporidia. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 5, 1–
838 11. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00112

839 Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
840 Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30(4),
841 772–780. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010

842 Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K., & Miyata, T. (2002). MAFFT: a novel method for rapid
843 multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research*,
844 30(14), 3059–3066. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkf436

845 Krebs, L., Blank, M., Frankowski, J., & Bastrop, R. (2010). Molecular characterisation of the
846 Microsporidia of the amphipod *Gammarus duebeni* across its natural range revealed
847 hidden diversity, wide-ranging prevalence and potential for co-evolution. *Infection,*
848 *Genetics and Evolution*, 10(7), 1027–1038. doi:10.1016/J.MEEGID.2010.06.011

849 Kruskal, W. H., & Wallis, W. A. (1952). Use of Ranks in One-Criterion Variance Analysis.
850 *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 47(260), 583-621.
851 doi:10.1080/01621459.1952.10483441

852 Kučerová-Pospíšilová, Z., & Ditrich, O. (1998). The serological surveillance of several groups
853 of patients using antigens of *Encephalitozoon hellem* and *E. cuniculi* antibodies to
854 microsporidia in patients. *Folia Parasitologica*, 45(2), 108–112.

855 Kyei-Poku, G., Gauthier, D., & Van Frankenhuyzen, K. (2008). Molecular data and phylogeny
856 of *Nosema* infecting lepidopteran forest defoliators in the genera *Choristoneura* and
857 *Malacosoma*. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 55(1), 51–58. doi:10.1111/j.1550-
858 7408.2007.00302.x

859 Lanfear, R., Calcott, B., Ho, S. Y. W., & Guindon, S. (2012). PartitionFinder: Combined
860 selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses.
861 *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29(6), 1695–1701. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mss020

862 Li, N., Xiao, L., Wang, L., Zhao, S., Zhao, X., Duan, L., ... Feng, Y. (2012). Molecular
863 Surveillance of *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Giardia duodenalis*, and *Enterocytozoon bienersi*
864 by Genotyping and Subtyping Parasites in Wastewater. *PLoS Neglected Tropical*
865 *Diseases*, 6(9), e1809. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001809

866 Luján, H. D., Conrad, J. T., Clark, C. G., Touz, M. C., Delbac, F., Vivares, C. P., & Nash, T.
867 E. (1998). Detection of Microsporidia Spore-Specific Antigens by Monoclonal Antibodies.
868 *Hybridoma*, 17(3), 237–243. doi:10.1089/hyb.1998.17.237

869 Maddox, J. V., Baker, M. D., Jeffords, M. R., Kuras, M., Linde, A., Solter, L. F., ...
870 Vossbrinck, C. R. (1999). *Nosema portugal*, N. SP., Isolated from Gypsy Moths
871 (*Lymantria dispar* L.) Collected in Portugal. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 73(1), 1–
872 14. doi:10.1006/jipa.1998.4817

873 Menotti, J., Cassinat, B., Porcher, R., Sarfati, C., Derouin, F., & Molina, J-M. (2003).
874 Development of a Real-Time Polymerase-Chain-Reaction Assay for Quantitative
875 Detection of *Enterocytozoon bienersi* DNA in Stool Specimens from
876 Immunocompromised Patients with Intestinal Microsporidiosis. *The Journal of Infectious*
877 *Diseases*, 187(9), 1469–1474. doi: 10.1086/374620

878 Mikhailov, K. V., Simdyanov, T. G., & Aleoshin, V. V. (2017). Genomic survey of a
879 hyperparasitic microsporidian *Amphiamblys* sp. (Metchnikovellidae). *Genome Biology*
880 *and Evolution*, 9(3), 454–467. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evw235

881 Morgulis, A., Coulouris, G., Raytselis, Y., Madden, T. L., Agarwala, R., & Schäffer, A. A.
882 (2008). Database indexing for production MegaBLAST searches. *Bioinformatics*, 24(16),
883 1757–1764. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn322

884 Moura, H., Schwartz, D. A., Bornay-Llinares, F. J., Sodré, F. C., Wallace, S., & Visvesvara,
885 G. S. (1997). A new and improved “quick-hot Gram-chromotrope” technique that
886 differentially stains microsporidian spores in clinical samples, including paraffin-
887 embedded tissue sections. *Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine*, 121(8),
888 888–893.

889 Neefs, J. M., Van de Peer, Y., De Rijk, P., Chapelle, S., & De Wachter, R. (1993).
890 Compilation of small ribosomal subunit RNA structures. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 21(13),
891 3025–3049. doi: 10.1093/nar/21.13.3025

892 Nicholas Jr., H. B., & McClain, W. H. (1995). Searching tRNA sequences for relatedness to
893 aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase families. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 40, 482–486. doi:
894 10.1007/BF00166616

895 Nishikori, K., Setiamarga, D. H. E., Tanji, T., Kuroda, E., Shiraishi, H., & Ohashi-Kobayashi,
896 A. (2018). A new microsporidium *Percutemincola moriokae* gen. nov., sp. nov. from

897 Oscheius tipulae: A novel model of microsporidia–nematode associations. *Parasitology*,
898 145(14), 1853–1864. doi:10.1017/s0031182018000628

899 Pearson, K. (1895). Note on Regression and Inheritance in the Case of Two Parents.
900 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*, 58(347-352), 240–242.
901 doi:10.1098/rspl.1895.0041

902 Pearson, K. (1900). On the criterion that a given system of deviations from the probable in
903 the case of a correlated system of variables is such that it can be reasonably supposed
904 to have arisen from random sampling. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical*
905 *Magazine and Journal of Science* 5, 50, 157–175. doi:10.1080/14786440009463897

906 Peterson, T. S., Spitsbergen, J. M., Feist, S. W., & Kent, M. L. (2011). Luna stain, an
907 improved selective stain for detection of microsporidian spores in histologic sections.
908 *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 95(2), 175–180. doi:10.3354/dao02346

909 Quast, C., Priesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., ... Glöckner, F. O.
910 (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: Improved data processing
911 and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41(D1), 590–596.
912 doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219

913 Quiles, A., Bacela-Spychalska, K., Teixeira, M., Lambin, N., Grabowski, M., Rigaud, T., &
914 Wattier, R. A. (2019). Microsporidian infections in the species complex *Gammarus*
915 *roeselii* (Amphipoda) over its geographical range: Evidence for both host-parasite co-
916 diversification and recent host shifts. *Parasites and Vectors*, 12, 327. doi:
917 10.1186/s13071-019-3571-z

918 Radek, R., Kariton, M., Dabert, J., & Alberti, G. (2015). Ultrastructural characterization of
919 *Acarispora falculifera* n.gen., n.sp., a new microsporidium (Opisthokonta: Chytridiopsida)
920 from the feather mite *Falculifer rostratus* (Astigmata: Pterolichoidea). *Acta*
921 *Parasitologica*, 60(2), 200–210. doi:10.1515/ap-2015-0029

922 Rambaut, A. (2018). FigTree v. 1.4.4. <https://github.com/rambaut/figtree>

923 Ravoet, J., De Smet, L., Meeus, I., Smagghe, G., Wenseleers, T., & de Graaf, D. C. (2014).
924 Widespread occurrence of honey bee pathogens in solitary bees. *Journal of Invertebrate*
925 *Pathology*, 122, 55–58. doi:10.1016/j.jip.2014.08.007

926 Ribeiro, M. F. B., & Passos, L. M. F. (2006). Natural co-infection of *Babesia caballi* and
927 *Encephalitozoon* -like microsporidia in the tick *Anocentor nitens* (Acari : Ixodidae). 93(3),
928 183–185. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2006.07.003

929 Rijpkema, S., & Bruinink, H. (1996). Detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato by PCR in
930 questing *Ixodes ricinus* larvae from the Dutch North Sea island of Ameland.
931 *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, 20, 381–385. doi:10.1007/BF00130550

932 Rinder, H., Janitschke, K., Aspöck, H., Da Silva, A. J., Deplazes, P., Fedorko, D. P., ... the
933 Diagnostic Multicenter Study Group on Microsporidia (1998). Blinded, externally
934 controlled multicenter evaluation of light microscopy and PCR for detection of
935 microsporidia in stool specimens. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 36(6), 1814–1818.

936 Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., ...
937 Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: Efficient bayesian phylogenetic inference and
938 model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology*, 61(3), 539–542. doi:
939 10.1093/sysbio/sys029

940 Shapiro, S. S., & Wilk, M. B. (1965). An Analysis of Variance Test for Normality. *Biometrika*,
941 52(3-4), 591–611. doi:10.1093/biomet/52.3-4.591

942 Sharma, S., Balne, P. K., & Das, S. (2014). Ocular Microsporidiosis. In L. M. Weiss & J. J.
943 Becnel (Eds.), *Microsporidia: Pathogens of Opportunity: First Edition* (pp. 403-419).
944 Hoboken, New Jersey, United States: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. doi:
945 10.1002/9781118395264.ch16

946 Simakova, A. V., Tokarev, Y. S., & Issi, I. V. (2018). A new microsporidium *Fibrillaspora*
947 *daphniae* g. n. sp. n. infecting *Daphnia magna* (Crustacea: Cladocera) in Siberia and its
948 taxonomic placing within a new family *Fibrillasporidae* and new superfamily
949 *Tubulinosematoidea* (Opisthosporidia: Microsporidia). *Parasitology Research*, 117(3),
950 759-766. doi: 10.1007/s00436-018-5749-2

951 Singh, I., Sheoran, A. S., Zhang, Q., Carville, A., & Tzipori, S. (2005). Sensitivity and
952 Specificity of a Monoclonal Antibody-Based Fluorescence Assay for Detecting
953 *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* Spores in Feces of Simian Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected
954 Macaques. *Clinical and Vaccine Immunology*, 12(10), 1141–1144.
955 doi:10.1128/cdli.12.10.1141-1144.2005

956 Sokolova, Y. Y., & Overstreet, R. M. (2018). A new microsporidium, *Apotasporea heleios* n. g.,
957 n. sp., from the Riverine grass shrimp *Palaemonetes paludosus* (Decapoda: Caridea:
958 *Palaemonidae*). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 157, 125–135.
959 doi:10.1016/j.jip.2018.05.007

960 Steele, T., & Bjørnson, S. (2014). *Nosema adaliae* sp. nov., a new microsporidian pathogen
961 from the two-spotted lady beetle, *Adalia bipunctata* L. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and its
962 relationship to microsporidia that infect other coccinellids. *Journal of Invertebrate*
963 *Pathology*, 115, 108–115. doi:10.1016/j.jip.2013.09.008

964 Stentiford, G. D., Becnel, J. J., Weiss, L. M., Keeling, P. J., Didier, E. S., Williams, B. A. P.,
965 ... Solter, L. (2016). Microsporidia – Emergent Pathogens in the Global Food Chain.
966 *Trends in Parasitology*, 32(4), 336–348. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2015.12.004

967 Tsai, S. J., Kou, G. H., Lo, C. F., & Wang, C. H. (2002). Complete sequence and structure of
968 ribosomal RNA gene of *Heterosporis anguillarum*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*,
969 49(3), 199–206. doi:10.3354/dao049199

970 van Gool, T., Snijders, F., Reiss, P., Eeftinck Schattenkerk, J. K., van den Bergh Weerman,
971 M. A., Bartelsman, J. F., ... Dankert, J. (1993). Diagnosis of intestinal and disseminated
972 microsporidial infections in patients with HIV by a new rapid fluorescence technique.
973 *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, 46(8), 694–699. doi:10.1136/jcp.46.8.694

974 van Gool, T., Vetter, J. C. M., Weinmayr, B., Van Dam, A., Derouin, F., & Dankert, J. (1997).
975 High Seroprevalence of *Encephalitozoon* Species in Immunocompetent Subjects. *The*
976 *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 175(4), 1020–1024. doi:10.1086/513963

977 Vávra, J. (2005). “Polar vesicles” of microsporidia are mitochondrial remnants
978 (“mitosomes”)? *Folia Parasitologica*, 52(1–2), 193–195. doi:10.14411/fp.2005.024

979 Vávra, J., & Lukeš, J. (2013). Microsporidia and ‘The Art of Living Together.’ In D. Rollinson
980 (Ed.), *Advances in Parasitology* (Vol. 82, pp. 253–319). Cambridge, Massachusetts,
981 United States: Academic Press. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-407706-5.00004-6

982 Vávra, J., Fiala, I., Krylová, P., Petrusek, A., & Hyliš, M. (2019). Establishment of a new
983 microsporidian genus and species, *Pseudoberwaldia daphnia* (Microsporidia,

984 Opisthosporidia), a common parasites of the *Daphnia longispina* complex in Europe.
985 *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 162, 43–54. doi:10.1016/j.jip.2019.02.004

986 Verweij, J. J., Ten Hove, R., Brienen, E. A., & van Lieshout, L. (2007). Multiplex detection of
987 *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* and *Encephalitozoon* spp. in fecal samples using real-time
988 PCR. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, 57(2), 163–167.
989 doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2006.08.009

990 Vilcinskis, A., Stoecker, K., Schmidtberg, H., Röhrich, C. R., & Vogel, H. (2013). Invasive
991 harlequin ladybird carries biological weapons against native competitors. *Science*,
992 340(6134), 862–863. doi: 10.1126/science.1234032

993 Vossbrinck, C. R., & Debrunner-Vossbrinck, B. A. (2005). Molecular phylogeny of the
994 Microsporidia: Ecological, ultrastructural and taxonomic considerations. *Folia*
995 *Parasitologica*, 52(1–2), 131–142. doi:10.14411/fp.2005.017

996 Vossbrinck, C. R., Andreadis, T. G., Vávra, J., & Becnel, J. J. (2004). Molecular Phylogeny
997 and Evolution of Mosquito Parasitic Microsporidia (Microsporidia: Amblyosporidae).
998 *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 51(1), 88–95. doi:10.1111/j.1550-
999 7408.2004.tb00167.x

1000 Vossbrinck, C. R., Debrunner-Vossbrinck, B. A., & Weiss, L. M. (2014). Phylogeny of the
1001 Microsporidia. In L. M. Weiss & J. J. Becnel (Eds.), *Microsporidia: Pathogens of*
1002 *Opportunity: First Edition* (pp. 203–220). Hoboken, New Jersey, United States: John
1003 Wiley & Sons, Inc. doi:10.1002/9781118395264.ch6

1004 Wang, Z. D., Liu, Q., Liu, H. H., Li, S., Zhang, L., Zhao, Y. K., & Zhu, X. Q. (2018).
1005 Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium*, microsporidia and *Isospora* infection in HIV-infected
1006 people: A global systematic review and meta-analysis. *Parasites and Vectors*, 11, 28.
1007 doi:10.1186/s13071-017-2558-x

1008 Wang, Z., Orlandi, P. A., & Stenger, D. A. (2005). Simultaneous detection of four human
1009 pathogenic microsporidian species from clinical samples by oligonucleotide microarray.
1010 *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 43(8), 4121–4128. doi:10.1128/JCM.43.8.4121-
1011 4128.2005

1012 Wattier, R. A., Haine, E. R., Beguet, J., Martin, G., Bollache, L., Muskó, I. B., ... Rigaud, T.
1013 (2007). No genetic bottleneck or associated microparasite loss in invasive populations of
1014 a freshwater amphipod. *Oikos*, 116(11), 1941–1953. doi: 10.1111/j.2007.0030-
1015 1299.15921.x

1016 Weber, R., Bryan, R. T., Schwartz, D. A., & Owen, R. L. (1994). Human microsporidial
1017 infections. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 7(4), 426–461. doi:10.1128/CMR.7.4.426

1018 Weiss, L. M. (2014). Clinical Syndromes Associated with Microsporidiosis. In L. M. Weiss &
1019 J. J. Becnel (Eds.), *Microsporidia: Pathogens of Opportunity: First Edition* (pp. 371–401).
1020 Hoboken, New Jersey, United States: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
1021 doi:10.1002/9781118395264.ch15

1022 Weiss, L. M., & Schwartz, D. A. (2015). Microsporidiosis. In J. E. Bennett, R. Dolin, & M. J.
1023 Blaser (Eds.), *Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases* (8th ed., Vol. 2, pp. 3031–
1024 3044). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier Inc. doi:10.1016/C2012-1-00075-6

1025 Weiss, L. M., & Vossbrinck, C. R. (1998). Microsporidiosis: molecular and diagnostic
1026 aspects. *Advances in Parasitology*, 40, 351–395. doi: 10.1016/S0065-308X(08)60127-X

1027 Williams, B. A. P., Hamilton, K. M., Jones, M. D., & Bass, D. (2018). Group-specific
 1028 environmental sequencing reveals high levels of ecological heterogeneity across the
 1029 microsporidian radiation. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 10(3), 328–336.
 1030 doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12642

1031 Williams, B. A. P., Hirt, R. P., Lucocq, J. M., & Embley, T. M. (2002). A mitochondrial
 1032 remnant in the microsporidian *Trachipleistophora hominis*. *Nature*, 418, 865–869.
 1033 doi:10.1038/nature00949

1034 Wolk, D. M., Schneider, S. K., Wengenack, N. L., Sloan, L. M., & Rosenblatt, J. E. (2002).
 1035 Real-time PCR method for detection of *Encephalitozoon intestinalis* from stool
 1036 specimens. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 40(11), 3922–3928.
 1037 doi:10.1128/JCM.40.11.3922-3928.2002

1038 Xu, Y., & Weiss, L. M. (2005). The microsporidian polar tube: A highly specialised invasion
 1039 organelle. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 35(9), 941–953.
 1040 doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.04.003

1041 Yaman, M., Bekircan, Ç., Radek, R., & Linde, A. (2014). *Nosema pieriae* sp. n.
 1042 (Microsporida, Nosematidae): A new microsporidian pathogen of the cabbage butterfly
 1043 *Pieris brassicae* L. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). *Acta Protozoologica*, 53(2), 223–232.
 1044 doi:10.4467/16890027AP.14.019.1600

1045 Yilmaz, P., Wegener Parfrey, L., Yarza, P., Gerken, J., Priesse, E., Quast, C., ... Glöckner,
 1046 F. O. (2014). The SILVA and “all-species Living Tree Project (LTP)” taxonomic
 1047 frameworks. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 42(D1), 643–648. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1209

1048 Zhang, Q., Singh, I., Sheoran, A., Feng, X., Nunnari, J., Carville, A., & Tzipori, S. (2005).
 1049 Production and characterization of monoclonal antibodies against *Enterocytozoon*
 1050 *bieneusi* purified from rhesus macaques. *Infection and Immunity*, 73(8), 5166–5172.
 1051 doi:10.1128/IAI.73.8.5166-5172.2005

1052 Zhang, Z., Schwartz, S., Wagner, L., & Miller, W. (2000). A Greedy Algorithm for Aligning
 1053 DNA Sequences. *Journal of Computational Biology*, 7(1–2), 203–214.
 1054 doi:10.1089/10665270050081478

1055 Zhu, X., Wittner, M., Tanowitz, H. B., Kotler, D., Cali, A., Weiss, L. M., ... Weiss, L. M.
 1056 (1993). Small Subunit rRNA Sequence of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* and Its Potential
 1057 Diagnostic Role with Use of the Polymerase Chain Reaction. *Journal of Infectious*
 1058 *Diseases*, 168(6), 1570–1575. doi:10.1093/infdis/168.6.1570

1059 Zwickl D. M. (2006). Genetic algorithm approaches for the phylogenetic analysis of large
 1060 biological sequence datasets under the maximum likelihood criterion. Dissertation, The
 1061 University of Texas at Austin, 1–125.

1062 **Figure captions**

1063 **Figure 1.**

1064 Protocol for metabarcoding of microsporidia and their hosts. Total genomic DNA is extracted
1065 from each previously-washed host specimen and from the medium used for host
1066 preservation and washing. Mini-COI barcode and V5 region of ssu rDNA are amplified using
1067 PCR primers fused with double-indexed NGS adaptors. The mini-COI and V5 libraries are
1068 prepared separately, and after quality control, pooled in a ratio 1:10, respectively. The
1069 libraries are NGS sequenced using a threshold of at least 10,000 reads per sample. After
1070 data quality filtering, the OTUs are clustered, and then compared to databases with
1071 reference sequences.

1072 **Figure 2.**

1073 Relationship between the numbers of spores of different species in mixed samples and their
1074 relative sequence read abundance in quality-filtered sequence data. DNAs from *E. cuniculi*
1075 and *E. hellem* spores were detected even when they were mixed in a ratio of 1 to 1000
1076 (10:10:10,000) with *E. intestinalis*.

1077

1078 **Figure 3.**

1079 Heatmap showing microsporidian species detected in field-collected mosquitoes. Blue colour
1080 darkens as the number of infected individuals in each mosquito species increases.

1081 Abbreviations of microsporidian species: *A. sp.* — *Amblyospora sp.*, *A. s.* — *A. salinaria*, *E.*
1082 *h.* — *Encephalitozoon hellem*, *E. a.* — *Enterocytozpora artemiae*, *M. sp. PL01* —

1083 *Microsporidium sp. PL01*, *N. a.* — *Nosema adaliae*, *N. c.* — *N. ceranae*, *N. p.* — *N. pieriae*,
1084 *N. t.* — *N. thomsoni*, *N. ch./p.* — *N. chrysorrhoeae* and/or *N. portugal*, *N. sp.* — *Nosema sp.*

1085 CHW-2007a.

1086

1087 **Figure 4.**

1088 Phylogenetic tree of Microsporidia inferred from BI and ML analyses of concatenated ssu
1089 rDNA sequence data. Values near branches show Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP) and
1090 bootstrap supports (BS) (PP/BS). Black circles: maximally supported; empty circles:
1091 supported >0.95 PP and >75% BS. Sequences found in this study are in bold; the new
1092 species found in this study is in red.

1093

1094 **Figure 5.**

1095 Co-infection network of microsporidian species detected in field-collected mosquitoes. Thick
1096 lines — species previously noted in mosquitoes; thin lines — species that potentially can
1097 infect mosquitoes; dashed lines — species recognized as incidental because each of OTUs
1098 was noticed only in one host species and was found in <1% of all mosquito individuals;
1099 shaded in colour — co-infections with three different species. Abbreviations of mosquito
1100 species: Ac — *Aedes cinereus*, Av — *A. vexans*, Cr — *Coquillettidia richiardii*, Oa —
1101 *Ochlerotatus annulipes*, Oc — *O. cantans*, Op — *O. punctor*, Os — *O. sticticus*.

1102

1103 **Figure 6.**

1104 Correlation network between the numbers of reads representing each microsporidian species
1105 found in co-infected mosquitoes. The blue lines indicate statistically significant correlations.
1106 Thicker line indicates higher correlation (for correlation values see Table S3).

1107

1108 **Figure 7.**

1109 Percentage of identities of the aligned V1-V3 and V5 regions of microsporidian ssu rDNA
 1110 calculated using Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistical test. The plots show that about 0.75 fraction
 1111 of the V1-V3 and V5 aligned sequences has less than 60% and 64% of identical nucleotide
 1112 positions, respectively.

1113

1114 **Table 1.**

1115 Microsporidia found in field-collected mosquitoes using the metabarcoding. The total number
 1116 of infected mosquito specimens is higher due to the co-infections with two or more
 1117 microsporidian species. M-P – microsporidia-positive mosquitoes.

1118

1119 **Table 2.**

1120 Numbers of sequence reads representing microsporidian OTUs in co-infected mosquitoes.
 1121 Samples that were unreadable in direct Sanger sequencing of V1-V3 amplicons are in bold;
 1122 samples where direct Sanger sequencing of V1-V3 amplicons detected only *Microsporidium*
 1123 sp. PL01 are marked with a grey background. Abbreviations of microsporidian species: *A. s.*
 1124 — *Amblyospora salinaria*, *A. sp.* — *Amblyospora sp.*, *E. h.* — *Encephalitozoon hellem*, *E. a.*
 1125 — *Enterocytozpora artemiae*, *M. sp. PL01* — *Microsporidium sp. PL01*, *N. a.* — *Nosema*
 1126 *adaliae*, *N. c.* — *N. ceranae*, *N. ch./p.* — *N. chrysorrhoeae* and/or *N. portugal*, *N. p.* — *N.*
 1127 *pieriae*, *N. t.* — *N. thomsoni*, *N. sp.* — *Nosema sp. CHW-2007a*.