

**Title**

Cultivating inclusive instructional and research environments in ecology and evolutionary science

**Authors & Affiliations**

Dr. Nathan C. Emery  
Department of Plant Biology  
612 Wilson Rd.  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824  
[emeryna1@msu.edu](mailto:emeryna1@msu.edu)

Ellen K. Bledsoe  
School of Natural Resources & Environment  
103 Black Hall  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, FL 32611  
[ellen.bledsoe@weecology.org](mailto:ellen.bledsoe@weecology.org)

Dr. Andrew Hasley  
BioQUEST Curriculum Consortium  
PO Box 126  
Boyd's, MD 20841  
[Andrew.hasley@bioquest.org](mailto:Andrew.hasley@bioquest.org)

**Abstract**

As science and student populations continue to diversify, it is important for ecologists, evolutionary scientists, and educators to foster inclusive environments in their research and teaching. Academics are often poorly trained in diversity, equity, and inclusion best practices and may not know where to start to make scientific environments more welcoming and inclusive. We propose that by approaching research and teaching with empathy, flexibility, and a growth mindset, scientists can be more supportive and inclusive of their colleagues and students. This paper provides guidance, explores strategies, and directs scientists to resources to better cultivate an inclusive environment in three common settings: the classroom, the research lab, and the field. As ecologists and evolutionary scientists, we have an opportunity to

adapt our teaching and research practices in order to foster an inclusive educational ecosystem for students and colleagues alike.

**Keywords:**

Inclusivity, equity, diversity, teaching, research

**Introduction**

Inclusivity is critical for a scientifically-informed future that reflects the diverse world that benefits from ecological and evolutionary inquiry. Inclusivity overlaps with diversity and equity in that to truly include a broad diversity of people in science, there need to be equitable opportunities in research and the classroom, providing a truly welcoming and inclusive environment for various ideas and perspectives to flourish. While higher education pushes for greater diversity, equity, and inclusion (Smith 2015), ecology and evolution as disciplines have not always been welcoming for all people. Ecology and environmental organizations have not been open to diversity and inclusion in the past (Lawrence et al. 1993, Melosi 1995, Dorceta 2007), but some progress has been made (Ortega et al. 2006, Beck et al. 2014). Evolutionary science has been tied to eugenics (Bashford and Levine 2010) and race science (Jackson and Weidman 2006), that unfortunately continues to this day (Daar 2017). Scientists and educators have the power to shift ecology and evolution in a positive direction and build a more inclusive environment for future generations. The following article is meant to provide guidance to ecologists and evolutionary scientists by providing an overview of some practical next steps and suggestions to implement in everyday research and teaching practices.

We draw from the education and social science literature, our personal experiences as scientists and educators, and conversations with colleagues, students, and organizations interested in making science and science education more inclusive. While two of the authors self-identify as members of some underserved groups (i.e., women, the queer community,

blind), we are aware that we (a) do not speak for all members of the communities to which we belong and (b) do not represent all axes of diversity. We acknowledge our privilege and power as white, educated individuals in the academy. We recognize that we cannot fully understand the experiences of all scientists; we do, however, strive to be allies to and with marginalized or underserved groups in science through meaningful action to promote inclusivity (for more on allyship, see Appendix 1A). As such, we seek to contribute to ongoing dialogue among scientists and educators and encourage self-reflection and collaboration.

Through our mutual interest in inclusive education, we were brought together as part of the inaugural Open Education Community Fellows program, a joint effort of the Environmental Data Science Inclusion Network (EDSIN) and Quantitative Undergraduate Biology Education and Synthesis (QUBES) Center. Recognizing the need for a central community geared towards inclusive scientific (specifically biological and environmental) education, the EDSIN-QUBES Open Education Community Fellows developed Biological, Universal, and Inclusive Learning in Data Science (BuiLDS), a site for collecting and sharing inclusive educational resources and creating a community of practice for inclusive education (see BuiLDS and additional useful resources in Appendix 1B). As the group name acknowledges, there is substantial overlap between inclusive practices and Universal Design for Learning (UDL). UDL is an instructional perspective that guides development of equitable learning experiences for the broadest possible diversity of students, minimizing the need for individual accommodations. However, an in-depth discussion of UDL practice in the context of ecology and evolution teaching and research is beyond the scope of this article. We encourage readers to explore UDL and its role in fostering inclusivity using the resources provided in Appendix 1C.

The authors fully acknowledge that truly inclusive scientific and instructional environments require structural changes to the pre-existing academic and research system (Hurtado et al.,

1999; Danowitz & Tuitt, 2011; Hurtado et al., 2012; Winkle-Wagner & Locks, 2014; Vera et al., 2016; Puritty et al., 2017). While some scientists and educators are positioned to enact such changes—and we strongly encourage them to do so—we also believe that widespread changes to research and teaching, enacted by scientists across disciplines, can have a positive impact. This article is meant as a starting point for ecological and evolutionary scientists and educators, as many of us are in a unique position to affect change through our roles as mentors, teachers, and principle investigators (Killpack & Melón, 2016; Macdonald et al., 2019).

<<Insert Box of Terms here>>

## **Framing Your Research and Teaching Mindset**

In our ecological and evolutionary research, we often encounter variation and adapt our approaches to better our science. Similarly, we suggest developing a mindset in your teaching and research that is adaptable to a diverse population. This includes empathy, flexibility, and a growth mindset. Keeping these three principles at the center of your research and teaching will help you engage in practices that cultivate an inclusive environment in the classroom, in the lab, and in the field.

### ***Empathy***

While empathy is well established to have positive benefits in medical practice (Derksen et al., 2013), it is also important for interacting with students, mentees, and colleagues who are different from you (Stephan & Finlay, 1999; Bernier et al., 2005; Cole, 2008). Reflecting on our own privilege and empathizing with others' challenges and obstacles is one of many first steps to building a truly inclusive scientific environment. For example, first-generation college students may be less familiar with institutional structures, policies, and culture than someone whose parents attended college (McCarron & Inkelas, 2006), and thus first-generation students may

feel less comfortable engaging faculty and classmates (Soria & Stebleton, 2012). By empathizing with students' hardships and reaching out to help, you, as a mentor, can help guide first-generation students to be successful in academia. One helpful exercise for any scientist is to be aware of our own implicit bias; you can do so by participating in self-guided exercises (e.g., [Harvard implicit bias test](#)) or implicit bias training (e.g., [Kirwan Institute implicit bias training](#)).

### ***Flexibility***

Just as we are flexible in our approaches to scientific investigations, maintaining flexibility with your peers and students is also important. Students—graduate and undergraduate—experience numerous difficulties and obstacles that may be unknown or unfamiliar to colleagues and mentors. Non-traditional students, for example, have obligations and responsibilities that may be obscure to faculty and mentors (MacDonald, 2018). To address some of these complexities, mentors can, for instance, be flexible in scheduling meetings with students who may not be able to adhere to a rigid weekly schedule. Taking a flexible approach and communicating with peers and students will improve research and teaching goals while fostering an inclusive environment (Barnett, 2014).

### ***Growth mindset***

As opposed to a fixed mindset where one believes that intelligence/ability is static, a growth mindset is demonstrated when someone believes that intelligence/ability can be developed over time. Dr. Carol Dweck and colleagues have conducted considerable research demonstrating the importance of approaching instruction and mentoring with a growth mindset (Dweck, 1999). This approach can have tremendous positive impacts on students and mentees, such as reducing systemic achievement gaps in underrepresented minority students (Canning et al., 2019). A fixed mindset can lead to unfair judgement of student performance and unhelpful teaching

practices (Rattan et al., 2012). We advocate approaching teaching and research with a growth mindset, with regard to both students and yourself as an educator and scientist.

<<Insert Figure 1 here>>

## **Building Inclusivity in Teaching and Research Environments:**

Here we constrain our discussion to three environments commonly encountered by ecologists and evolutionary scientists: the classroom, the laboratory, and the field. These environments present both shared and unique opportunities and challenges for fostering inclusivity. As you read about these environments, remember that axes of diversity are numerous and not always immediately apparent; it is important to be aware of your own biases and naiveté when working with others.

### **1. Environment: Teaching in the Classroom**

*Ask yourself: What barriers to entry am I unknowingly perpetuating in my classroom and through my current teaching practices?*

The classroom is a common environment for many scientists, especially those in academia. Along with all of the logistical and skills/content-based goals and concerns that come with teaching a course, instructor-student interactions can have a tremendous impact on student success, self-efficacy (confidence), and science identity (Trujillo & Tanner, 2014).

A constructive strategy to guide all of your students to feel and think like scientists is to cultivate an inclusive atmosphere inside and outside of the classroom (Dewsbury & Brame, 2019; Dewsbury, 2020). Some simple practices that you can build into a course from the beginning include facilitating balanced groups, learning names, and using pronouns. When it comes to

course materials, some simple practices that may help include supportive messaging in your syllabus and increasing representation and relevance in your teaching materials. Materials should also be designed with accessibility in mind. An inclusive message is lost if it cannot be perceived.

### **1.1 *Balanced groups***

Group work is a fundamental aspect of working in the sciences, and having students work in groups is known to have numerous benefits for their development and education (Thorley & Gregory, 1994; Kempa & Ayob, 1995; Seethamraju & Borman, 2009). Collaborative learning is an opportunity to increase participation and student-student interactions. In traditional randomly assigned group work, students can feel marginalized or experience increased anxiety (Rosser, 1998; Strauss et al., 2011; Henning et al., 2019; Juvonen et al., 2019). As the instructor, you have the ability to structure groups to be more inclusive and inviting for all students. Engineering groups to balance gender, ethnicity, personality and other relevant categories without isolating members of marginalized groups is recommended (Katzenbach & Smith, 1993; Slavin, 1995; Huxham & Land, 2000; Seethamraju & Borman, 2009). While each instructor will have their preference for structuring and assessing groups, there are some strategies available in the literature such as grouping students with similar out-of-class schedules, emphasizing flexibility in managing group dynamics (i.e., rotating leaders), and using peer assessment (Hubscher, 2010; Layton et al., 2010; Clarke & Blissenden, 2013; Scott, 2017).

### **1.2 *Learning names & using pronouns***

Learning student names can help build student-instructor relationships (Tanner, 2011) and create a more positive classroom environment (Tanner, 2013). By simply having name “tents” in the classroom at each student’s desk/table and learning to pronounce students’ names correctly, instructors can cultivate a more comfortable environment and build community in the

classroom (Kohli & Solórzano, 2012; Cooper et al., 2017). In addition to having names available for reference, including the option for sharing pronouns can also increase transparency and encourage self-identification (Spade, 2011). We suggest providing opportunities for students to self-identify their pronouns to the instructor discreetly (e.g., through filling out quick surveys on the first day of class), or, if the student is comfortable, with the whole class (Pryor, 2015). Modeling this behavior for your students by stating your own pronouns when you introduce yourself to the class sets an example for students and indicates that you take inclusivity seriously. We also acknowledge that learning names and pronouns by traditional methods like name “tents” and photo/name galleries can present barriers to instructors who are blind or low vision, those with print disabilities, and others. Other strategies like asking students to provide short audio recordings or written bios and establishing the norm of saying one’s name before speaking can make useful substitutes.

### ***1.3 Inclusive syllabus and establishing norms***

In many situations, a syllabus might be the first exposure students have to an instructor and a course. Developing a learner-focused syllabus (Palmer et al., 2014, Heim et al., 2019) with welcoming language sets the tone for an inclusive learning environment (Passman & Green, 2009; Harnish & Bridges, 2011). This consists of many elements, including a positive and respectful tone, language consistent with a growth mindset, encouraging students to explore and ask questions, and recommendations for how students can meet course expectations. Additionally, it is helpful to establish standards for discourse at the beginning of a course, as non-inclusive social norms may guide discourse otherwise (Neill et al., 2019). For example, by simply establishing rules around answering questions, raising hands, and debating among students, instructors can reduce male dominance in participation and marginalization of some students (Caspi et al., 2008; Wayne et al., 2010). For more detailed guidance on syllabus construction we recommend the work by Palmer et al. (2014).



221

222 **1.4 Increasing representation and relevance**

223 Education research shows that social integration, a sense of belonging (Chang et al., 2010;  
224 Walton & Cohen, 2011; Johnson, 2012; Rainey et al., 2018; Strayhorn, 2018), and developing a  
225 science identity (Hughes & Hurtado, 2013; Trujillo & Tanner, 2014) are important for success  
226 and retention of underrepresented groups in STEM. One way to foster a sense of community  
227 among students is by increasing the diversity of representation of scientists in the classroom  
228 (Egalite et al., 2015; Le & Matias, 2019). By diversifying the scientists that students are exposed  
229 to, you can help students identify as scientists and feel like part of the community. Example  
230 strategies include highlighting diverse scientists in course topics/material (Schinske et al., 2017;  
231 Zemenick & Weber 2020) and web conferencing with scientists of diverse backgrounds to  
232 facilitate interactions between students and professionals. Cultural and community-relevant  
233 materials can also enhance the learning experiences of a diverse student population (Warren et  
234 al., 2001). One way to empathize with your students' unique life experiences is by providing  
235 space for them to incorporate their experiences into course activities. For example, having  
236 open-ended assessments whereby students have some choice in the direction of their  
237 assignment can allow for personalization and the opportunity for students to explore how  
238 science affects their daily lives.

239

240 **2. Environment: Developing an Inclusive Research Lab**

241 Ask yourself: *How does the way I manage my research lab actively promote diversity and*  
242 *inclusion?*

243

244 In ecology and evolutionary research, research groups are often organized into labs, whether a  
245 designated physical space or a grouping of students and researchers under a specific adviser or  
246 principle investigator. For undergraduate students, research labs may be their first experience

conducting scientific inquiry. Therefore, it is incredibly important to cultivate a welcoming atmosphere and culture in the lab space. Fostering an inclusive research lab environment requires attention to three broad areas: lab member recruitment and selection, interpersonal dynamics, and cultural norms in academic research.

## ***2.1 Recruitment and selection***

Student self-efficacy and science identity directly affect student interest in research (Chemers et al., 2011; Riccitelli, 2015). Bringing students with diverse identities into the research lab requires welcoming practices that reflect a diverse scientific community. Recruitment and selection should go beyond traditional passive strategies like waiting for email requests or asking lab members to suggest candidates.

Active recruitment requires good advertising. The more widely a student research position is advertised, the more chance it has of being noticed by members of groups traditionally under-represented in ecology and evolutionary research. Depicting diversity on as many axes as possible in job advertisements and on lab websites shows the pool of potential applicants that they are included in the target audience (Avery et al., 2004). Some labs may have little visible diversity to depict. Even then, explicit statements encouraging students from all backgrounds, and, where possible, all experience levels, to apply helps lower the barrier of perceived exclusion.

Advertisements should also explicitly address possible misconceptions about work flexibility in research labs (Ahmad et al., 2019). Students with outside work or family roles may assume that working hours are not flexible or that remote work is not welcome in research (Fairchild, 2003). Those receiving accommodations for a disability in their courses may believe similar accommodations are not available in research positions. There may also be assumptions about

academic requirements, grade cutoffs, and test scores. Explicit statements outlining points of flexibility, availability of workplace accommodations, academic requirements or lack thereof, etc., lower recruitment barriers caused by misconceptions and apprehension about who can and cannot do research.

Inclusive recruitment efforts can go beyond formal advertising. Encouraging lab members to discuss their research experience and its relevance to their life and goals at campus activities and social events raises awareness about student research and its value and relevance in groups that may not always broadly intersect with ecology or evolutionary research communities (Ahmad et al., 2019).

Inclusive candidate selection requires avoiding implicit biases (Bertrand & Mullainathan, 2004; Eaton et al., 2020). Everyone has them, regardless of intent or identity. Objective evaluation of candidates limits the influence of implicit bias. This means identifying a specific set of skills required to do the job, criteria for determining whether a candidate possesses each skill, and the relative importance of each skill or trait before a candidate review begins. Identifying traits that are key to research success, like motivation and curiosity, is also important (Emery et al., 2019). Criteria, and evaluation methods can be qualitative while still being objective. The most inclusive evaluation avoids relying solely on criteria that can be biased and are not directly related to the position (e.g., standardized test scores (Ployhart et al., 2003; Berry et al., 2011) and arbitrary grade cutoffs). Instead, evaluation should focus on evidence from multiple sources that relate to the applicant's ability to succeed in the position.

## ***2.2 Interpersonal interactions***

Modeling inclusive behavior as a normal part of social interaction in the lab demonstrates empathy and fosters an inclusive atmosphere (Meeussen et al., 2014). Modeling and promoting

inclusive behaviors can take many forms such as providing quality mentorship to postdocs, students, and technicians (Hund et al., 2018). Mentors who openly acknowledge and celebrate diversity rather than taking a diversity-blind approach to research mentorship will have more inclusive and productive labs (Page, 2008; Campbell et al., 2013; Morales et al., 2017). Actively engaging in and creating space for discussion of issues related to diversity and inclusion (e.g., at group meetings) can increase lab members' comfort in openly discussing such topics (Sabat et al., 2017). Choosing to participate in campus efforts aimed at increasing diversity and inclusion and attending diversity-related trainings and events shows lab members that these are appropriate and valuable uses of their time.

The inherent power imbalances between PIs, graduate students, postdocs, staff scientists, and undergraduate researchers make establishing social norms in the lab critical. All lab members should know what constitutes acceptable and unacceptable behavior. They also need to know what to do and who to contact if they feel those expectations are being violated. An effective code of conduct addresses these needs (Nitsch et al., 2005; see lab group code of conduct examples in Appendix 1D). Ideally, one of the individuals listed as a contact person or ombudsperson should not be reliant on the lab's PI for employment or future career success to reduce the potential impact of power dynamics when resolving conflicts. An explicit description of social norms to which all lab members agree promotes a safe, inclusive environment for all members, regardless of position.

### ***2.3 Research and academic cultural norms***

Every research lab has its own "ways of doing things," and research approaches in ecology and evolution each have their own best practices. Some of these structures, like specific protocols, may be explicit, while others, like use of common spaces, are implicit. Similarly, some criteria for

undergraduate research success as measured by graduate programs and scholarship/fellowship applications are explicit while others are implicit. Having a centralized virtual or physical location for lab procedures and protocols along with a standardized onboarding process for all new lab members is one way to make lab procedures explicit. Members can be given a written, recorded, or, ideally, real-world walkthrough of common lab practices relevant to their position. It could include things like waste disposal, cleaning equipment, replacing stock solutions, data storage and access, shared server resources, and miscellaneous practices every lab member is just “expected to know.” Providing this information at the onset creates an atmosphere where no one has a monopoly on key information. An onboarding process also provides an ideal opportunity to introduce the code of conduct discussed above.

Mentors who demonstrate a growth mindset by providing guidance on nuanced expectations for professional materials such as applications, personal statements, cover letters, etc., put all members, especially those from historically marginalized groups, in a more competitive position for career advancement (McKay & Davis, 2008; Sedlacek, 2017; Mathur et al., 2019). Working with individuals to establish research goals and paths to achievement recognizes lab members’ unique backgrounds and reduces barriers for those who are less familiar with research and academic norms. Tools like Individual Development Plans (Tsai et al., 2018) and student contracts (Emery et al., 2019) can help with this process.

### **3. Environment: Making the Field Welcoming to All**

*Ask yourself: How might implicit biases, systems of oppression, and power dynamics affect my interactions with scientists and students while in the field?*

As ecologists and evolutionary biologists, the questions we pursue often involve conducting field work at some point in our careers. Working in the field can present unique challenges to ensuring that students and employees have access to field experiences (if desired) and feel safe and supported during those experiences. Strategies for making field experience inclusive and welcoming for everyone requires advanced preparation on multiple fronts, including hiring practices, discussing facilities and responsibilities in the field, addressing accessibility in the field, and creating a field-specific code of conduct to establish and maintain behavioral norms.

### ***3.1 Advanced preparation***

Facilitating safe and supportive field work for everyone starts well before entering the field. First, as mentioned in the previous section on building an inclusive lab environment, implicit biases can often influence the hiring process (Bertrand & Mullainathan, 2004; Eaton et al., 2020). To make field work accessible to all, the same strategies for recruitment, selection, and retention of lab members also apply when engaging with students and technicians who will be conducting field work.

Field work comes in many forms, and having open and clear conversations about field conditions and expectations is key to successful and safe working conditions. In more formal educational contexts where classes have field work components, you will likely be interacting with students who have varying levels of experience with field work; some students may be regaling friends and classmates with stories from “last summer at field camp,” while others might feel uncertain about what the term “field work” entails (Núñez et al., 2019; Giles et al., 2020). There might be similar discrepancies in experiences when hiring technicians or graduate students (Fournier & Bond, 2015). Regardless of the amount of previous field experience, field work can introduce unique challenges, including: reduced independence in terms of access to transportation, food, facilities, medical resources, etc.; unfamiliar cultural practices or norms;

distance from support networks; long days with physically strenuous activity; and greater exposure to potentially unfamiliar environmental hazards (John & Khan, 2018). Additionally, scientists of color—especially Black scientists—are likely acutely aware that they may face unwarranted discrimination or violence in outdoor spaces (West, 1989; Blahna & Black, 1992; Goodrid, 2018). Any or all of these aspects may generate discomfort or concern; such feelings should be met with empathy and active discussion about how best to mitigate these concerns rather than ignored, brushed aside, or ridiculed. Talking about the field beforehand gives everyone a chance to mentally acclimate to the new situation, ask clarifying questions about concerns, and have time to prepare appropriately, as needed (John & Khan, 2018; Starkweather et al., 2018).

### ***3.2 Field-specific codes of conduct***

As previously mentioned, establishing a lab code of conduct is important for creating a safe and secure social environment in a research group. Field work adds the additional complexity of taking place in novel and/or remote locations, where a perceived (and often real) lack of accountability and enforcement can increase the probability of hazing, physical or verbal intimidation, and sexual harassment (Clancy et al., 2014; Nelson et al., 2017). Therefore, if you manage a research group that conducts field work, we encourage the creation of a field-specific code of conduct that reduces any ambiguity about behavioral norms. This can (and likely will) be similar to your research group's code of conduct or even a subsection of the lab code of conduct; something similar can be put into effect for classes which have field work components. For examples of field work codes of conduct, see Appendix 1D. Be clear that the same rules of safety and respect that students or lab members agree to abide by within the lab also apply when in the field. Additionally, clear reporting guidelines should be put into place (Nitsch et al., 2005); while these may mirror those of the lab, different guidelines may be required based on who will be in the field and which methods of communication will be available.

### 3.3 Accessibility

When designing a class with a field trip or field work, a flexible design to embrace the broadest diversity of students is the best strategy. In higher education, legal responsibility for requesting specific accommodations on the basis of disability is placed on students (Hadley, 2011). As such, many instructors find out about needed accommodations on the first day of class or, in some cases, may never be made aware (Feig et al., 2019). Students may not disclose their disability for a number of reasons, including not being aware of their own disability, social stigma, or delays in approval from the institutions (Cole & Cawthon, 2015; De Cesarei, 2015). Trying to make last minute changes to a trip for accommodations can be challenging and frustrating for all involved and often leads to students with disabilities being unable to participate (Feig et al., 2019). For field trips or field work, we recommend not making assumptions about a person's comfort level or abilities. Preemptively designing activities with the flexibility to transition between modes of instruction and meet the needs of the broadest diversity of abilities and backgrounds increases inclusivity; it not only reduces the likelihood that students with disabilities will be excluded but also benefits other students, with or without disabilities (Feig et al., 2019).

All reasonable efforts should be made to allow interested participants to be involved, though we acknowledge that it is sometimes impossible to make every aspect of field activity accessible to everyone. For example, if your research *requires* off-trail, backcountry hiking to remote locations, you may not be able to make that aspect of the project accessible to someone who has severely limited mobility. Nevertheless, difficulty or inability to make field work accessible to everyone should not be an excuse to ignore accessibility issues and simply delegate other tasks to a person for whom participation is achievable (Carabajal et al., 2017). If—after brainstorming, discussion, and genuine attempts at making appropriate accommodations—all parties are in



agreement that sufficient accommodations cannot be made, then a student or employee can work on another part of a project if they are still interested in participating (Carabajal et al., 2017).

The cost of gear is also a potential barrier to field work, and is often overlooked (Núñez et al., 2019). Unlike working in an office or laboratory setting, experiences that include field work often require participants—students and employees alike—to provide at least some of their own gear; this can be in the form of attire (e.g., hiking boots, field pants), general supplies (e.g., water bottles, backpacks), or more extensive gear (e.g., tents, sleeping bags, etc.) (Ham & Flood, 2009; Giles et al., 2020). Sometimes grades are even determined by whether students are wearing the correct gear for a field trip. This can have a disproportionately negative effect on students who are financially insecure (Walpole, 2003; Ham & Flood, 2009; Giles et al., 2020). Approach these issues with empathy and flexibility by making conscientious decisions about what gear is in fact “required.” For example, if tennis shoes or closed-toed shoes will suffice in place of hiking boots, there is no need to make hiking boots a requirement. Additionally, if at all possible, have extras of necessary supplies on hand for students who cannot afford them or help facilitate a gear swap or other borrowing system (Giles et al., 2020).

While we recommend making field work as accessible as possible to those who wish to participate, we also want to be clear that conducting field work is not a requisite for success in ecological or evolutionary science. There are many paths to being an ecologist, evolutionary biologist, etc., and not all of them include field experience, especially given the growing trend towards big data and computational work (Peters et al., 2014; Giles et al., 2020). Field work should not be subject to ability gatekeeping (Feig et al., 2019), nor should field work be used as a gatekeeper to becoming an ecologist or evolutionary biologist (Giles et al., 2020).

## **Conclusion**

As researchers and instructors in ecology and evolutionary science, we often need to adapt and change our approaches to scientific inquiry. We advocate that scientists leverage these skills to take an inclusive approach in their research and teaching, providing a welcome scientific and learning environment for everyone. By exercising empathy towards others, maintaining a sense of flexibility, and practicing a growth mindset, scientists can build a more inclusive environment in any setting. Whether it's a classroom, the research lab, or the field, ecologists and evolutionary scientists can make educated choices about how they structure these environments and conduct themselves to better include people of all identities and backgrounds.

## **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank Dr. Carrie Diaz Eaton for thoughtful discussions and comments on a previous version of the manuscript. This publication is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1812997. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

## **Author Contributions:**

NE organized, wrote, and edited the manuscript. EB wrote and edited the manuscript. AH wrote and edited the manuscript.

## **Data Accessibility Statement**

There are no data associated with this article.

**Literature cited:**

- Ahmad, A. S., Sabat, I., Trump-Steele, R., & King, E. (2019). Evidence-based Strategies for Improving Diversity and Inclusion in Undergraduate Research Labs. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10(1306), p1-6.
- Avery, D. R., Hernandez, M., & Hebl, M. R. (2004). Who's watching the race? Racial salience in recruitment advertising. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 34, p146–161.
- Barnett, R. (2014). *Conditions of Flexibility: Securing a More Responsive Higher Education System*. Heslington, York, UK: Higher Education Academy.
- Bashford, A., & Levine, P. (Eds.). (2010). *The Oxford handbook of the history of eugenics*. New York City, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Beck, C., Boersma, K., Tysor, C. S., & Middendorf, G. (2014). Diversity at 100: women and underrepresented minorities in the ESA. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 12(8), p434-436.
- Bernier, A., Larose, S., & Soucy, N. (2005). Academic mentoring in college: the interactive role of student's and mentor's interpersonal dispositions. *Research in Higher Education*, 46, p29-51.
- Berry, C. M., Clark, M. A., & McClure, T. K. (2011). Racial/ethnic differences in the criterion-related validity of cognitive ability tests: a qualitative and quantitative review. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96, p881–906.
- Bertrand, M., & Mullainathan, S. (2004). Are Emily and Greg more employable than Lakisha and Jamal? A field experiment on labor market discrimination. *The American Economic Review*, 94(4), p991-1013.
- Blahna, D. J., & Black, K. S. (1992). 'Racism: a concern for recreation resource managers.' In Gobster, P. H. (ed.) *Managing Urban and High-use Recreation Settings: Selected Papers from the Urban Forestry and Ethnic Minorities and the Environment Paper Sessions*. 44th North American Symposium on Society and Resource Management, p111-118.

505 Campbell, L. G., Mehtani, S., Dozier, M. E., & Rinehart, J. (2013). Gender-heterogeneous  
 506 working groups produce higher quality science. *PLOS ONE*, 8(10), e79147.

507 Canning, E. A., Muenks, K., Green, D. J., & Murphy, M. C. (2019). STEM faculty who believe  
 508 ability is fixed have larger racial achievement gaps and inspire less student motivation in  
 509 their classes. *Science Advances*, 5(2), eaau4734.

510 Carabajal, I. G., Marshall, A. M., & Atchison, C. L. (2017). A synthesis of instructional strategies  
 511 in geoscience education literature that addresses barriers to inclusion for students with  
 512 disabilities. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 65, p531-541.

513 Case, K. A. (2013). *Deconstructing Privilege: Teaching and Learning As Allies in the Classroom*.  
 514 Abingdon-on-Thames, Oxfordshire, UK: Routledge.

515 Caspi, A., Chajut, E., & Saporta, K. (2008). Participation in class and in online discussions:  
 516 gender differences. *Computers & Education*, 50(3), p.718-724.

517 Chang, M. J., Sharkness, J., Newman, C., & Hurtado, S. (2010). What matters in college for  
 518 retaining aspiring scientists and engineers. *In Annual Meeting of the American Educational*  
 519 *Research Association*, Denver, CO.

520 Chemers, M. M., Zurbriggen, E. L., Syed, M., Goza, B. K., & Bearman, S. (2011). The role of  
 521 efficacy and identity in science career commitment among underrepresented minority  
 522 students. *Journal of Social Issues*, 67(3), p469-491.

523 Clancy, K. B. H., Nelson, R. G., Rutherford, J. N., & Hinde, K. (2014). Survey of Academic Field  
 524 Experiences (SAFE): trainees report harassment and assault. *PLOS ONE*, 9(7), e102172.

525 Clancy, P., & Goastellec, G. (2007). Exploring access and equity in higher education: policy and  
 526 performance in a comparative perspective. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 61(2), p136-154.

527 Clark, S., & Blissenden, M. (2013). Assessing student group work: is there a right way to do it?  
 528 *The Law Teacher*, 47(3), p368-381.

529 Cole, D. (2008). Constructive criticism: the role of student-faculty interactions on African-  
 530 American and Hispanic students' educational gains. *Journal of College Student*  
 531 *Development*, 49, p587-609.

532 Cole, E. V., & Cawthon, S. W. (2015). Self-disclosure decisions of university students with  
 533 learning disabilities. *Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability*, 28(2), p163-179.

534 Cooper, K. M., Haney, B., Krieg, A., & Brownell, S. E. (2017). What's in a name? The  
 535 importance of students perceiving that an instructor knows their names in a high-enrollment  
 536 biology classroom. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 16(1), ar8.

537 Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: a black feminist critique  
 538 of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *University of Chicago*  
 539 *Legal Forum*, 1(8), p139-167.

540 Daar, J. (2017). *The new eugenics: selective breeding in an era of reproductive technologies*.  
 541 Yale University Press.

542 Danowitz, M. A., & Tuitt, F. (2011). Enacting inclusivity through engaged pedagogy: a higher  
 543 education perspective. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 44(1), p40-56.

544 De Cesarei, A. (2015). Psychological factors that foster or deter the disclosure of disability by  
 545 university students. *Psychological Reports: Disability & Trauma*, 116(3), p665-673.

546 Derksen, F., Bensing, J., & Lagro-Janssen, A. (2013). Effectiveness of empathy in general  
 547 practice: a systematic review. *British Journal of General Practice*, 63(606), e76-e84.

548 Dewsbury, B. M. (2020). Deep teaching in a college STEM classroom. *Cultural Studies of*  
 549 *Science Education*, 15, p169-191.

550 Dewsbury, B., & Brame, C. J. (2019). Inclusive teaching. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 18(2),  
 551 fe2.

552 Dorceta, T. E. (2007). Diversity and Equity in Environmental Organizations: The Salience of  
 553 These Factors to Students. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 39:1, 19-44.

554 Dweck, C. S. (1999). *Self-theories: Their Role in Motivation, Personality, and Development*.  
 555 Philadelphia, PA, USA: Psychology Press.

556 Eaton, A. A., Saunders, J. F., Jacobson, R. K., & West, K. (2020). How gender and race  
 557 stereotypes impact the advancement of scholars in STEM: professors' biased evaluations of  
 558 physics and biology post-doctoral candidates. *Sex Roles*, 82, p127-141.

559 Egalite, A. J., Kisida, B., & Winters, M. A. (2015). Representation in the classroom: the effect of  
 560 own-race teachers on student achievement. *Economics of Education Review*, 45, p44-52.

561 Emery, N., Hund, A., Burks, R., Duffy, M., Scoffoni, C., & Swei, A. (2019). Students as  
 562 ecologists: strategies for successful mentorship of undergraduate students. *Ecology and*  
 563 *Evolution*, 9(8), p4316-4326.

564 Fairchild, E.E. (2003). Multiple Roles of Adult Learners. *New Directions for Student Services*,  
 565 102, p11-16.

566 Fieg, A. D., Atchison, C. L., Stokes, A., & Gilley, B. (2019). Achieving inclusive field-based  
 567 education: results and recommendations from an accessible geoscience field trip. *Journal of*  
 568 *the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 19(2), p66-87.

569 Fournier, A. M., & Bond, A. L. (2015). Volunteer field technicians are bad for wildlife ecology.  
 570 *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 39(4), p819-821.

571 French, Jr., J. R. P., & Raven, B. (1959). 'The bases of social power' in Cartwright, D. (ed.)  
 572 *Studies of Social Power*. Ann Arbor, MI, USA: University of Michigan Press, p150-167.

573 Giles, S., Jackson, C. & Stephen, N. (2020). Barriers to fieldwork in undergraduate geoscience  
 574 degrees. *Nature Reviews*, 1, p77-78.

575 Goodrid, M. C. (2018). *Racial Complexities of Outdoor Spaces: An Analysis of African*  
 576 *American's Lived Experiences in Outdoor Recreation*. Thesis (Ph.D.). University of the  
 577 Pacific.

578 Gurin, P., Dey, E., Hurtado, S., & Gurin, G. (2002). Diversity and higher education: theory and  
 579 impact on educational outcomes. *Harvard Educational Review*, 72(3), p330-367.

580 Hadley, W. M. (2011). College students with disabilities: a student development perspective.  
 581 *New Directions in Higher Education*, 154, p77–81.

582 Ham, N. R., & Flood, T. P. (2009) 'International field trips in undergraduate geology curriculum:  
 583 philosophy and perspectives' In Whitmeyer, S. J., Mogk, D. W., and Pyle, E. J., (Eds.) *Field*  
 584 *Geology Education: Historical Perspectives and Modern Approaches: Geological Society of*  
 585 *America Special Paper 461*, pp. 99-104.

586 Harnish, R.J. & Bridges, K. R. (2011). Effect of syllabus tone: students' perceptions of instructor  
 587 and course. *Social Psychology of Education: An International Journal*, 14, p319-330.

588 Heim, A. B., Aldor, E. R., & Holt, E. A. (2019). The first line of contact: how course syllabi can be  
 589 used to gauge & reform learner-centeredness in a college classroom. *The American Biology*  
 590 *Teacher*, 81(6), p403-409.

591 Henning, J. A., Ballen, C. J., Molina, S., & Cotner, S. (2019). Hidden identities shape student  
 592 perceptions of active learning environments. *Frontiers in Education*, 4(129) p1-13.

593 Hubscher, R. (2010). Assigning students to groups using general and context-specific criteria.  
 594 *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 3(3), p178-189.

595 Hughes, B. E., & Hurtado, S. (2013). Investing in the future: testing the efficacy of socialization  
 596 within undergraduate engineering degree programs. In *Association for the Study of Higher*  
 597 *Education Annual Conference*. St. Louis, MO. p1-46.

598 Hund, A. K., Churchill, A. C., Faist, A. M., Havrilla, C. A., Love Stowell, S. M., McCreery, H. F.,  
 599 ..., & Scordato, E. S. C. (2018). Transforming mentorship in STEM by training scientists to  
 600 be better leaders. *Ecology and Evolution*, 8(20), p9962-9974.

601 Hurtado, S., Milem, J., Clayton-Pedersen, A., & Allen, W. (1999). *Enacting Diverse Learning*  
 602 *Environments: Improving the Climate for Racial/Ethnic Diversity in Higher Education*.  
 603 Washington, DC: ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education.

604 Hurtado, S., Alvarez, C. L., Guillermo-Wann, C., Cuellar, M., & Arellano, L. (2012). 'A model for  
 605 diverse learning environments' In *Higher Education: Handbook of Theory and Research*.  
 606 Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer, p41-122.

607 Huxham, M., & Land, R. (2000). Assigning students in group work projects. Can we do better  
 608 than random? *Innovations in Education and Training International*, 37(1), p17-22.

609 Jackson, J. P., & Weidman, N. M. (2006). *Race, racism, and science: Social impact and*  
 610 *interaction*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

611 John, C. M., & Khan, S. B. (2018). Mental health in the field. *Nature Geoscience*, 11, p618-620.

612 Johnson, D. R. (2012). Campus racial climate perceptions and overall sense of belonging  
 613 among racially diverse women in STEM majors. *Journal of College Student Development*,  
 614 53(2), p336-346.

615 Juvonen, J., Lessard, L. M., Rastogi, R., Schacter, H. L., & Smith, D. S. (2019). Promoting  
 616 social inclusion in educational settings: challenges and opportunities. *Educational*  
 617 *Psychologist*, 54(4), p250-270.

618 Katzenbach, J.R., & Smith, D.K. (1993). *The Wisdom of Teams: Creating the High Performance*  
 619 *Organization*, New York, NY: Harper Business.

620 Kempa, R. F., & Ayob, A. (1995). Learning from group work in science. *International Journal of*  
 621 *Science Education*, 17(6), p743-754.

622 Killpack, T. L., & Melón, L. C. (2016). Toward inclusive STEM classrooms: what personal role  
 623 do faculty play? *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 15(3), es3.

624 Kohli, R., & Solórzano, D. G. (2012). Teachers, please learn our names!: Racial  
 625 microaggressions and the K-12 classroom. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 15(4), p441-462.

626 Lawrence, D. M., Holland, M. M., & Morrin, D. J. (1993). Profiles of ecologists: results of a  
 627 survey of the membership of the Ecological Society of America. Part I. A snapshot of survey  
 628 respondents. *Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America*, 74(1), 21-35.



- Layton, R. A., Loughry, M. L., Ohland, M. W., & Ricco, G. D. (2010). Design and validation of a web-based system for assigning members to teams using instructor-specified criteria. *Advances in Engineering Education*, 2(1), p1-9.
- Le, P. T., & Matias, C. E. (2019). Towards a truer multicultural science education: how whiteness impacts science education. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 14(1), p15-31.
- MacDonald, K. (2018). A review of the literature: the needs of nontraditional students in postsecondary education. *Strategic Enrollment Management Quarterly*, 5(4), p159-164.
- Macdonald, R. H., Beane, R. J., Baer, E. M., Eddy, P. L., Emerson, N. R., Hodder, J., ..., & Ormand, C. J. (2019). Accelerating change: the power of faculty change agents to promote diversity and inclusive teaching practices. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 67(4), p330-339.
- Mandelli, A. (2004). 'Exploring the origins of new transaction costs in connected societies.' In Huotari, M., & Iivonen, M. (eds). *Trust in Knowledge Management and Systems in Organizations*. Hershey, PA, USA: Idea Group Publishing, p200-247.
- Mathur, A., Cano, A., Dickson, M.W., Matherly, L.H., Maun, C., & Neale, A. V. (2019). Portfolio review in graduate admissions: outcomes of a pilot program. *Strategic Enrollment Management Quarterly*, 7(1), p7-23.
- McCarron, G. P., & Inkelas, K. K. (2006). The gap between educational aspirations and attainment for first-generation college students and the role of parental involvement. *Journal of College Student Development*, 47(5), p534-549.
- McKay, P. F., & Davis, J. (2008). Traditional selection methods as resistance to diversity in organizations" in Thomas, K M. (ed.) *Diversity Resistance in Organizations*. New York, NY: Erlbaum.
- Meeussen, L., Otten, S., & Phaet, K. (2014). Managing diversity: how leaders' multiculturalism and colorblindness affect work group functioning. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 17, p629-644.

655 Melosi, M. V. (1995). Equity, eco-racism and environmental history. *Environmental History*  
656 *Review*, 19(3), 1-16.

657 Morales D. X., Grineski S. E., & Collins T. W. (2017). Increasing research productivity in  
658 undergraduate research experiences: exploring predictors of collaborative faculty–student  
659 publications. *CBE--Life Science Education*, 16, p1-9.

660 Neill, C., Cotner, S., Driessen, M., & Ballen, C. J. (2019). Structured learning environments are  
661 required to promote equitable participation. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*,  
662 20(1), p197-203.

663 Nelson, R. G., Rutherford, J. N., Hinde, K., & Clancy, K. B. H. (2017). Signaling safety:  
664 characterizing fieldwork experiences and their implications for career trajectories. *American*  
665 *Anthropologist*, 119(4), p710-722.

666 Nitsch, D., Baetz, M., & Hughes, J. C. (2005). Why code of conduct violations go unreported: a  
667 conceptual framework to guide intervention and future research. *Journal of Business Ethics*,  
668 57(4), p327-341.

669 Núñez, A. M., Rivera, J., & Hallmark, T. (2019): Applying an intersectionality lens to expand  
670 equity in the geosciences, *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 68(2), p97-114.

671 Ortega, S., Flecker, A., Hoffman, K., Jablonski, L., Johnson-White, J., Jurgensen-Armstrong, M.,  
672 ... & Taylor, J. (2006). Women and minorities in ecology II. *ESA, Washington, DC, USA*.

673 Page, S. E. (2008). *The Difference: How the Power of Diversity Creates Better Groups, Firms,*  
674 *Schools, and Societies-New Edition*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press.

675 Palmer, M. S., Bach, D. J., & Streifer, A. C. (2014). Measuring the promise: a learning-focused  
676 syllabus rubric. *To Improve the Academy*, 33(1), p14-36.

677 Passman, T., & Green, R. A. (2009). Start with the syllabus: universal design from the top.  
678 *Journal of Access Services*, 6(1-2), p48-58.

- Peters, D. P., Havstad, K. M., Cushing, J., Tweedie, C., Fuentes, O., & Villanueva-Rosales, N. (2014). Harnessing the power of big data: infusing the scientific method with machine learning to transform ecology. *Ecosphere*, 5(6), p1-15.
- Ployhart, R. E., Ziegert, J. C., & McFarland, L. A. (2003). Understanding racial differences on cognitive ability tests in selection contexts: an integration of stereotype threat and applicant reactions research. *Hum. Perform.* 16, p231–259.
- Pryor, J. T. (2015). Out in the classroom: transgender student experiences at a large public university. *Journal of College Student Development*, 56(5), p440-455.
- Puritty, C., Strickland, L. R., Alia, E., Blonder, B., Klein, E., Kohl, M. T., McGee, E., ..., & Gerber, L. R. (2017). Without inclusion, diversity initiatives may not be enough. *Science*, 357(6356), p1101-1102.
- Rainey, K., Dancy, M., Mickelson, R., Stearns, E., & Moller, S. (2018). Race and gender differences in how sense of belonging influences decisions to major in STEM. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 5(10), p1-10.
- Rattan, A., Good, C., & Dweck, C. S. (2012). "It's ok—Not everyone can be good at math": instructors with an entity theory comfort (and demotivate) students. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 48(3), p731-737.
- Riccitelli, M. (2015). *Science Identity's Influence on Community College Students' Engagement, Persistence, and Performance in Biology*. Thesis (Ph.D.). Northcentral University.
- Rosser, S. V. (1998). Group work in science, engineering, and mathematics: consequences of ignoring gender and race. *College Teaching*, 46(3), p82-88.
- Sabat, I. E., Lindsey, A. P., King, E. B., Ahmad, A. S., Membere, A., & Arena, D. F. (2017). How prior knowledge of LGB identities alters the effects of workplace disclosure. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 103, p56–70.

703 Schinske, J.N., Perkins, H., Snyder, A. & Wyer, M. (2017). Scientist spotlight homework  
 704 assignments shift students' stereotypes of scientists and enhance science identity in a  
 705 diverse introductory science class. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 15(3), ar47.

706 Scott, G. W. (2017). Active engagement with assessment and feedback can improve group-  
 707 work outcomes and boost student confidence, *Higher Education Pedagogies*, 2(1), p1-13.

708 Sedlacek, W. E. (2017). *Measuring Non-cognitive Variables: Improving Admissions, Success,*  
 709 *and Retention for Underrepresented Students*. Herndon, VA, USA: Stylus Publishing.

710 Seethamraju, R., & Borman, M. (2009). Influence of group formation choices on academic  
 711 performance. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 34(1), p31-40.

712 Slavin, R.E. (1995). *Cooperative Learning: Theory, Research and Practice*. Englewood Cliffs,  
 713 NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall.

714 Smith, D. G. (2015). *Diversity's promise for higher education: Making it work*. JHU Press.

715 Soria, K. M., & Stebleton, M. J. (2012). First-generation students' academic engagement and  
 716 retention. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 17(6), p673-685.

717 Spade, D. (2011). Some very basic tips for making higher education more accessible to trans  
 718 students and rethinking how we talk about gendered bodies. *Radical Teacher*, 92(1), p57-  
 719 62.

720 Starkweather, S., Derry, K., & Crain, R. (2018). Leveling the field—tips for inclusive arctic field  
 721 work. International Arctic Science Committee. Retrieved from <[https://iasc.info/](https://iasc.info/communications/news-archive/418-leveling-the-field-tips-for-inclusive-arctic-field-work)  
 722 [communications/news-archive/418-leveling-the-field-tips-for-inclusive-arctic-field-work](https://iasc.info/communications/news-archive/418-leveling-the-field-tips-for-inclusive-arctic-field-work)>.

723 Stephan, W. G., & Finlay, K. (1999). The role of empathy in improving intergroup relations.  
 724 *Journal of Social issues*, 55(4), p729-743.

725 Strauss, P., U, A., & Young, S. (2011). 'I know the type of people I work well with': student  
 726 anxiety in multicultural group projects. *Studies in Higher Education*, 36(7), p815-829.

727 Strayhorn, T. L. (2018). *College Students' Sense of Belonging: A Key to Educational Success*  
 728 *for All Students*. 2nd ed. New York City, NY: Routledge.

729 Tanner, K. D. (2011). Moving theory into practice: a reflection on teaching a large, introductory  
 730 biology course for majors. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 10, p113–122.

731 Tanner, K. D. (2013). Structure matters: twenty-one teaching strategies to promote student  
 732 engagement and cultivate classroom equity. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 12, p322–331.

733 Thorley, L., & Gregory, R. (Eds) (1994). *Using Group-based Learning in Higher Education*,  
 734 London, UK: Kogan Page.

735 Trujillo, G., & Tanner, K. D. (2014). Considering the role of affect in learning: monitoring  
 736 students' self-efficacy, sense of belonging, and science identity. *CBE—Life Sciences*  
 737 *Education*, 13(1), p6-15.

738 Tsai, J. W., Vanderford, N. L., & Muindi, F. (2018). Optimizing the utility of the individual  
 739 development plan for trainees in the biosciences. *Nature Biotechnology*, (36)6, p552-3.

740 Vera, E., Camacho, D., Polanin, M., & Salgado, M. (2016). 'Education interventions for reducing  
 741 racism'. In A. N. Alvarez, C. T. H. Liang, & H. A. Neville (Eds.), *The Cost of Racism for*  
 742 *People of Color: Contextualizing Experiences of Discrimination*, Washington, DC: American  
 743 Psychological Association. p295-316.

744 Walpole, M. (2003). Socioeconomic status and college: how SES affects college experiences  
 745 and outcomes. *The Review of Higher Education*, 27(1), p45-73.

746 Walton, G. M., & Cohen, G. L. (2011). A brief social-belonging intervention improves academic  
 747 and health outcomes of minority students. *Science*, 331(6023), p1447-1451.

748 Warren, B., Ballenger, C., Ogonowski, M., Rosebery, A. S., & Hudicourt-Barnes, J. (2001).  
 749 Rethinking diversity in learning science: The logic of everyday sense-making. *Journal of*  
 750 *Research in Science Teaching*, 38(5), p529-552.

751 Washington, J., & Evans, N. J. (1991). 'Becoming an ally.' In N. J. Evans, & V. Wall (Eds.)  
 752 *Beyond tolerance: Gays, lesbians and bisexuals on campus*. Lanham, MD, USA: American  
 753 College Personnel Association.

Wayne, N. L., Vermillion, M. & Uijtdehaage, S. (2010). Gender differences in leadership amongst first-year medical students in the small-group setting. *Academic Medicine*, 85, p1276-1281.

West, P. C., (1989). Urban region parks and black minorities: subculture, marginality, and interracial relations in park use in the Detroit metropolitan areas. *Leisure Sciences*, 11, p11-28.

Winkle-Wagner, R., & Locks, A. M. (2013). *Diversity and Inclusion on Campus: Supporting Racially and Ethnically Underrepresented Students*. New York City, NY:Routledge.

Zemenick, A., & M. Weber. (2020) Project Biodiversify. <<https://www.projectbiodiversify.org>>

**Figure Legend:**

Figure 1. The three principles of empathy, flexibility, and a growth mindset will help ecologists and evolutionary scientists promote inclusivity in the classroom, the lab, and during fieldwork.

Artwork by Dr. Sara Weinstein.

769 **Box of terms:** These definitions would be best formatted as a box inserted in line 99

770 **Inclusivity** - “The practice of including people across differences. Inclusivity implies an

771 intentional practice of recognizing and working to mitigate biases that lead to marginalization or

772 exclusion of some people.” (Dewsbury & Brame, 2019)

773 **Diversity** - In higher education there is structural diversity, the numerical representation of

774 diverse groups (Hurtado et al., 1999), informal interactional diversity, or “the frequency and the

775 quality of intergroup interaction as keys to meaningful diversity experiences during college”, and

776 classroom diversity, where students are “learning about diverse people [content knowledge] and

777 gaining experience with diverse peers in the classroom” (Gurin et al., 2002)

778 **Equity** - “Equality of opportunity...it is necessary to go beyond formal equality of rights and take

779 account of differences in the opportunity structure.” (Clancy & Goastellec, 2007)

780 **Privilege** - “automatic unearned benefits bestowed upon perceived members of dominant

781 groups based on social identity” (Case, 2013)

782 **Power** - “the ability to influence others to believe, behave, or to value as those in power desire

783 them to” (French & Raven, 1959 *in* Mandelli, 2004)