

ARTICLE TYPE

Controllability and Stability of Hilfer Fractional Evolution Equations

Pallavi Bedi¹ | Anoop Kumar¹ | Thabet Abdeljawad^{2,3,4,*} | Aziz Khan²

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Central University of Punjab, Bathinda,
Punjab, India

²Department of Mathematics and General
Sciences, Prince Sultan University 66833,
11586 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Medical Research, China
Medical University, 40402, Taichung,
Taiwan

⁴Department of Computer Science and
Information Engineering, Asia University,
Taichung, Taiwan

Correspondence

*Thabet Abdeljawad, Email:
tabdeljawad@psu.edu.sa

Present Address

Department of Mathematics and General
Sciences, Prince Sultan University 66833,
11586 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Summary

In this article, we discuss the controllability and stability of Hilfer fractional evolution equations in Banach space. We derive these results by first proving the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for proposed system of equations. Existence and uniqueness results are obtained with the help of theory of propagation family, techniques of measure of non-compactness and fixed point theorem. An example is also given for the demonstration of obtained results.

KEYWORDS:

Hilfer Fractional Derivative, Controllability, Ulam-Hyers Stability, Fixed point theory

MSC CLASSIFICATION: 26A33, 34K35, 47H10, 47J35, 93B05, 93D99

1 | INTRODUCTION

In last few decades, fractional calculus has emerged as an active branch of both pure and applied mathematics. This branch deals with the differential and integral operators of arbitrary real or complex order^{1,2,3,4}. Fractional order derivatives being non-locally distributed, well described the memory and hereditary effects of complex processes and materials. In fractional calculus, study of fractional evolution equations (FEE) is very significant⁵. These equations appeared in the models of arterial geometries, viscoelastic behaviours, ferromagnetic materials, advection diffusion equations and many other phenomenas of physics and engineering^{6,7,8,9}. Nowadays, researchers show their great interest in investigating several aspects of FEE such as an existence and uniqueness results, stability results, approximate controllability and exact controllability^{10,11,12,13}. The concept of controllability of FEE has drawn a lot of attention of mathematicians and engineers since it plays an important role in control theory and engineering. There are mainly three types of controllability of fractional dynamical systems: exact controllability, approximate controllability, and null controllability¹⁴. For an appropriate choice of the admissible control inputs, exact controllability steers the system to arbitrary final state; approximate controllability steers the system to the small neighbourhood of arbitrary final state and null controllability steers the system to its state of origin. Many contributions have been made on the study of exact and approximate controllability of fractional differential equations. We refer to readers^{15,16,14} and references there in. Wang et al.¹⁷ established controllability results for a class of semilinear FEE with two classes of control sets in separable Banach space. These results are derived with the help of theory of propagation family and measure of non-compactness.

The stability theory for FEE was first proposed by Ulam¹⁸ and then Hyers¹⁹. After that it is popular as Ulam-Hyers stability. Rassias²⁰ generalized these results by using a dominant function to control the estimate instead of a positive constant, and this is

usually called Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem, or generalized Hyers-Ulam stability problem. In published works, there is wide variety of articles focussing on Hyers-Ulam and generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of fractional differential equations^{21,4,22}. In fractional calculus, though the literature on definitions of fractional derivatives and integrals is increasing, the most commonly used derivatives are classical derivatives. The range of applicability of classical derivatives is limited due to their incapacities to correctly address some unsolved issues in dynamics thermal media, in electromagnetic waves and in viscoelastic processes²³. This motivates several researchers to propose new generalizations of existing derivatives. For instance, Almeida²⁴ and Sousa et al.²⁵ proposed new generalizations of Caputo and Hilfer fractional derivatives w.r.t function ψ . These generalizations offer higher accuracy of models for the suitable choice of function ψ . In recent works, Suechoei et al.²⁶ established the existence and stability results for semilinear FEE with ψ -Caputo derivative. Borisut et al.²⁷ discussed the existence and stability of ψ -Hilfer FEE with non-local conditions. Motivated by these works, in this paper we aim to establish the controllability and stability results for FEE involving generalized Hilfer fractional derivative. Consider the following ζ -Hilfer fractional evolution system in Banach space Ω .

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\rho,\sigma;\zeta} \mathcal{E}\omega(t) &= \mathcal{A}\omega(t) + \mathcal{E}(\Psi(t, \omega(t))) + \mathcal{E}(Yu(t)), \quad t \in \mathfrak{J} = [0, a], \\ \mathcal{E}I_{0+}^{(1-\rho)(1-\sigma);\zeta} \omega(0) &= \mathcal{E}\omega_0, \quad \omega_0 \in D(\mathcal{E}), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $D_{0+}^{\rho,\sigma;\zeta}$ represents the ζ -Hilfer fractional derivative of order $0 < \rho < 1$ and type $0 < \sigma \leq 1$. The state $\omega(\cdot)$ takes value in Banach space Ω and control function $u(\cdot)$ is defined in $\mathcal{U} = L^\infty(\mathfrak{J}, U)$, the Banach space of admissible control functions. $Y : U \rightarrow D(\mathcal{E})$ is bounded linear operator and $\Psi : \mathfrak{J} \times \Omega \rightarrow D(\mathcal{E}) \subset \Omega$ will be specified later. The pair of closed linear operators $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ generates an exponentially bounded propagation family $\{T(t), t \geq 0\}$ from $D(\mathcal{E})$ to Ω , $\mathcal{A} : D(\mathcal{A}) \subset \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ and $\mathcal{E} : D(\mathcal{E}) \subset \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$. $I_{0+}^{(1-\rho)(1-\sigma);\zeta}$ is the ζ -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $(1 - \rho)(1 - \sigma)$. This article is structured as:

In section 2, we mention some basic definitions and fundamental concepts of ζ -Hilfer fractional derivative. Here we also construct the mild solutions for the system of Eqs.(1) using theory of propagation family. In next section, we derive the existence and uniqueness results by choosing suitable control function first and hence discuss the controllability of system (1). In section 4, we establish stability results for the given system of equations. In section 5, we give an example to confirm the applicability of obtained results.

2 | PRELIMINARIES

Consider $C(\mathfrak{J}, \Omega)$ as the space of continuous functions from \mathfrak{J} to Ω . $C(\mathfrak{J}, \Omega)$ is a complete normed linear space with norm $\|\omega\| = \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} \|\omega(t)\|$.

Definition 1.²⁸ Let $\zeta \in C^1([a, b])$ be an increasing function with $\zeta'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$ and Ψ be an integrable function defined on $[a, b]$. The ζ -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of function Ψ of order $\rho > 0$ is given by:

$$I_{a+}^{\rho;\zeta} \Psi(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_a^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \Psi(s) \zeta'(s) ds.$$

Definition 2.²⁸ Let $n - 1 < \rho < n$ and $\zeta \in C^1([a, b])$ be an increasing function with $\zeta'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. The ζ -Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\rho > 0$ of an integrable function Ψ defined on $[a, b]$ is given by:

$$D_{a+}^{\rho;\zeta} \Psi(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\zeta'(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n I_{a+}^{n-\rho;\zeta} \Psi(t), \quad n = [\rho] + 1.$$

Definition 3. Let $0 < \rho < 1$ and $\zeta \in C^1([a, b])$ be such that $\zeta'(t)$ is increasing and $\zeta'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. The ζ -Hilfer fractional derivative of function $\Psi \in C^1([a, b])$ of order $0 < \rho < 1$ and type $0 < \sigma \leq 1$ is defined as:

$$D_{a^+}^{\rho, \sigma; \zeta} \Psi(t) = I_{a^+}^{\sigma(1-\rho); \zeta} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta'(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \right) I_{a^+}^{(1-\sigma)(1-\rho); \zeta} \Psi(t), \quad t > a.$$

It can also be expressed as

$$D_{a^+}^{\rho, \sigma; \zeta} \Psi(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma - \rho)} \int_a^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\gamma-1} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta'(s)} \frac{d}{ds} \right) I_{a^+}^{(1-\rho)(1-\sigma); \zeta} \Psi(s) ds, \quad \text{for } \gamma = \rho + \sigma - \rho\sigma.$$

Lemma 1. ^{29,25} If $\Psi \in C^n[a, b]$, $n-1 < \rho < n$, $0 < \sigma \leq 1$ and $\gamma = \rho + \sigma - \rho\sigma$. Then

$$I_{a^+}^{\rho; \zeta} D_{a^+}^{\rho, \sigma; \zeta} \Psi(t) = \Psi(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(a))^{\gamma-i}}{\Gamma(\gamma-i+1)} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta'(s)} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^{n-i} I_{a^+}^{(1-\sigma)(n-\rho); \zeta} \Psi(a), \quad \forall t \in (a, b].$$

In particular if $0 < \rho < 1$, then

$$I_{a^+}^{\rho; \zeta} D_{a^+}^{\rho, \sigma; \zeta} \Psi(t) = \Psi(t) - \frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(a))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} I_{a^+}^{(1-\gamma); \zeta} \Psi(a), \quad \forall t \in (a, b].$$

Definition 4. ²⁸ Let $\zeta : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\zeta(t)$ is continuous and $\zeta'(t) > 0$ on $[a, \infty)$. The generalized Laplace transform of function $\Psi : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ w.r.t function ζ is defined as:

$$L_{\zeta} \{ \Psi(t) \} (s) = \int_a^{\infty} e^{-s(\zeta(t) - \zeta(a))} \Psi(t) \zeta'(t) dt, \quad \forall s.$$

Definition 5. ²⁸ Let Ψ and Φ be two piecewise continuous functions of exponential order defined on interval $[a, t]$. The generalized convolution of functions Ψ and Φ is defined as:

$$(\Psi *_{\zeta} \Phi)(t) = \int_a^t \Psi(\tau) \Phi \left(\zeta^{-1}(\zeta(t) + \zeta(a) - \zeta(\tau)) \right) \zeta'(\tau) d\tau.$$

Definition 6. ³⁰ Let Ω be complete normed linear space and \mathcal{G} be a bounded subset of Ω . Kuratowskii measure of non-compactness is a map $\eta : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined as:

$$\eta(\mathcal{G}) = \inf \{ \epsilon > 0 : \mathcal{G} \subset \cup \mathcal{G}_j, \text{ diam}(\mathcal{G}_j) < \epsilon, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \} \text{ where, } \text{diam}(\mathcal{G}_j) = \sup \{ |x - y| : x, y \in \mathcal{G}_j \}.$$

Lemma 2. ³⁰ For bounded subsets \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} of Banach space Ω , the measure of non-compactness η has following properties :

1. $\eta(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ iff $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ is compact, $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ denotes the convex hull of \mathcal{G} .
2. $\eta(\mathcal{G}) = \eta(\bar{\mathcal{G}})$.
3. $\eta(\mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{H}) = \max \{ \eta(\mathcal{G}), \eta(\mathcal{H}) \}$.
4. $\eta(\mathcal{G}) \leq \eta(\mathcal{H})$ if $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H}$.
5. $\eta(\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{H}) \leq \eta(\mathcal{G}) + \eta(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 3. ³¹ Let \mathcal{D} be a bounded subset of Banach space Ω . Then there exists a countable set $\mathcal{D}_o \subset \mathcal{D}$ such that $\eta(\mathcal{D}) \leq 2\eta(\mathcal{D}_o)$.

Lemma 4. ^{30,32} If $\mathcal{G} \subset C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ is bounded and equicontinuous then $\eta(\mathcal{G}(t))$ is continuous on \mathfrak{F} and

1. $\eta(\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{F})) = \max_{t \in \mathfrak{F}} \{ \eta(\mathcal{G}(t)) \}$.
2. $\eta \left(\int_{t \in \mathfrak{F}} \mathcal{G}(t) dt \right) \leq \int_{t \in \mathfrak{F}} \eta(\mathcal{G}(t)) dt$.

Lemma 5. ³³ If $Q = \{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ is bounded and countable then $\eta(Q(t))$ is Lebesgue integrable on \mathfrak{F} and

$$\eta\left(\int_{t \in \mathfrak{F}} Q(t) dt\right) \leq 2 \int_{t \in \mathfrak{F}} \eta(Q(t)) dt.$$

Lemma 6. ³⁰ If $\mathcal{G} \subset C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ is bounded and equicontinuous then closure of the convex hull of \mathcal{G} is also bounded and equicontinuous.

Definition 7. ³⁴ Let Ω be a Banach space and η be the measure of non-compactness defined in Ω . A continuous mapping $\mathcal{T} : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is called condensing mapping if for any bounded set $C \subset \Omega$, $\mathcal{T}(C)$ is bounded and $\eta(\mathcal{T}(C)) < \eta(C)$, $\eta(C) > 0$.

Lemma 7. ³⁴ Let η be the measure of non-compactness defined on Banach space Ω and C be a nonempty bounded, closed and convex subset of Ω . If $\mathcal{T} : C \rightarrow C$ is a condensing mapping then \mathcal{T} has atleast one fixed point in C .

Definition 8. ^{35,17} Consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} (\mathcal{E}\omega(t))' = \mathcal{A}\omega(t), & t \in \mathfrak{F}, \\ \mathcal{E}\omega(0) = \mathcal{E}\omega_0, & \omega_0 \in D(\mathcal{E}). \end{cases}$$

An exponentially bounded propagation family generated by pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$, is the strongly continuous and exponentially bounded operator family $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ of $D(\mathcal{E})$ to Banach space Ω satisfying

$$(\lambda \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})^{-1} \mathcal{E}\omega = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) \omega dt, \text{ for } \lambda > 0 \text{ and } \omega \in D(\mathcal{E}).$$

2.1 | Representation of mild solutions using theory of propagation family

By Lemma 2.1. the equivalent integral form of Eq.(1) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}\omega(t) &= \frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \omega_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \mathcal{A}\omega(s) \zeta'(s) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \{ \mathcal{E}\Psi(s, \omega(s)) + \mathcal{E}Y u(s) \} \zeta'(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Applying generalized Laplace transform on both sides of Eq.(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda) &= \frac{\lambda^{\rho(\sigma-1)} \mathcal{E}\omega_0}{(\lambda^{\rho} \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})} + \frac{\mathcal{E}\Psi(\lambda)}{(\lambda^{\rho} \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})} + \frac{\mathcal{E}Y u(\lambda)}{(\lambda^{\rho} \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})}, \text{ where} \\ \omega(\lambda) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))} \omega(t) \zeta'(t) dt, \Psi(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))} \Psi(t, \omega(t)) \zeta'(t) dt, u(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))} u(t) \zeta'(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We have

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda^{\rho} s} T(s) x ds = \frac{\mathcal{E}x}{(\lambda^{\rho} \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})}.$$

Using this expression in Eq.(3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(\lambda) &= \lambda^{\rho(\sigma-1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^\rho s} T(s) \omega_0 ds + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^\rho s} T(s) \Psi(\lambda) ds + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^\rho s} Y u(\lambda) ds. \\ \omega(\lambda) &= \lambda^{\rho(\sigma-1)} \int_0^\infty \rho t^{\rho-1} e^{-(\lambda t)^\rho} T(t^\rho) \omega_0 dt + \int_0^\infty \rho t^{\rho-1} e^{-(\lambda t)^\rho} T(t^\rho) \Psi(\lambda) dt + \int_0^\infty \rho t^{\rho-1} e^{-(\lambda t)^\rho} T(t^\rho) Y u(\lambda) dt.\end{aligned}$$

Consider the following probability density function

$$\vartheta_\rho(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{\kappa-1} \theta^{-\rho\kappa-1} \frac{\Gamma(\rho\kappa+1)}{\kappa!} \sin(\kappa\pi\rho), \quad \theta \in (0, \infty), \text{ whose integration is given by}$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\theta} \vartheta_\rho(\theta) d\theta = e^{-\lambda^\rho}, \quad \rho \in (0, 1). \quad (4)$$

$$\omega(\lambda) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \quad (5)$$

$$I_1 = \lambda^{\rho(\sigma-1)} \int_0^\infty \rho t^{\rho-1} e^{-(\lambda t)^\rho} T(t^\rho) \omega_0 dt.$$

Taking $t = \zeta(t) - \zeta(0)$.

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= \lambda^{\rho(\sigma-1)} \int_0^\infty \rho (\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t)-\zeta(0))^\rho} T((\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho) \omega_0 \zeta'(t) dt. \\ &= \lambda^{\rho(\sigma-1)} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho (\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t)-\zeta(0))^\rho} \vartheta_\rho(\theta) T((\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho) \zeta'(t) \omega_0 d\theta dt. \\ &= \lambda^{\rho(\sigma-1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t)-\zeta(0))^\rho} \left(\int_0^\infty \rho \vartheta_\rho(\theta) T\left(\frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\theta^\rho}\right) \frac{1}{\theta^\rho} d\theta \right) (\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1} \omega_0 \zeta'(t) dt. \\ &= \lambda^{\rho(\sigma-1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t)-\zeta(0))^\rho} \left(\int_0^\infty \rho \theta \phi_\rho(\theta) T((\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho \theta) d\theta \right) (\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1} \omega_0 \zeta'(t) dt,\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_\rho(\theta) = \frac{-1}{\rho} \vartheta_\rho(\theta^{\frac{-1}{\rho}}) \theta^{-1-\frac{-1}{\rho}}$. Here $\phi_\rho(\theta)$ represents the Wright function satisfying $\int_0^\infty \theta^\delta \phi_\rho(\theta) d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta)}{\Gamma(1+\rho\delta)}$, $\delta > 0$.

$$I_1 = \lambda^{\rho(\sigma-1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t)-\zeta(0))^\rho} \mathbb{P}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0)) (\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1} \omega_0 \zeta'(t) dt, \text{ for}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0)) = \int_0^\infty \rho \theta \phi_\rho(\theta) T((\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho \theta) d\theta.$$

$$= \lambda^{\rho(\sigma-1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t)-\zeta(0))^\rho} (\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1} \mathbb{P}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0)) \zeta'(t) \omega_0 dt.$$

$$\Rightarrow I_1 = L_\zeta \left[\frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho(1-\sigma)}}{\Gamma(\rho(1-\sigma))} \right] \cdot L_\zeta \left[(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1} \mathbb{P}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0)) \right] \omega_0. \quad (6)$$

In the following

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \int_0^\infty \rho t^{\rho-1} e^{-(\lambda t)^\rho} T(t^\rho) \Psi(\lambda) dt. \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho (\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \vartheta_\rho(\theta) T((\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho) e^{-\lambda(\zeta(s) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) \zeta'(t) ds dt. \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho (\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \vartheta_\rho(\theta) T((\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho) e^{-\lambda(\zeta(s) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) \zeta'(t) d\theta ds dt. \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho \frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1}}{\theta^\rho} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \vartheta_\rho(\theta) T\left(\frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\theta^\rho}\right) e^{-\lambda(\zeta(s) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) \zeta'(t) d\theta ds dt. \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho \frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1}}{\theta^\rho} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(t) + \zeta(s) - 2\zeta(0))^\rho} \vartheta_\rho(\theta) T\left(\frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\theta^\rho}\right) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) \zeta'(t) d\theta ds dt. \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho \frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1}}{\theta^\rho} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \vartheta_\rho(\theta) T\left(\frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\theta^\rho}\right) \\
&\quad \Psi\left(\zeta^{-1}(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(t) + \zeta(0)), x(\zeta^{-1}(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(t) + \zeta(0)))\right) \zeta'(s) \zeta'(t) d\theta d\tau dt. \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\tau \int_0^\infty \rho \frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1}}{\theta^\rho} e^{-\lambda(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \vartheta_\rho(\theta) T\left(\frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\theta^\rho}\right) \\
&\quad \Psi\left(\zeta^{-1}(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(t) + \zeta(0)), x(\zeta^{-1}(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(t) + \zeta(0)))\right) \zeta'(s) \zeta'(t) d\theta dt d\tau. \\
&= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \left[\int_0^\tau \int_0^\infty \rho \theta \phi_\rho(\theta) (\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} T((\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(s))^\rho) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) d\theta ds \right] \zeta'(\tau) d\tau. \\
&= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \left[\int_0^\tau (\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \mathbb{P}_\rho(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds \right] \zeta'(\tau) d\tau. \\
&= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(0))^\rho} \left[\int_0^\tau \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(\tau) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds \right] \zeta'(\tau) d\tau, \quad \mathbb{K}_\rho(t) = t^{\rho-1} \mathbb{P}_\rho(t). \\
&\Rightarrow I_2 = L_\zeta \left[\int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds \right]. \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

In the similar way, we get

$$\Rightarrow I_3 = L_\zeta \left[\int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) Y u(s) \zeta'(s) ds \right]. \tag{8}$$

Using (6), (7) and (8) in (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda) = & L_{\zeta} \left[\frac{(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho(1-\sigma)}}{\Gamma(\rho(1-\sigma))} \right] \cdot L_{\zeta} \left[(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0))^{\rho-1} \mathbb{P}_{\rho}(\zeta(t) - \zeta(0)) \right] \omega_0. \\ & + L_{\zeta} \left[\int_0^t \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \{ \Psi(s, \omega(s)) + Yu(s) \} g'(s) ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Taking inverse generalized Laplace transform on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(t) = & I_{a^+}^{\rho(1-\sigma); \zeta} \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(t) \omega_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) Yu(s) \zeta'(s) ds, \\ \omega(t) = & \mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t) \omega_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) Yu(s) \zeta'(s) ds, \\ \mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t) = & I_{a^+}^{\rho(1-\sigma); \zeta} \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(t), \quad \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(t) = t^{\rho-1} \mathbb{P}_{\rho}(t), \quad \mathbb{P}_{\rho}(t) = \int_0^{\infty} \rho \theta \phi_{\rho}(\theta) T(t^{\rho} \theta) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8. ¹⁷ Suppose that the exponentially bounded propagation family $\{T(t), t \geq 0\}$ generated by pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ is norm continuous and uniformly bounded. Then the following properties hold:

(i) $\|T(t)\| < \mathfrak{M}$, for some $\mathfrak{M} > 0$.

(ii) $\|\mathbb{P}_{\rho}(t)\| = \left\| \int_0^{\infty} \rho \theta \phi_{\rho}(\theta) T(t^{\rho} \theta) d\theta \right\| \leq \mathfrak{M}_{\rho} \int_0^{\infty} \theta \phi_{\rho}(\theta) d\theta = \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\Gamma(\rho)}.$

$\Rightarrow \|\mathbb{P}_{\rho}(t)\omega\| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \|\omega\|, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega.$

(iii) $\|\mathbb{K}_{\rho}(t)\omega\| = \|t^{\rho-1} \mathbb{P}_{\rho}(t)\omega\| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{M} t^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \|\omega\|, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega.$

(iv) $\|\mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t)\omega\| = \|I_{a^+}^{\rho(1-\sigma); \zeta} \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(t)\omega\| \leq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}} \|\omega\|, \quad \text{for some } \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}} > 0.$

(v) $\{\mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t), t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\mathbb{K}_{\rho}(t), t \geq 0\}$ are norm continuous families in sense of uniform topology.

Definition 9. For each $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\omega_0 \in D(\mathcal{E})$, $\omega \in C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ is called mild solutions of Eq. (1) if it satisfies

$$\omega(t) = \mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t) \omega_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_{\rho}(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) Yu(s) \zeta'(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathfrak{F}. \quad (10)$$

3 | CONTROLLABILITY RESULTS

Here we investigate controllability of the system of Eqs.(1).

Definition 3.1 ¹⁷ The system of Eqs.(1) is controllable on interval $\mathfrak{F} = [0, a]$ if for $\omega(0) \in D(\mathcal{E})$ and $\omega_1 \in D(\mathcal{E})$ there exists a control function $u \in \mathcal{U}$ such that the mild solution $\omega(t)$ of system (1) satisfies $\omega(a) = \omega_1$.

For obtaining proposed results, let us introduce the following hypothesis:

C_1) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\Psi(\cdot, \omega) : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow D(\mathcal{E}) \subset \Omega$ is strongly measurable and for each $t \in \mathfrak{F}$, the function $\Psi(t, \cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow D(\mathcal{E})$

is continuous.

C_2) For any $k > 0$, there exists a measurable function h_k such that

$$\sup_{\|\omega\| \leq k} \|\Psi(t, \omega)\| \leq h_k(t) \text{ with } \|h_k\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{F}} \{h_k(t)\} < \infty \text{ and}$$

$$\sup_{t \in \mathfrak{F}} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} h_k(s) \zeta'(s) ds \leq N_k(t),$$

for some measurable function N_k such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\|N_k(t)\|}{k} = L$ for some $L > 0$.

C_3) There exists a constant $K^* > 0$ such that for any bounded set $D \subset \Omega$ and $t \in \mathfrak{F}$,

$$\eta(\Psi(t, D)) \leq K^* \eta(D).$$

C_4) $Y : U \rightarrow D(\mathcal{E})$ is a bounded linear operator. Define an invertible linear operator $\Delta : U \rightarrow \Omega$ as:

$$\Delta u = \int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(s)) Y u(s) \zeta'(s) ds, \quad \Delta \text{ is well defined as}$$

$$\|\Delta u\| = \left\| \int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(s)) Y u(s) \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(\rho)} \|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

For an arbitrary function $\omega(\cdot)$, let us define the control function as follows:

$$u(t) = \Delta^{-1} \left[\omega_1 - \mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t) \omega_0 - \int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds \right]. \quad (11)$$

$$\|u(t)\| \leq \|\Delta^{-1}\| \left[\|v_1\| + \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}} \|\omega_0\| + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} N_k(a)}{\Gamma(\rho)} \right]. \quad (12)$$

Define an operator $\Theta : C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ as follows:

$$\Theta \omega(t) = \mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t) \omega_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) Y u(s) \zeta'(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathfrak{F}. \quad (13)$$

Now we show that operator $\Theta : C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ has a unique fixed point w.r.t control function $u(t)$ defined in (11).

3.1 | Existence and Uniqueness of Mild Solutions

Theorem 1. The systems of Eqs. (1) has at least one mild solution if hypothesis $(C_1 - C_4)$ and following conditions hold true

$$\frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} L}{\Gamma(\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho \|\Delta^{-1}\|}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \right] < 1,$$

$$\frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} K^* (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho \|\Delta^{-1}\|}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \right] < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Proof. For any $k > 0$, let us define $B_k = \{v \in C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega) : \|\omega(t)\| \leq k\}$. B_k is bounded closed and convex subset of $C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$. We divide the proof into following steps:

Step 1: To show that $\Theta : B_k \rightarrow B_k$. Let us assume that for each $k > 0$ there exists $\omega \in B_k$ such that $\|\Theta\omega(t)\| > k$.

For $t \in \mathfrak{F}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta\omega(t)\| &\leq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}} \|\omega_0\| + \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \|\Psi(s, \omega(s))\| \zeta'(s) ds + \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \|Y\| \|u(s)\| \zeta'(s) ds. \\ &\leq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}} \|\omega_0\| + \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} h_k(s) \zeta'(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|Y\|}{\Gamma(\rho)} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \left[\|\omega_1\| + \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}} \|\omega_0\| + \frac{\mathfrak{M} N_k(t)}{\Gamma(\rho)} \right] \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \zeta'(s) ds \\ &\leq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}} \|\omega_0\| + \frac{\mathfrak{M} N_k(t)}{\Gamma(\rho)} + \frac{\|\omega_0\| \mathfrak{M} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\|\omega_1\| \mathfrak{M} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} + \frac{\mathfrak{M}^2 N_k(t) \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(\rho) \Gamma(1 + \rho)}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\|\Theta\omega(t)\| > k$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}} \|\omega_0\|}{k} + \frac{\|\omega_0\| \mathfrak{M} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{k \Gamma(1 + \rho)} + \frac{\|\omega_1\| \mathfrak{M} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{k \Gamma(1 + \rho)} \\ + \frac{\mathfrak{M} N_k(t)}{k \Gamma(\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \right] > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty}$ on both sides, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathfrak{M} N_k(t)}{k \Gamma(\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \right] > 1.$$

(14)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{M} L}{\Gamma(\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \right] > 1,$$

which is a contradiction to given assumption. Therefore our suppoistion is wrong. This proves $\Theta : B_k \rightarrow B_k$.

Step 2: To prove that $\Theta : B_k \rightarrow B_k$ is continuous.

Let $\{\omega_n\} \subset B_k$ with $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega \in B_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By assumption $(C_1 - C_2)$.

For $t \in J$,

$$\Psi(t, \omega_n(t)) \rightarrow \Psi(t, \omega(t)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and}$$

$$\|\Psi(t, \omega_n(t)) - \Psi(t, \omega(t))\| \leq 2h_k(t), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Theta\omega_n(t) - \Theta\omega(t)\| &\leq \left\| \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \{ \Psi(s, \omega_n(s)) - \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \} \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) B \Delta^{-1} \left[\int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(\tau)) \{ \Psi(\tau, \omega_n(\tau)) - \Psi(\tau, \omega(\tau)) \} \zeta'(\tau) d\tau \right] \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \|\Psi(s, \omega_n(s)) - \Psi(s, \omega(s))\| \zeta'(s) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathfrak{M}^2 \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\|}{\Gamma^2(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \left[\int_0^a (\zeta(a) - \zeta(\tau))^{\rho-1} \|\Psi(\tau, \omega_n(\tau)) - \Psi(\tau, \omega(\tau))\| \zeta'(\tau) d\tau \right] \zeta'(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By dominated convergence theorem and continuity of function $\Psi(t, \cdot)$,

$$\|\Theta\omega_n(t) - \Theta\omega(t)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This proves the continuity of operator Θ .

Step 3: To prove $\Theta : B_k \rightarrow B_k$ is equicontinuous operator.

For $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq a$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Theta\omega(t_2) - \Theta\omega(t_1)\| &\leq \|\mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t_2) - \mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t_1)\| \|\omega_0\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} \{ \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(s)) - \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_1) - \zeta(s)) \} \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} \{ \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(s)) - \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_1) - \zeta(s)) \} Y u(s) \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(s)) Y u(s) \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \\
&= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

By the norm continuity of $\{\mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t) : t \geq 0\}$ in uniform operator topology

$$I_1 = \|\mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t_2) - \mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t_1)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2. \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \left\| \int_0^{t_1} \{ \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(s)) - \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_1) - \zeta(s)) \} \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \\
&\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\| \{ \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(s)) - \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_1) - \zeta(s)) \} \right\| h_k(s) \zeta'(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{K_\rho(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is continuous in uniform operator topology.

$$\Rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2. \quad (17)$$

$$\text{Similarly } I_4 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2. \quad (18)$$

$$I_3 = \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \|h_k(s)\| \zeta'(s) ds \leq \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|h_k\|_\infty}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} (\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(t_1))^\rho.$$

$$\text{Clearly } I_3 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2. \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(s)) Y u(s) \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \|Y\| \|u(s)\| \zeta'(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\|}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \left[\|\omega_1\| + \mathcal{M}_\mathbb{S} \|\omega_0\| + \frac{MN}{\Gamma(\rho)} \right] (\zeta(t_2) - \zeta(t_1))^\rho. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Clearly } I_5 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2. \quad (20)$$

Using (16 – 20) in (15). We have

$$\|\Theta\omega(t_2) - \Theta\omega(t_1)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1.$$

This proves the equicontinuity of operator Θ .

Step 4: Let $\mathcal{D} = \bar{Co}(\Theta(B_k))$, where \bar{Co} denotes the closure of convex hull. By Lemma 2.6. $\bar{Co}(\Theta(B_k)) \subset B_k$ is bounded and equicontinuous. We show that $\Theta : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a condensing mapping. For $\mathcal{D} = \bar{Co}(\Theta(B_k))$, there exists a countable set $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{D}$ such that $\eta(\Theta(\mathcal{D})) \leq 2\eta(\Theta(\mathcal{D}_0))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\Theta(\mathcal{D}_0)(t)) &= \eta \left[\mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t) \omega_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \{ \Psi(s, \omega_n(s)) + Y u(s) \} \zeta'(s) ds \right] \\ &\leq \eta \left[\int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \left(\Psi(s, \omega_n(s)) + Y \Delta^{-1} \left(\omega_1 - \mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t) \omega_0 - \int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(\tau)) \Psi(\tau, \omega_n(\tau)) \zeta'(\tau) d\tau \right) \right) \zeta'(s) ds \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2\mathfrak{M}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \left[\eta(\Psi(s, \omega_n(s))) + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|Y\| \|\Delta^{-1}\|}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^a (\zeta(a) - \zeta(\tau))^{\rho-1} \eta(\Psi(\tau, \omega_n(\tau))) \zeta'(\tau) d\tau \right] \zeta'(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{2\mathfrak{M}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \left[K^* \eta(\omega_n(s)) + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|Y\| \|\Delta^{-1}\|}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^a (\zeta(a) - \zeta(\tau))^{\rho-1} K^* \eta(\omega_n(\tau)) \zeta'(\tau) d\tau \right] \zeta'(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{2\mathfrak{M} K^*}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|Y\| \|\Delta^{-1}\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \right] (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho \eta(\mathcal{D}_0) \\ &\leq \frac{2\mathfrak{M} K^*}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|Y\| \|\Delta^{-1}\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \right] (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho \eta(\mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

As $\eta(\Theta(\mathcal{D})) \leq 2\eta(\Theta(\mathcal{D}_0))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \eta(\Theta(\mathcal{D})) &\leq \frac{4\hat{\mathfrak{M}}K^*}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|Y\| \|\Delta^{-1}\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \right] (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho \eta(\mathcal{D}). \\ &< 1 \cdot \eta(\mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that Θ is a condensing mapping.

By Lemma 2.8. Θ has at least one fixed point which is a mild solution of the system of Eqs.(1). Hence the result. \square

Theorem 2. If hypothesis $(C_1 - C_4)$ hold and there exists constant $L_\Psi > 0$ such that $\|\Psi(t, \omega_1(t)) - \Psi(t, \omega_2(t))\| \leq L_\Psi \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|$, $\forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in B_k$. Then system of Eqs.(1) has unique mild solution if following condition is satisfied:

$$\frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} L_\Psi (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \right] < 1.$$

Proof. Let ω_1 and ω_2 be the two solutions of Eq.(1) in B_k . Each ω_i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$ satisfies:

$$\Theta \omega_i(t) = \mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t) \omega_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) Y u(s) \zeta'(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathfrak{J}. \quad (21)$$

For any $t \in \mathfrak{J}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\| &= \|\Theta \omega_1(t) - \Theta \omega_2(t)\| \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \{ \Psi(s, \omega_1(s)) - \Psi(s, \omega_2(s)) \} \zeta'(s) ds \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) B \Delta^{-1} \left[\int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(\tau)) \{ \Psi(\tau, \omega_1(\tau)) - \Psi(\tau, \omega_2(\tau)) \} \zeta'(\tau) d\tau \right] \zeta'(s) ds \right\|. \\ &\leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \|\Psi(s, \omega_1(s)) - \Psi(s, \omega_2(s))\| \zeta'(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\|}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \left[\frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^a (\zeta(a) - \zeta(\tau))^{\rho-1} \|\Psi(\tau, \omega_1(\tau)) - \Psi(\tau, \omega_2(\tau))\| d\tau \right] \zeta'(s) ds. \\ &\leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} L_\Psi}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\| \zeta'(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\|}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} \left[\frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} L_\Psi (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \right] \zeta'(s) ds. \\ &\leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} L_\Psi (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \right] \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|. \\ &< 1 \cdot \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\| \quad (\text{By stated condition}). \\ &\Rightarrow \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\| = 0, \forall t \in J. \text{ Therefore } \omega_1 \equiv \omega_2. \text{ Hence the result.} \end{aligned}$$

\square

3.2 | Controllability

Theorem 3. The system of Eqs.(1) is controllable if it possesses unique mild solution and hypothesis $(C_1 - C_4)$ hold true.

Proof. Here we all need to show that is w.r.t control function $u(t)$ defined in (11), mild solution $\omega(t)$ of (1) satisfies $\omega(a) = \omega_1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega(a) &= \Theta(\omega(a)) \\
 &= \mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t)\omega_0 + \int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(s))\Psi(s, \omega(s))\zeta'(s)ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(s))Y u(s)\zeta'(s)ds. \\
 &= \mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t)\omega_0 + \int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(s))\Psi(s, \omega(s))\zeta'(s)ds + \Delta u(t). \quad \text{By (H4)} \\
 &= \mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t)\omega_0 + \int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(s))\Psi(s, \omega(s))\zeta'(s)ds + \omega_1 \\
 &\quad - \mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t)\omega_0 - \int_0^a \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(s))\Psi(s, \omega(s))\zeta'(s)ds. \\
 &= \omega_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves that control function $u(t)$ defined in (11) steers the fractional system (1) from $\omega(0)$ to ω_1 in finite time a . Hence the result. \square

4 | ULAM-HYERS AND ULAM-HYERS-RASSIAS STABILITY

For $\epsilon > 0$, $\Psi : \mathfrak{J} \times \Omega \rightarrow D(\mathcal{E}) \subset \Omega$, $\zeta \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\mu \in C(\mathfrak{J}, \Omega)$ and non-decreasing function $v(t) \in C(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ consider equation

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\rho,\sigma;\zeta} \mathcal{E}\omega(t) = \mathcal{A}\omega(t) + \mathcal{E}\Psi(t, \omega(t)) + \mathcal{E}Y u(t), & t \in \mathfrak{J} = [0, a], \\ \mathcal{E}I_{0+}^{(1-\rho)(1-\sigma);\zeta} \omega(0) = \mathcal{E}I_{0+}^{(1-\rho)(1-\sigma);\zeta} \mu(0) = \mathcal{E}\omega_0, & \omega_0 \in D(\mathcal{E}), \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Definition 4.1. The system of Eqs.(1) is Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists real number $\beta > 0$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mu \in C^1(\mathfrak{J}, \Omega)$ satisfying

$$\left| D_{0+}^{\rho,\sigma;\zeta} \mathcal{E}\mu(t) - \mathcal{A}\mu(t) - \mathcal{E}\Psi(t, \mu(t)) - \mathcal{E}Y u(t) \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad (23)$$

there exists a unique solution $\omega(t)$ of Eq.(22) with

$$|\omega(t) - \mu(t)| \leq \beta\epsilon, \quad t \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

Definition 4.2.[?] The system of Eqs.(1) is Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable w.r.t function v if there exists real number $\beta_v > 0$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mu \in C^1(\mathfrak{J}, \Omega)$ satisfying

$$\left| D_{0+}^{\rho,\sigma;\zeta} \mathcal{E}\mu(t) - \mathcal{A}\mu(t) - \mathcal{E}\Psi(t, \mu(t)) - \mathcal{E}Y u(t) \right| \leq \epsilon v(t), \quad t \in \mathfrak{J}. \quad (24)$$

there exists a unique solution $\omega(t)$ of Eq.(22) with

$$|\omega(t) - \mu(t)| \leq \beta_v \epsilon v(t), \quad t \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

Remark 4.1. A function $z \in C^1(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ satisfies inequality (23) iff there exists a function $Q_1(t) \in C^1(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ such that following holds:

1. $|Q_1(t)| \leq \epsilon$ for $t \in \mathfrak{F}$.
2. $D_{0+}^{\rho, \sigma; \zeta} \mathcal{E}\mu(t) = \mathcal{A}\mu(t) + \mathcal{E}\Psi(t, \mu(t)) + \mathcal{E}Yu(t) + Q_1(t), \quad t \in \mathfrak{F} = [0, a].$

Remark 4.2. A function $z \in C^1(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ satisfies inequality (24) iff there exists a function $Q_2(t) \in C^1(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ such that following holds:

1. $|Q_2(t)| \leq \epsilon v(t)$ for $t \in \mathfrak{F}$.
2. $D_{0+}^{\rho, \sigma; \zeta} \mathcal{E}\mu(t) = \mathcal{A}\mu(t) + \mathcal{E}\Psi(t, \mu(t)) + \mathcal{E}Yu(t) + Q_2(t), \quad t \in \mathfrak{F} = [0, a].$

Theorem 4. Assume that hypothesis $(C_1 - C_4)$ hold and $\Psi(t, \omega(t))$ is Lipchitz continuous function with Lipschitz constant L_Ψ . Then system of Eqs.(1) is Ulam-Hyers stable provided that

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} L_\Psi (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \right] < 1.$$

Proof. Let $\mu \in C^1(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ be the solution of inequality (23). Then $\mu(t)$ satisfies.

$$\mu(t) = \mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t) \omega_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \{ \Psi(s, \mu(s)) + Yu(s) \} \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) Q_1(s) \zeta'(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

Let $\omega \in C(\mathfrak{F}, \Omega)$ be the unique mild solution of Cauchy problem (22). $\omega(t)$ is defined as

$$\omega(t) = \mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t) \omega_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) Yu(s) \zeta'(s) ds.$$

For $t \in \mathfrak{J}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\omega(t) - \mu(t)| &\leq \int_0^t |\mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))| |\Psi(s, \omega(s)) - \Psi(s, \mu(s))| \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t |\mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))| |Q_1(s)| \zeta'(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t |\mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))| Y \Delta^{-1} \left[\int_0^a |\mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(\tau))| |\Psi(\tau, \omega(\tau)) - \Psi(\tau, \mu(\tau))| \zeta'(\tau) d\tau \right] \zeta'(s) ds. \\
 &\leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} L_\Psi (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \right] |\omega(t) - \mu(t)| + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)}. \\
 &= \mathcal{L} |\mu(t) - \omega(t)| + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)}. \\
 \Rightarrow (1 - \mathcal{L}) |\mu(t) - \omega(t)| &\leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)}. \\
 \Rightarrow |\mu(t) - \omega(t)| &\leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)(1 - \mathcal{L})} \leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)}, \quad \mathcal{L} < 1. \\
 \Rightarrow |\mu(t) - \omega(t)| &\leq \beta \epsilon, \text{ for } \beta = \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(25)

□

Theorem 5. The system of Eqs.(1) is Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable if hypothesis $(C_1 - C_4)$ hold, $\mathcal{L} < 1$ and continuous non-decreasing function $v(t)$ satisfies

$$\int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} v(s) \zeta'(s) ds \leq \kappa_v v(t), \text{ for } \kappa_v > 0.$$

Proof. Let $\mu \in C^1(\mathfrak{J}, \Omega)$ be the solution of inequality (24). Then $\mu(t)$ satisfies.

$$\mu(t) = \mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t) \omega_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \{ \Psi(s, \mu(s)) + Y u(s) \} \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) Q_2(s) \zeta'(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

Let us denote by $\omega \in C(\mathfrak{J}, \Omega)$ the unique mild solution of Cauchy problem (22). $\omega(t)$ is defined as

$$\omega(t) = \mathbb{S}_{\rho, \sigma}(t) \omega_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) \Psi(s, \omega(s)) \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s)) Y u(s) \zeta'(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathfrak{J}. \quad (26)$$

For $t \in \mathfrak{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\omega(t) - \mu(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \|\mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))\| |\Psi(s, \omega(s)) - \Psi(s, \mu(s))| \zeta'(s) ds + \int_0^t \|\mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))\| |Q_2(s)| \zeta'(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \|\mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))\| Y \Delta^{-1} \left[\int_0^a \|\mathbb{K}_\rho(\zeta(a) - \zeta(\tau))\| |f(\tau, \omega(\tau)) - f(\tau, \mu(\tau))| \zeta'(\tau) d\tau \right] \zeta'(s) ds. \\
 &\leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} L_\Psi (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \right] |\omega(t) - \mu(t)| + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon \kappa_\nu v(t) (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)}. \\
 &= \mathcal{L} |\omega(t) - \mu(t)| + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)}. \\
 \Rightarrow (1 - \mathcal{L}) |\omega(t) - \mu(t)| &\leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon \kappa_\nu v(t) (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \\
 \Rightarrow |\omega(t) - \mu(t)| &\leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon \kappa_\nu v(t) (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(\rho)(1 - \mathcal{L})} \leq \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon \kappa_\nu v(t) (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)}, \quad \mathcal{L} < 1. \\
 \Rightarrow |\omega(t) - \mu(t)| &\leq \beta_\nu \epsilon v(t), \text{ for } \beta_\nu = \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \epsilon (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^\rho}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(27)

□

5 | EXAMPLE

Take $\Omega = U = L^2[0, \pi]$. Consider the following partial differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} D^{\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{7}; \zeta} \left(\omega(t, y) - \frac{\partial^2 \omega(t, y)}{\partial y^2} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 \omega(t, y)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{t^2}{20} \left[\frac{\cos \omega(t, y)}{t} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left(\frac{\cos \omega(t, y)}{t} \right) \right] + Y u(t), \\ y \in [0, \pi], \quad t \in [0, 1] = J. \\ I_{0+}^{(1-\frac{1}{5})(1-\frac{1}{7})} \left(\omega(0, y) - \frac{\partial^2 \omega(0, y)}{\partial y^2} \right) = \omega_0(y), \quad 0 \leq y \leq \pi. \\ \omega(t, 0) = \omega(t, \pi) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{1}{5}, \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{7}, \quad \theta = \rho + \sigma - \rho\sigma = \frac{11}{35}, \quad \zeta(t) = \sqrt{t}.$$

Define $\mathcal{A} : D(\mathcal{A}) \subset \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by $\mathcal{A}\omega = \frac{\partial^2 \omega(t, y)}{\partial y^2}$ and $\mathcal{E} : D(\mathcal{E}) \subset \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by $\mathcal{E}\omega = \left(\omega - \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial y^2} \right)$, where

$D(\mathcal{A}) = D(\mathcal{E}) = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \omega, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \text{ are absolutely continuous, } \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial y^2} \in \Omega, \omega(0) = \omega(\pi) = 0 \right\}$. It follows from reference³⁵, that pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ generates a propagation family $\{T(t), t \geq 0\}$ which is norm continuous and uniformly bounded. It follows from³⁶ that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{E} can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}\omega = - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^2 \langle \omega, \omega_q \rangle, \quad \omega \in D(\mathcal{A}),$$

$$\mathcal{E}\omega = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^2) \langle \omega, \omega_q \rangle \omega_q, \quad \omega \in D(\mathcal{E}), \text{ where}$$

$\omega_q(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin qy$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the orthonormal set of eigen functions of \mathcal{A} . For any $\omega \in D(\mathcal{E})$, $\lambda > 0$, we obtain

$$(\lambda \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})^{-1} \mathcal{E} \omega = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^2}{\lambda(1+q^2)+q^2} \langle \omega, \omega_q \rangle \omega_q = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\frac{q^2 t}{1+q^2}} dt \langle \omega, \omega_q \rangle \omega_q.$$

Therefore $\{T(t), t \geq 0\}$ generated by $-\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ can be written as

$$T(t)\omega = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\frac{q^2 t}{1+q^2}} \langle \omega, \omega_q \rangle \omega_q \text{ with } \|T(t)\| \leq 1.$$

This implies

$$\|\mathbb{P}_{\rho}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{5})}, \|\mathbb{K}_{\rho}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{5})} \text{ and } \|\mathbb{S}_{\rho,\sigma}(t)\| \leq 1.$$

Define $Y : U \rightarrow D(\mathcal{E})$ as $Y = bI$ for $b > 0$.

$$\Delta u(t, y) = \int_0^1 K_{\rho}(\zeta(1) - \zeta(s)) Y u(s, y(s)) \zeta'(s) ds.$$

$$\|\Delta u\| \leq \frac{b}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{5})} \int_0^1 (\zeta(1) - \zeta(s))^{-\frac{4}{5}} \|u\| \zeta'(s) ds = \frac{b \|u\|}{\Gamma(\frac{6}{5})}.$$

$$\Delta^{-1} : \Omega \rightarrow U \text{ with } \|\Delta^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{6}{5})}{b}.$$

$$\Psi(t, \omega(t, y)) = \frac{t^2}{20} \left[\cos \left(\frac{\omega(t, y)}{t} \right) \right].$$

$$\sup_{\|\omega\| \leq k} \|\Psi(t, \omega(t, y))\| \leq \frac{t^2}{20} \left\| \frac{v(t, y)}{t} \right\| \leq \frac{k}{20} = h_k(t).$$

It is easy to see that Ψ is caratheodary function and

$$\|\Psi(t, \omega_1) - \Psi(t, \omega_2)\| \leq \frac{1}{20} \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|.$$

$\Rightarrow \Psi$ is Lipschitz continuous function with $L_{\Psi} = \frac{1}{20}$.

$$\int_0^t (\zeta(t) - \zeta(s))^{\rho-1} h_k(s) \zeta'(s) ds \leq \frac{k}{4} (\zeta(1) - \zeta(0))^{\frac{1}{5}} \leq \frac{k}{4} = N_k(t).$$

$$L = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|N_k(t)\|}{k} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

With all the parameters discussed above, it is easy to check that conditions stated in Theorem 3.1., Theorem 3.2., Theorem 4.1. and Theorem 4.2. hold true as

$$\frac{\mathfrak{M} L}{\Gamma(\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^{\rho} \|\Delta^{-1}\|}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{5})} = \frac{1}{2 \times 4.590843} = 0.108908 < 1.$$

$$\frac{\mathfrak{M} L_{\Psi} (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^{\rho}}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^{\rho}}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \right] \leq \frac{1}{20\Gamma(\frac{6}{5})} = \frac{1}{20 \times 0.9181687} = 0.05444 < 1.$$

$$\frac{\mathfrak{M} L}{\Gamma(\rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\mathfrak{M} \|Y\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^{\rho} \|\Delta^{-1}\|}{\Gamma(1+\rho)} \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{5})} = \frac{1}{2 \times 4.590843} = 0.108908 < 1.$$

$$\frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} L_{\varphi} (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^{\rho}}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \left[1 + \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \|\Delta^{-1}\| \|\Upsilon\| (\zeta(a) - \zeta(0))^{\rho}}{\Gamma(1 + \rho)} \right] \leq \frac{1}{20\Gamma(\frac{6}{5})} = \frac{1}{20 \times 0.9181687} = 0.05444 < 1.$$

This proves that system (28) is controllable and stable on $\mathfrak{J} = [0, 1]$.

CONCLUSION

The main aim of this paper is to study the controllability results for FEE with generalized Hilfer fractional derivatives. To the best of our knowledge, existence results for different forms of these equations have obtained commonly however controllability and stability results have discussed rarely. These results are obtained with the help of theory of propagation family and measure of non-compactness. In our future work, we aim to work on the applications of obtained results in modeling theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author (Pallavi Bedi) acknowledges the support of Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)-New Delhi, India for funding this work under grant no. 09/1051(0017)/2018-EMR-I. Authors (Thabet Abdeljawad and Aziz Khan) acknowledges the support of Prince Sultan University for funding this work through research group in Applied Mathematics (NAMAM).

FUNDING

Not Applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA MATERIALS

Not Applicable.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All the authors have made equal contribution in preparing this manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no potential conflict of interests.

References

1. Kilbas Anatoliĭ. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*.
2. Khan Hasib, Khan Aziz, Jarad Fahd, Shah Anwar. Existence and data dependence theorems for solutions of an ABC-fractional order impulsive system. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*. 2020;131:109477.
3. Khan Aziz, Khan Tahir Saeed, Syam Muhammed I, Khan Hasib. Analytical solutions of time-fractional wave equation by double Laplace transform method. *The European Physical Journal Plus*. 2019;134(4):163.
4. Khan Hasib, Khan Aziz, Abdeljawad Thabet, Alkhazzan Abdulwasea. Existence results in Banach space for a nonlinear impulsive system. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2019;2019(1):18.

5. Agarwal Ravi P, Baleanu Dumitru, Nieto Juan J, Torres Delfim FM, Zhou Yong. A survey on fuzzy fractional differential and optimal control nonlocal evolution equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2018;339:3–29.
6. Khan Aziz, Abdeljawad Thabet, Gómez-Aguilar JF, Khan Hasib. Dynamical study of fractional order mutualism parasitism food web module. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*. 2020;134:109685.
7. Khan Hasib, Khan Aziz, Chen Wen, Shah Kamal. Stability analysis and a numerical scheme for fractional Klein-Gordon equations. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*. 2019;42(2):723–732.
8. Khan Hasib, Tunc Cemil, Khan Aziz. STABILITY RESULTS AND EXISTENCE THEOREMS FOR NONLINEAR DELAY-FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH $\phi^*(P)$ -OPERATOR. *JOURNAL OF APPLIED ANALYSIS AND COMPUTATION*. 2020;10(2):584–597.
9. Tropanevsky María I, Seminara Silvia A, Fabio Marcela A. A review on fractional differential equations and a numerical method to solve some boundary value problems. In: IntechOpen 2019.
10. Devi Amita, Kumar Anoop, Baleanu Dumitru, Khan Aziz. On stability analysis and existence of positive solutions for a general non-linear fractional differential equations. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2020;2020(1):1–16.
11. Bedi Pallavi, Kumar Anoop, Abdeljawad Thabet, Khan Aziz. S-asymptotically ω -periodic mild solutions and stability analysis of Hilfer fractional evolution equations. *Evolution Equations & Control Theory*. 2019;:0.
12. Khan Aziz, Gómez-Aguilar JF, Abdeljawad Thabet, Khan Hasib. Stability and numerical simulation of a fractional order plant-nectar-pollinator model. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*. 2020;59(1):49–59.
13. Bedi Pallavi, Kumar Anoop, Abdeljawad Thabet, Khan Aziz. Existence of mild solutions for impulsive neutral Hilfer fractional evolution equations. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2020;2020:1–16.
14. Muslim Malik, Kumar Avadhesh. Controllability of fractional differential equation of order $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ with non-instantaneous impulses. *Asian Journal of Control*. 2018;20(2):935–942.
15. Sakthivel Rathinasamy, Ren Yong, Mahmudov Nazim I. On the approximate controllability of semilinear fractional differential systems. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*. 2011;62(3):1451–1459.
16. Debbouche Amar, Baleanu Dumitru. Controllability of fractional evolution nonlocal impulsive quasilinear delay integro-differential systems. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*. 2011;62(3):1442–1450.
17. Wang JinRong, Feckan Michal, Zhou Yong. Controllability of Sobolev type fractional evolution systems. *Dynamics of Partial Differential Equations*. 2014;11(1):71–87.
18. Ulam Stanislaw M. A collection of mathematical problems. *New York*. 1960;29.
19. Hyers Donald H. On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1941;27(4):222.
20. Rassias John M. Solution of a problem of Ulam. *Journal of Approximation Theory*. 1989;57(3):268–273.
21. Liu Hui, Li Yongjin. Hyers–Ulam stability of linear fractional differential equations with variable coefficients. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2020;2020(1):1–10.
22. Khan Aziz, Gómez-Aguilar JF, Khan Tahir Saeed, Khan Hasib. Stability analysis and numerical solutions of fractional order HIV/AIDS model. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*. 2019;122:119–128.
23. Goufo Emile Franc Doungmo, Toudjeu Ignace Tchanguou. Analysis of recent fractional evolution equations and applications. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*. 2019;126:337–350.
24. Almeida Ricardo. A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*. 2017;44:460–481.

25. Sousa J Vanterler da C, Oliveira E Capelas. On the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*. 2018;60:72–91.
26. Suechoei Apassara, Ngiamsunthorn Parinya Sa. Existence uniqueness and stability of mild solutions for semilinear ψ -Caputo fractional evolution equations. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2020;2020(1):1–28.
27. Borisut Piyachat, Kumam Poom, Ahmed Idris, Jirakitpuwapat Wachirapong. Existence and uniqueness for ψ -Hilfer fractional differential equation with nonlocal multi-point condition. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*. 2020;.
28. Jarad Fahd, Abdeljawad Thabet. Generalized fractional derivatives and Laplace transform. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-S*. 2020;13(3):709.
29. Oliveira DS, Oliveira E Capelas. Hilfer–Katugampola fractional derivatives. *Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2018;37(3):3672–3690.
30. Banaś Józef. On measures of noncompactness in Banach spaces. *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*. 1980;21(1):131–143.
31. Li Y. The positive solutions of abstract semilinear evolution equations and their applications. *ACTA MATHEMATICA SINICA-CHINESE EDITION*-. 1996;39:666–672.
32. Guo Dajun, Lakshmikantham Vangipuram, Liu Xinzhi. *Nonlinear integral equations in abstract spaces*. Springer Science & Business Media; 2013.
33. Heinz H-P. On the behaviour of measures of noncompactness with respect to differentiation and integration of vector-value functions. *Nonlinear Analysis*. 1983;7(12):1351–1371.
34. Dugundji James, others . Fixed point theory. 1982;.
35. Liang Jin, Xiao Ti-Jun. Abstract degenerate Cauchy problems in locally convex spaces. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*. 2001;259(2):398–412.
36. Lightbourne III James H, Rankin III Samuel M. A partial functional differential equation of Sobolev type. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 1983;93(2):328–337.
37. Devi Amita, Kumar Anoop, Abdeljawad Thabet, Khan Aziz. Existence and stability analysis of solutions for fractional Langevin equation with nonlocal integral and anti-periodic type boundary conditions. *Fractals*. 2020;.
38. Debbouche Amar, Torres Delfim FM. Sobolev type fractional dynamic equations and optimal multi-integral controls with fractional nonlocal conditions. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*. 2015;18(1):95–121.
39. Liu Lishan, Guo Fei, Wu Congxin, Wu Yonghong. Existence theorems of global solutions for nonlinear Volterra type integral equations in Banach spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 2005;309(2):638–649.

