

1 **A Culture-independent Approach to Understanding the Role of Soil Fungal**
2 **Communities in *Bromus tectorum* Stand Failure**

3
4 Nathan J. Ricks¹, Taryn Williamson¹, Susan E. Meyer², John M. Chaston¹, Craig E. Coleman¹

5 ¹Department of Plant and Wildlife Sciences, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, 84602

6 ²US Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station, Shrub Science Lab, Provo, UT 84606

7
8 Keywords: *Bromus tectorum*, metagenomics, stand failure, cheatgrass, downy brome

Originality-Significance Statement

Stand failure or die-off is a phenomenon that has been observed in cheatgrass stands throughout the western United States. To provide support for the hypothesis that fungal pathogens are responsible for cheatgrass stand failure, we have identified communities of ascomycete fungi in the soil associated with cheatgrass infestations. We compare the composition of soil communities associated with stands that have experienced a die-off with the soil communities in stands where a recorded die-off has not occurred. The knowledge gained may aid efforts to slow the spread of cheatgrass in invaded habitats where cheatgrass continues to disrupt native ecosystems and to provide fine fuel for frequent rangeland wildfires.

Summary

Bromus tectorum (cheatgrass) is an invasive annual grass that has colonized large portions of the Intermountain Western United States. Cheatgrass stand failures have been observed throughout the invaded region, the cause of which may be related to the presence of several species of pathogenic fungi in the soil or surface litter. In this metagenomic study we compared the fungal communities between sites that have and have not experienced stand failure. Samples were taken from the soil and surface litter near Winnemucca, Nevada and in Skull Valley, Utah. Our results show distinct fungal communities associated with stand failure based on both geography and sample type. In both the Winnemucca and Skull Valley surface litter, there was an elevated abundance of the endophyte *Ramimonilia apicalis* in samples that had experienced a stand failure. Winnemucca surface litter stand failure samples had increased abundance of a potential pathogen in the genus *Comoclathris*. Skull Valley surface litter stand failure samples had increased abundance of the known cheatgrass pathogen *Clarireedia capillus-albis* while the soils had increased abundance of potential pathogens in the genera *Olpidium* and *Monosporascus*.

INTRODUCTION

Cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) is an invasive annual grass that has colonized large portions of Intermountain Western North America. Native grass stands depleted by overgrazing have been replaced by this invader (Mack 1981). Originating in Eurasia, cheatgrass has spread quickly in the dry climate found in the Intermountain West. Cheatgrass will often establish itself in the open spaces between native plants, (Ziska *et al.* 2005) where it provides a flammable layer of plant litter in midsummer that drastically increases the frequency and intensity of rangeland wildfires (Brooks *et al.* 2004). Historically, in sagebrush ecosystems, fire intervals ranged between 60 and 110 years; however, once an area is invaded by cheatgrass, increased fuel loads shorten the fire interval to 3-5 years (Whisenant 1990). Following a burn, enough cheatgrass seeds survive that in the following years cheatgrass comes to dominate the community (Beckstead *et al.* 2011). As cheatgrass spreads, more landscapes are converted to cheatgrass monoculture in areas that were once dominated by sagebrush (Ziska *et al.* 2005). By accelerating the fire cycle, and displacing native plants, the invasion of cheatgrass represents a major threat to the biological diversity in the regions it invades (D'Antonio *et al.* 1992).

Stand failure is a common but poorly understood naturally occurring phenomenon in cheatgrass monocultures. Also known as ‘die-off’, stand failure occurs when complete mortality of both germinating seeds and preemergent seedlings prevents all seedling establishment. When stand failures occur, large areas previously occupied by a cheatgrass monoculture become largely empty of any visible vegetation. Stand failures represent a natural form of cheatgrass control and can provide an opportunity for native plant restoration (Meyer *et al.* 2014). For example, when native grass seeds were planted in a stand failure area, native grasses were able to outcompete cheatgrass in the following years (Baughman *et al.* 2016). Hypotheses for the occurrence of stand failures have ranged from abiotic factors such as weather, to a number of different fungal agents such as *Microdochium nivale* and

58 *Ustilago bullata* (Piemeisel 1938; Klemmedson *et al.* 1964; Meyer *et al.* 2010). Several fungal species
59 have been identified that act as pathogens towards cheatgrass, including *Pyrenophora semeniperda*,
60 *Epicoccum nigrum*, an undescribed species of *Fusarium* belonging to the *Tricinctum* group (*Fusarium*
61 Link sp. n., FTSG) and *Clarireedia capillus-albis*, a newly described species responsible for so called
62 bleach blonde syndrome (Meyer *et al.* 2016). *Pyrenophora semeniperda*, *E. nigrum* and FTSG are
63 pathogens that kill seeds in the seed bank and are potential stand failure causal agents (Beckstead *et al.*
64 2007; Stewart *et al.* 2009; Meyer *et al.* 2016). Nevertheless, Baughman and Meyer (Baughman *et al.*
65 2013) suggested that *P. semeniperda* may not be a direct cause of stand failure because of its inability
66 to kill rapidly germinating seeds. They concluded that it could play a role in the rate of post-stand
67 failure recovery through its impact on dormant seeds in the carry-over seed bank. Both FTSG and *E.*
68 *nigrum* can kill rapidly germinating, nondormant seeds, especially under conditions of low water
69 potential, and have been demonstrated to significantly reduce stand emergence under field conditions
70 (S. Meyer, unpublished data). *Clarireedia capillus-albis* is a crown-infecting pathogen that leaves
71 cheatgrass plants stunted and straw-colored, with inflorescences that fail to mature. When disease
72 reaches epidemic levels in stands, it can cause the plants to collapse en masse and form a mat of thick
73 dense litter. As *C. capillus-albis* does not impact seeds or seedling emergence, if it is a causal agent in
74 stand failure, its effects must be indirect. It is possible that the dense litter left behind by the disease
75 could create an environment which promotes the attack of other pathogenic fungi (Meyer *et al.* 2016).

76 The ability of known fungal pathogens to cause cheatgrass mortality suggests they may play a role
77 in stand failure. Despite the work done on specific cheatgrass pathogens, the fungal community
78 associated with stand failures and with cheatgrass seed beds in general is poorly understood. The
79 objective of the present research was to use a metagenomic approach to understand the fungal
80 community structure in soils where cheatgrass dominates and where stand failures have occurred. Our
81 goal was to elucidate the causal agents of stand failures and the potentially complex interactions among
82 plant pathogens and non-pathogenic fungi that may influence their impact. We wished to test the

83 hypothesis that whatever causes stand failure persists in the soil and is manifested as a difference in
84 fungal community composition between stand failure and non-stand failure sites. We chose sampling
85 sites in Skull Valley, Utah and near Winnemucca, Nevada based on modeling using remote sensing
86 technology (Weisberg *et al.* 2017). We reasoned that community differences common to the Utah and
87 Nevada study areas, separated by hundreds of miles, would reflect shared, biologically important
88 differences between stand failure and non-stand failure sites. Our strategy was to combine PacBio
89 long-read sequencing of the ITS1 and 2 region for maximizing taxonomic identification capability with
90 high-yield Illumina sequencing of the ITS1 region alone for maximizing depth of coverage.

91

92

RESULTS

93 *Soil Fungal Communities Vary with Soil Type, Geographic Location, and History of Stand Failure*

94 We used a two-step approach to sequencing the fungal DNA in the sampled soils. First, we created
95 a reference library of sequences in the samples by sequencing an amplicon of the fungal ITS1 and ITS2
96 regions as a single read using PacBio sequencing technology. Ten pools of samples from the surface
97 litter and ten pools of samples from the soil were generated from 10 of the 19 sampling locations
98 indicated in Table S1. Sequencing of the ITS amplicons from these pools yielded 123,664 reads (per
99 pool mean 6,182 +/- 1,440 reads; median 6,319 reads) and 614 fungal operational taxonomic units
100 (OTUs). Using the UNITE database, taxonomic assignments were made to the species level for 28% of
101 OTUs (Table S2). In the second step, we sequenced the ITS1 amplicons for each soil sample
102 individually on the Illumina HiSeq platform yielding 13,000,017 reads (per pool mean 28,509 +/-
103 67,274 reads; median 8,677 reads). After quality filtering, the reads were assigned to a total of 525
104 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). Use of the ITS1/2 reference set increased assignment of reads at
105 the species level from 37.99% to 43.82% (Table S2). Rarefaction curves suggested adequate saturation
106 of the sampling (Fig. S1). Of all ASVs, 84% were assigned to just 30 taxonomic groups, primarily from

107 the Ascomycota and Basidiomycota (Table S3), and just 3 ASVs were ‘core’, or present in all rarefied
108 samples (Table S4). Some ASVs were also detected that corresponded to *Clarireedia capillus-albis*,
109 FTSG, *Epicoccum nigrum*, *Pyrenophora seminiperda*, *Ustilago bullata*, and *Microdochium* sp., all of
110 which are known pathogens of cheatgrass (Piemeisel 1938; Klemmedson and Smith 1964; Meyer et al
111 2016). Overall, the taxa identified by the analysis follow expected norms and included candidate
112 species that could potentially have been responsible for cheatgrass stand failures in the affected areas.

113

114 PERMANOVA and principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) were used to define the factors that
115 contributed to variation in the sampling site fungal communities (Fig. 1). Fungal microbiota
116 composition varied significantly with each of sample type (soil or surface litter), location (Skull Valley,
117 UT, USA or Winnemucca, NV, USA), and history of stand failure (yes or no) according to both of the
118 weighted and unweighted Unifrac distance metrics examined (Table 1). Because sample type and
119 location were each significant covariates in the analysis the data were split into four sampling groups to
120 focus on the variation in fungal communities arising from stand failure history (Table 2). These
121 individual analyses showed significant differences in fungal community composition of surface litter
122 with stand failure in both Skull Valley and Winnemucca, except for the Skull Valley samples when
123 analyzed by weighted Unifrac distance. In contrast, there were no significant differences in fungal
124 composition of soil samples from either Winnemucca or Skull Valley by either metric. Together, these
125 results suggest that in areas that experienced a cheatgrass stand failure, the fungal communities of
126 surface litter are more strongly impacted by the causal conditions than are the soil communities.

127

128 Analysis of composition of microbiomes (ANCOM) revealed specific ASVs that varied in
129 abundance with stand failure in surface litter at each site (Table 3). Among these, just two ASVs were
130 more abundant in stand failure sites versus no-stand failure litter samples at both Winnemucca and
131 Skull Valley: one assigned to the class *Tremellomycetes* and another to the species *Ramimonilia*

132 *apicalis*. None of the known cheatgrass pathogens varied significantly between stand failure and non-
133 stand failure sites. We also used ANCOM to identify fungal ASVs that varied with sample type and
134 location, independent of stand failure, revealing 103 and 30 ASVs that varied significantly with
135 location (Table S5), and sample type (Table S6), respectively. These included FTSG having a greater
136 abundance in soils, and Winnemucca having a greater abundance of *C. capillus-albis*.

137
138 *α -Diversity varies minimally with sample type, but not location or stand failure history*

139 Faith and Shannon diversity metrics were used to test for differences in α -diversity in the fungal
140 communities within year, sample type, location, and history of stand failure (Figs. S2 and S3). The soil
141 samples had larger Faith and Shannon diversity index values than surface litter, indicating a greater
142 diversity of fungi present in the soil compared to the surface litter. Also, Winnemucca samples had a
143 higher Shannon diversity values than did samples from Skull Valley. All other differences, including
144 with history of stand failure, were non-significant. Together, these data reveal greater taxonomic
145 diversity in soil versus surface litter samples, and greater diversity in the Winnemucca samples than
146 Skull Valley.

147
148 *Long-term signal in fungal community composition*

149 One hypothetical expectation is that there is a linear change in the abundance of specific, possibly
150 causal, fungal species with time from stand failure. If so, the fungal communities at sites with recent
151 versus distant stand failures might be expected to be very different in composition. We tested if this
152 was the case in our data by examining the difference between each stand failure site, relative to the
153 control no stand failure sites, with time. We used weighted Unifrac distances for this analysis (Fig. S4).
154 Weighted unifrac distances of Surface litter, but not soil, samples from both Skull Valley and
155 Winnemucca varied significantly over time. At Winnemucca, only the 2015 site differed in distance to

156 the non-stand failure sites, whereas at Skull Valley, all years that had experienced a stand failure
157 differed from the non-stand failure sites.

158 An alternative hypothesis to linear change with time is that the fungal community is permanently
159 changed following stand failure. If this were the case, all sites that experienced a stand failure would be
160 more closely related to each other than to the sites that had never experienced a stand failure. To test
161 this hypothesis, we compared the Unifrac distances of samples from each year to all other samples,
162 binned into stand-failure or non-stand-failure groups (Fig. S5). Unweighted unifrac distances in Skull
163 Valley, but not Winemucca, sites consistently showed that years affected by a stand failure were more
164 similar to other stand failure sites than to sites that had not experienced a stand failure. Therefore, stand
165 failure can but does not necessarily reshape the fungal composition of both the soil and surface litter in
166 ways that are different from the original composition for at least 25 years after the die-off event.

167

168 DISCUSSION

169 Cheatgrass seed banks contain a wide variety of fungal species. Despite there being a large number
170 of ASVs present, the thirty most abundant taxa comprised 84% of all sequence reads. We conclude that
171 these 30 ASVs represent the numerically abundant cheatgrass seed bed fungal community. While we
172 did not collect any functional information on the taxa detected, we can infer functions for some groups.
173 For example, *Keissleriella*, *Preussia*, *Sparticola* and *Didymosphaeriaceae* species most likely act as
174 saprophytes (Cannon *et al.* 2007). Others, such as *C. capillus-albis* and *Olpidium brassicae* are known
175 plant pathogens (Tewari *et al.* 1983; Meyer *et al.* 2016). There are also a large percentage of ASVs,
176 such as *Vishniacozyma globispora*, *Cryptococcus*, *Naganishia*, and *Holtermanniella takashimae* within
177 the *Tremellomycetes* class of Basidiomycetes. Many fungi in this class are yeasts that act as parasites
178 towards other fungi. It is unknown why these species are found so abundantly in cheatgrass
179 communities, but it may be that the cheatgrass environment is conducive to their growth.

180

181 *Effects of Stand Failure on Fungal Community*

182 The significant interaction between stand failure history and location is supported by the finding
183 that different taxa are responsible for the shifts in the fungal microbiota between Skull Valley and
184 Winnemucca. While it is premature to conclude from the PERMANOVA results that the causal agent of
185 stand failures is found in the surface litter, it does suggest that there are major community differences
186 between stand failure and non-stand failure sites found in the surface litter that are not seen in the soil.
187

188 *Recovery of Fungal community*

189 We detected a significant effect of years since stand failure on the fungal surface litter communities
190 in both locations in our study, with one location showing a partial shift towards the non-die-off
191 community (Winnemucca, NV), and the other displaying long-term divergence from samples collected
192 in areas that never experienced a die-off (Skull Valley, UT) (Fig S4). Because this effect was detected
193 using weighted, but not un-weighted Unifrac distances, this implies there are significant differences in
194 the abundances of fungal species of sites affected by stand failure compared to those not affected by
195 stand failure (Fig. S4). The community effects appear to be limited to the surface litter and more
196 prevalent in Skull Valley, though the reasons for this are unknown and may be related to the soil
197 composition or chemistry, the environment, elevation, or other uncharacterized factors.

198 In at least some sites that are affected by a stand failure, the fungal community shown changes that
199 persist for long periods of time (at least 28 years) (Fig. S5). As these results were seen in the
200 unweighted, but not weighted distances, they may affect the presence, but not abundance, of key
201 community members.

202

203 More abundant ASVs at stand failure sites could be implicated as causal agents of stand failure; or
204 alternatively, as organisms whose growth was promoted by stand failure. Other interpretations are that
205 other fungi differentially abundant in the different locations were separate and independent causes of
206 stand failure; or that fungal communities surveyed in years after stand failure do not directly reflect the
207 causes of stand failure. Despite this, our data still suggest that cheatgrass stand failure has long-term
208 effects on the fungal community of surface litter up to 28 years after a stand failure.

209

210 *Fungi with Increased Abundances*

211 A shared finding between the two geographic areas is that *Ramimonilia apicalis* and an
212 unidentified fungus belonging to the class *Tremellomycetes* are more abundant at stand failure sites in
213 both study locations. The environmental consequences of *Ramimonilia apicalis* presence are unknown,
214 but it has been identified previously as a rock inhabiting-fungus in Spain (Egidi *et al.* 2014), in the
215 brain tissue of Alzheimer patients (Alonso *et al.* 2017), and as an endophyte in cheatgrass communities
216 (Ricks *et al.* 2019). Endophytes live within plants, mostly without causing disease; however, with
217 varying environmental conditions, endophytes can change to pathogens (Jia *et al.* 2016; Rai *et al.*
218 2016), and we cannot rule out that environmental cues could trigger *R. apicalis* to act as a pathogen
219 towards cheatgrass. Conversely, we favor an explanation where the *Tremellomycetes* ASV grows
220 opportunistically under stand failure conditions. There is little evidence of fungi of this class being
221 pathogenic towards any type of plant, although they can be pathogenic towards animals and other fungi
222 (van der Klei *et al.* 2011). Therefore, it seems more likely to us that the fungus belonging to the
223 *Tremellomycetes* interacts with the stand-failure fungal community in a way that allows it to thrive,
224 although the mechanisms for such actions are currently unknown.

225

226 *Clarireedia capillus-albis* is the only known cheatgrass pathogen (Meyer *et al.* 2016) that
227 displayed greater abundance in stand failure versus no-stand failure sites in our study (Fig. 2). These
228 data suggests it may have had a role in stand failure in at least two distinct locations in the
229 Intermountain west. The genus *Clarireedia* contains pathogens responsible for dollar spot disease in
230 turf grasses (Salgado-Salazar *et al.* 2018), as well as *C. capillus-albis*, a known cheatgrass pathogen.
231 While the *Clarireedia* ASV detected in the soil microbiome may not be identical to *C. capillus-albis*, it
232 could be a closely related species that functions pathogenically in a similar manner.

233 One limitation of our design is that by the time we had sampled each of our post-stand failure soils,
234 cheatgrass was growing abundantly in all locations. Stand failure is temporary, after which cheatgrass
235 communities recover and quickly fill the empty space. This usually rapid re-colonization means that
236 there are few or no areas of sustained cheatgrass stand failure. It may also mean that we should not
237 have expected to find fungal pathogens responsible for the stand failure in these areas, unless the
238 recovery growth of cheatgrass is of pathogen-resistant cheatgrass lineages. An interesting idea for
239 future study would be to collect samples from areas experiencing a stand failure in real time, and test if
240 specific pathogens are common to these areas. Such additional studies could find the use of our PacBio
241 reference, or the description of common fungal organisms across a variety of conditions and soil types,
242 a useful benchmark comparison.

243

244 *Conclusions*

245 Overall, this study gives a greater understanding of the fungal dynamics within cheatgrass soils
246 and surface litter. Fungi found commonly in these environments have been identified. Our analysis
247 confirmed key differences in the overall community composition, as well as the abundance of
248 individual members of the fungal community, in areas that did or did not experience cheatgrass stand
249 failure. Most differences with stand failure were concentrated in the surface litter and were geography-
250 specific. The increased abundance of *Ramimonilia apicalis* in the surface litter of both Skull Valley and

251 Winnemucca was a shared difference between locations. Additionally, the abundance of fungal
252 pathogens such as *Olpidium* sp., *Monosporascus* sp., and *Comoclathris* sp., warrants further
253 investigation to determine if these are causal agents of stand failure. Together, these findings provide
254 insight in the fungal community of a largely unstudied system.

255

256 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

257 *Collection of Environmental Samples*

258 A remote sensing method, with access to the Landsat archive ([https://www.usgs.gov/land-](https://www.usgs.gov/land-resources/nli/landsat)
259 [resources/nli/landsat](https://www.usgs.gov/land-resources/nli/landsat)), was used to find locations near Winnemucca, Nevada and within Skull Valley,
260 Utah that have experienced stand-failure in the past 30 years. (Weisberg *et al.* 2017). A total of 19 sites
261 were identified, 10 near Winnemucca and 9 in Skull Valley, based on the year when a stand-failure last
262 occurred (Table S1). The year of the most recent stand failure at each of these sites ranged from 1990 to
263 2015, with two sampling sites at each location where no stand failure has been detected since Landsat
264 data became available. At each site 9 samples of surface litter and soil were collected at randomly
265 selected points along each of four 10-meter transects. Soil samples were collected by pressing a tin can
266 6 cm diameter x 2.5 cm height into the soil until flush with the surface, then lifting the can and soil out
267 with a small trowel and storing in a small paper sack. The surface litter was removed and placed in a
268 separate paper sack prior to soil sample removal. For both litter and soil, 3 pools of 3 samples each
269 were created for each transect, yielding a total of 12 soil and 12 litter pools at each site. Soil and
270 surface litter pools were dried at room temperature for two weeks and homogenized separately using a
271 coffee grinder. DNA was extracted from 100 g of each homogenized pool using a Quick-DNA
272 Fecal/Soil Microbe Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA).

273

274 *Preparation of the Long-Read Reference Library*

275 Of the 19 sites where samples were collected, 12 were chosen to provide DNA sequence
276 information for a taxonomic reference library by producing 20 super-pools (Table S1). Soil DNA and
277 surface litter DNA super-pools for each of the 8 sites were created by combining equal amounts of
278 DNA extracted from the 12 individual pools described in the previous section. For the two sites where
279 no stand failure has been detected, single soil and litter pools were made from all samples collected at
280 each location. Each of the 20 DNA super-pools was used to create an individual DNA sequencing
281 library by PCR amplifying the ITS45 region using AccuPrime Pfx DNA polymerase (Invitrogen,
282 Carlsbad, CA) with ITS4 and ITS5 primers (White *et al.* 1990). For library preparation and sample
283 identification, the primers were modified by adding 20 unique PacBio barcode tails (Table S7). The
284 following conditions were used for PCR: initial denaturation at 95 C for three minutes, 25 cycles of
285 denaturation (95 C for 30 s), annealing (52 C 30 s), and extension (72 C 1 m) and a final extension step
286 at 72 C for 5 minutes. The PCR products were cleaned using a Zymo DNA Clean and Concentrator kit
287 (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). The 20 libraries were submitted to the BYU DNA Sequencing Center
288 (Provo, UT) for sequencing on a PacBio Sequel platform (Menlo Park, CA) using a standard Amplicon
289 protocol with SMRTbell adapters. Demultiplexed sequences from read files were imported into a
290 single-end QIIME2 artifact. Chimeric sequences were removed, sequences were dereplicated, and
291 OTUs were identified at 97% similarity using vsearch (Rognes *et al.* 2016). Taxonomy was assigned
292 using the QIIME Naive Bayes classifier (Bokulich *et al.* 2018) and the UNITE fungal database
293 (Nilsson *et al.* 2018) as a reference. The sequences and their taxonomic assignments were combined
294 with a downloaded version of the UNITE fungal database to use for the taxonomic assignment of
295 Illumina sequences as described below. The PacBio reads are publicly available in the Short Read
296 Archive of GenBank under project PRJNA68186.

297

298 *Short-Read Sequencing*

299 All individual samples were used to prepare the Illumina short-read library. With two types of
300 samples per site (surface litter and soil), 12 replicates in each sample type and 19 sites, there were a
301 total of 456 samples. Using a two-step PCR strategy (Cruaud *et al.* 2017), the ITS1 region of the fungal
302 genome was amplified, followed by barcoding and multiplexing. AccuPrime Pfx DNA polymerase was
303 used for all amplifications. In the first step, the ITS1 region was amplified using primers ITS2-KYO2
304 and ITS1-F_KYO1 (Toju *et al.* 2012) and the following parameters: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3
305 minutes, followed by 25 cycles consisting of denaturation (95°C for 30 s), annealing (52°C 30 s), and
306 extension (72°C 1 min) and a final extension step at 72°C for 5 minutes. In the second PCR step
307 barcodes were added to the amplified region (Tables S8 and S9) using parameters identical to the first
308 step except that there were 12 cycles rather than 25, and the annealing temperature was 55°C instead of
309 52°C. Samples were pooled and submitted to the BYU sequencing center for 2 x 250 sequencing on an
310 Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform using custom sequencing primers ITS2-KYO2 and ITS1-F_KYO1
311 primers (Toju *et al.* 2012). After sequencing, reads were automatically demultiplexed and returned as
312 paired-end reads. The Illumina reads are publicly available in the Short Read Archive of GenBank
313 under project PRJNA68186.

314 The sequenced reads were imported into QIIME2 where the paired-end reads were joined, chimeric
315 sequences were removed, sequences were dereplicated and ASVs were called using the DADA2
316 pipeline (Callahan *et al.* 2016). Using the QIIME2 Naive Bayes classifier (Bokulich *et al.* 2018), a
317 combined database of the previous PacBio runs and the UNITE database (Nilsson *et al.* 2018), each
318 ASV was assigned a taxonomic identity. Sequences that were not found in at least 12 samples were
319 removed. Samples were rarefied to 10,000 reads per sample, to maximize reads per sample and
320 minimize sample loss (Fig. S1). After rarefying the data, the rarefied tables were subsetted individually
321 before performing analyses. The groups were: 1) all samples; 2) soil samples from Skull Valley; 3)

322 surface litter samples from Skull Valley; 4) soil samples from Winnemucca; and 5) surface litter
323 samples from Winnemucca.

324

325 *Analysis of the Long and Short Read Sequence Data*

326 Using the ASV table created from the Illumina sequencing, weighted and unweighted Unifrac
327 distance matrices were calculated in QIIME2 (Caporaso *et al.* 2010), and used in Principal Coordinate
328 Analysis (PCoA) plots and for PERMANOVA. PERMANOVA was performed using the R vegan
329 package (Oksanen *et al.* 2019). Using Analysis of Composition of Microbiomes (ANCOM) (Mandal *et*
330 *al.* 2015), ASV tables from each the four primary sample groups were tested for differences in the
331 composition of microbiomes between sample treatments. To find differences in fungal abundances of
332 previously hypothesized causes of stand failure (Meyer *et al.* 2016) that may have been missed due to
333 ANCOM's multiple comparison correction we performed Wilcoxon signed rank tests in R. The Faith
334 phylogenetic diversity (Faith 1992) and Shannon diversity (Pielou 1966), were calculated in QIIME2.

335

336 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

337 We are grateful for the assistance of the following individuals involved in collecting soil samples
338 for this study: Joseph Brehm, Alexa Jensen, Cassady Harris and Kody Rominger. Funding for this
339 research was through the USDA Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station agreement no. 2016-
340 JV-11221632-123.

341

- 343 Alonso, R., Pisa, D., Aguado, B., and Carrasco, L. (2017) Identification of fungal species in brain
 344 tissue from alzheimer's disease by next-generation sequencing. J Alzheimers Dis 58:55-67.
- 345 Baughman, O.W., and Meyer, S.E. (2013) Is *Pyrenophora semeniperda* the cause of downy brome
 346 (*Bromus tectorum*) die-offs? Invasive Palnt Sci Manag 6:105-111.
- 347 Baughman, O.W., Meyer, S.E., Aanderud, Z.T., and Leger, E.A. (2016) Cheatgrass die-offs as an
 348 opportunity for restoration in the Great Basin, USA: Will local or commercial native plants
 349 succeed where exotic invaders fail? J Arid Environ 124:193-204.
- 350 Beckstead, J., Meyer, S.E., Molder, C.J., and Smith, C. (2007) A Race for survival:Can *Bromus*
 351 *tectorum* seeds escape *Pyrenophora semeniperda*-caused mortality by germinating quickly?
 352 Ann Bot 99:907-914.
- 353 Beckstead, J., Street, L.E., Meyer, S.E. and Allen, P.S. (2011) Fire effects on the cheatgrass seed bank
 354 pathogen *Pyrenophora semeniperda*. Rangel Ecol Manag 64:148-157.
- 355 Bokulich, N.A., Kaehler, B.D., Rideout, J.R., Dillon, M., Bolyen, E., Knight, R., *et al.* (2018)
 356 Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with QIIME 2's q2-
 357 feature-classifier plugin. Microbiome 6:90.
- 358 Brooks, M.L., D'antonio, C.M., Richardson, D.M., Grace, J.B., Keeley, J.E., DiTomaso, *et al.* (2004)
 359 Effects of invasive alien plants on fire regimes. BioScience 54:677-688.
- 360 Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., and Holmes, S.P. (2016)
 361 DADA2:High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13:581.
- 362 Cannon, P.F., and Kirk, P.M. (2007) Fungal families of the world. Oxfordshire, UK: CAB International.

363 Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., *et al.*
364 (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods*
365 7:335-336.

366 Cruaud, P., Rasplus, J.-Y., Rodriguez, L.J., and Cruaud, A. (2017) High-throughput sequencing of
367 multiple amplicons for barcoding and integrative taxonomy. *Sci Rep* 7:41948.

368 D'Antonio, C.M., and Vitousek, P.M. (1992) Biological invasions by exotic grasses, the grass/fire
369 cycle, and global change. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 23:63-87.

370 Egidì, E., De Hoog, G., Isola, D., Onofri, S., Quaedvlieg, W., De Vries, M., *et al.* (2014) Phylogeny and
371 taxonomy of meristematic rock inhabiting black fungi in the *Dothideomycetes* based on multi-
372 locus phylogenies. *Fungal Divers.* 65:127-165.

373 Faith, D.P. (1992) Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biol Conserv* 61:1-10.

374 Jia, M., Chen, L., Xin, H.-L., Zheng, C.-J., Rahman, K., Han, T., and Qin, L.-P. (2016) A friendly
375 relationship between endophytic fungi and medicinal plants: a systematic review. *Front*
376 *Microbiol* 7:906.

377 Klemmedson, J.O., and Smith, J.G. (1964) Cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum* L.). *Bot Rev* 30:226-262.

378 Mack, R.N. (1981) Invasion of *Bromus tectorum* L. into western North America: An ecological
379 chronicle. *Agro-Ecosystems* 7:145-165.

380 Mandal, S., Van Treuren, W., White, R.A., Eggesbø, M., Knight, R., and Peddada, S.D. (2015) Analysis
381 of composition of microbiomes: a novel method for studying microbial composition. *Microb*
382 *Ecol Health Dis* 26:27663.

383 Meyer, S.E., Beckstead, J., and Pearce, J. (2016) Community ecology of fungal pathogens on *Bromus*
384 *tectorum*. In *Exotic Brome-Grasses in Arid and Semiarid Ecosystems of the Western US: Causes, Consequences, and Management Implications*. Germino, M.J., Chambers, J.C. and Brown, C.S. (eds). New York City: Springer International Publishing, pp. 193-223.

387 Meyer, S.E., Franke, J.L., Baughman, O.W., Beckstead, J., and Geary, B. (2014) Does *Fusarium*-
388 caused seed mortality contribute to *Bromus tectorum* stand failure in the Great Basin? Weed
389 Res 54:511-519.

390 Meyer, S.E., Nelson, D.L., Clement, S. and Ramakrishnan, A. (2010) Ecological genetics of the
391 *Bromus tectorum* (Poaceae)–*Ustilago bullata* (Ustilaginaceae) pathosystem: A role for
392 frequency–dependent selection? Am J Bot 97:1304-1312.

393 Nilsson, R.H., Larsson, K.-H., Taylor, A.F., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Jeppesen, T.S., Schigel, D., *et al.*
394 (2018) The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi:handling dark taxa and
395 parallel taxonomic classifications. Nucleic Acids Res 47.

396 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., *et al.* (2019) Vegan:
397 Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-5.

398 Pielou, E.C. (1966) Shannon's formula as a measure of specific diversity:its use and misuse. Am Nat
399 100:463-465.

400 Piemeisel, R.L. (1938) Changes in weedy plant cover on cleared sagebrush land and their probable
401 causes. US Department of Agriculture Technical Bulletin No. 654.

402 Rai, M., and Agarkar, G. (2016) Plant–fungal interactions:what triggers the fungi to switch among
403 lifestyles? Crit Rev Microbiol 42:428-438.

404 Ricks, K.D., and Koide, R.T. (2019) Biotic filtering of endophytic fungal communities in *Bromus*
405 *tectorum*. Oecologia:1-11.

406 Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., and Mahé, F. (2016) VSEARCH: A versatile open
407 source tool for metagenomics. PeerJ 4:e2584.

408 Salgado-Salazar, C., Beirn, L.A., Ismaiel, A., Boehm, M.J., Carbone, I., Putman, A.I., *et al.* (2018)
409 *Clarireedia*: A new fungal genus comprising four pathogenic species responsible for dollar spot
410 disease of turfgrass. Fungal Biol 122:761-773.

411 Stewart, T., Allen, P., and Meyer, S.E. (2009) First report of *Pyrenophora seminiperda* in Turkey and
 412 Greece. Plant Disease 93:1351-1351.

413 Tewari, J., and Bains, P. (1983) Fungi associated with the roots of clover in Alberta. 1. *Olpidium*
 414 *brassicae* and *Ligniera* sp. Canadian Plant Disease Survey 63:35-37.

415 Toju, H., Tanabe, A.S., Yamamoto, S., and Sato, H. (2012) High-Coverage ITS primers for the DNA-
 416 based identification of Ascomycetes and Basidiomycetes in environmental samples. PLoS One
 417 7:e40863.

418 van der Klei, I., Veenhuis, M., Brul, S., Klis, F.M., De Groot, P.W., Müller, W.H., *et al.* (2011)
 419 Cytology, cell walls and septa: A summary of yeast cell biology from a phylogenetic
 420 perspective. In The Yeasts, 5th edn. Kurtzman, C.P., Fell, J.W., and Boekhout, T. (eds).
 421 Amsterdam: Elsevier. pp. 111-128.

422 Weisberg, P.J., Dilts, T.E., Baughman, O.W., Meyer, S.E., Leger, E.A., Van Gunst, K.J., and Cleeves, L.
 423 (2017) Development of remote sensing indicators for mapping episodic die-off of an invasive
 424 annual grass (*Bromus tectorum*) from the Landsat Archive. Ecol Indic 79:173-181.

425 Whisenant, S.G. (1990) Changing fire frequencies on Idaho's Snake River plains: Ecological and
 426 management implications. In Proceedings - Symposium on Cheatgrass Invasion, Shrub Die-off,
 427 and Other Aspects of Shrub Biology and Management. General Technical Report INT-GTR-
 428 276. McArthur, E.D., Romney, E.M., Smith, S.D., and Tueller, P.D. (eds). Ogden:USDA Forest
 429 Service, pp. 4-10.

430 White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., and Taylor, J. (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal
 431 ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and
 432 Applications. Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J., White, T.J. (eds). Cambridge: Academic
 433 Press, pp. 315-322.

434 Ziska, L.H., Reeves, J.B., and Blank, B. (2005) The impact of recent increases in atmospheric CO₂ on
435 biomass production and vegetative retention of cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*): Implications for
436 fire disturbance. Glob Chang Biol 11:1325-1332.

Figure 1. Principal coordinate plots of ITS1 ASVs, including A) the first two principal coordinates and B) the two principal coordinates, 2 and 5, that best show visual separation of the samples by the two main variables.

Figure 2. Abundance of *Clariireedia capillus-albis*. Log abundance in samples that have (Yes) and have not (No) had a stand failure in the past.

TABLES

Table 1. PERMANOVA results. Df = degrees of freedom, SS = sum of squares, MS = mean of squares, F = F statistics, R^2 = R^2 value. P = p-value.

	Weighted						Unweighted					
	df	SS	MS	R	R^2	p	df	SS	MS	F	R^2	p
Sample Type	1	1.07	1.07	6.66	0.03	0.005	1	1	1	10.14	0.04	0.001
Location	1	1.33	1.33	8.3	0.04	0.001	1	1.35	1.35	13.73	0.06	0.001
Stand Failure History	1	0.38	0.38	2.36	0.01	0.054	1	0.3	0.3	3.02	0.01	0.001
Location*Stand Failure Histroy	1	0.55	0.55	3.45	0.01	0.014	1	0.27	0.27	2.76	0.01	0.001
Residuals	211	33.9	0.16		0.91		211	20.71	0.1		0.88	
Total	215	37.232			1		215	23.62			1	

446 *Table 2. Subsampled PERMANOVA results.*

	Weighted						Unweighted					
	df	SS	MS	F	R ²	p	df	SS	MS	F	R ²	p
	Winnemucca Soil											
Stand Failure History	1	0.19	1.88	1.16	0.02	0.28	1	0.14	0.14	1.54	0.03	0.052
Residuals	49	7.92	0.16		0.98		49	4.58	0.09		0.97	
Total	50	8.11			1		50	4.72			1	
	Winnemucca Surface Litter											
Stand Failure History	1	0.30	0.30	1.87	0.03	0.09	1	0.16	0.16	1.54	0.02	0.04
Residuals	64	10.36	0.16		0.97		64	6.51	0.10		0.98	
Total	65	10.66			1		65	6.67			1	
	Skull Valley Soil											
Stand Failure History	1	0.17	0.17	1.16	0.03	0.3	1	0.22	0.22	2.30	0.05	0.002
Residuals	40	5.74	0.14		0.97		40	3.86	0.10		0.95	
Total	41	5.91			1		41	4.09			1	
	Skull Valley Surface Litter											
Stand Failure History	1	0.63	0.63	3.74	0.06	0.018	1	0.24	0.24	2.52	0.04	0.001
Residuals	5	9.20	0.17		0.94		55	5.27	0.10		0.96	
Total	56	9.82			1		56	5.51			1	

447

448

449 *Table 3. ASVs identified by ANCOM. Shows the taxonomic identity and the relative abundance of ASV*
 450 *that differed between locations with stand failure. (+) abundance in locations with stand failure, (-)*
 451 *abundance in locations with no stand failure, (A) Ascomycota, (B) Basidiomycota, (D) Doth-*
 452 *ideomycetes, (L) Leotiomycetes, (O) Olpidiomyces, (Ol) Olpidiomyces, (T) Tremellomycetes, (S)*
 453 *Sordariomycetes, (SL) surface litter.*

	GenBank ID			Taxonomy					
		+	-	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species
Win-nemucca SL	<u>MK281667.1</u>	506.2	32.7	A	D	Botryosphaerales	Planistromellaceae	Ramimonilia	
	<u>MK281810.1</u>	343.5	18.2	B	T				
	<u>MK281714.1</u>	228.9	9.1	A	D	Pleosporales	Pleosporaceae	Comoclathris	
Win-nemucca Soil	<u>MK281810.1</u>	112.3	11.3	B	T				
	<u>MK281667.1</u>	108.2	15	A	D	Botryosphaerales	Planistromellaceae	Ramimonilia	apicalis
Skull Valley SL	<u>MK281916.1</u>	1503.6	117.2	A	D	Pleosporales	Lentitheciaceae	Keissleriella	
	<u>MK281802.1</u>	518.5	70.4	A	D	Pleosporales	Sporormiaceae	Sparticola	
	<u>MK281737.1</u>	513.3	6.8	A	S	Coniochaetales	Coniochaetaceae	Coniochaeta	polymorpha
	<u>MK281662.1</u>	165	14.4	B	T	Filobasidiales	Filobasidiaceae	Naganishia	friedmannii
	<u>MK281822.1</u>	67.6	3.7	A	L	Helotiales	Rutstroemiaceae	Clarireedia	
	<u>MK281810.1</u>	45.4	5	B	T				
	<u>MK281667.1</u>	44.9	7.7	A	D	Botryosphaerales	Planistromellaceae	Ramimonilia	apicalis
	<u>MK281941.1</u>	44.6	5.1	B	T	Tremellales	Tremellaceae	Cryptococcus	
	<u>MK281736.1</u>	14.2	424.6	B	T	Filobasidiales	Filobasidiaceae	Naganishia	albida
	<u>MK281670.1</u>	9.2	0.7	A	D	Pleosporales	Lentitheciaceae	Keissleriella	
	<u>MK281899.1</u>	7.1	28.7	A	D	Pleosporales			
	<u>MK281660.1</u>	2.6	176.1	B	T	Tremellales	Bulleribasidiaceae	Vishniacozyma	globispora
	<u>MK281900.1</u>	2.1	59.5	A	D	Pleosporales	pleosporaceae	Neocamarosporium	
	<u>MK281809.1</u>	1.4	37.9	B	T	Filobasidiales	Filobasidiaceae	Filobasidium	magnum
	<u>MK281916.1</u>	1015.5	58.3	A	D	Pleosporales	Lentitheciaceae	Keissleriella	
	<u>MK281699.1</u>	332.8	48.8	O	Ol	Olpidiales	Olpidiaceae	Olpidium	
	<u>MK281802.1</u>	169.9	18	A	D	Pleosporales	Sporormiaceae	Sparticola	
	<u>MK281736.1</u>	104.8	538.2	B	T	Filobasidiales	Filobasidiaceae	Naganishia	albida
Skull Valley Soil	<u>MK281941.1</u>	42.9	9.5	B	T	Tremellales	Tremellaceae	Cryptococcus	
	<u>MK281743.1</u>	21.1	4.5	A	S	Xylariales	Diatrypaceae	Monosporascus	
	<u>MK281899.1</u>	14.8	201.7	A	D	Pleosporales			
	<u>MK281743.1</u>	4.5	21.1	A	S	Xylariales	Diatrypaceae	Monosporascus	
	<u>MK281660.1</u>	2.3	21.5	B	T	Tremellales	Bulleribasidiaceae	Vishniacozyma	globispora
	<u>MK281711.1</u>	0.3	69.7	A	D	Pleosporales			

