

Title

Pregnancy outcomes in female patients with X chromosome
mosaicism after the first IVF/ICSI treatment: A 6-year retrospective cohort
study

Shanshan Liang¹, Jianzhi Yang¹, Haixia Wu¹, Shiyi Xiong², Ming Guo²,
Xiaoming Teng^{1*}

¹ Assisted reproduction department of Shanghai First Maternity and Infant
Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine,

² Prenatal Diagnosis Center of Shanghai First Maternity and Infant Hospital,
Tongji University School of Medicine,

2699 West Gaoke Road, Shanghai, China

*Corresponding author

Xiaoming Teng, Shanghai First Maternity and Infant Hospital, 2699 West Gaoke
Road, Shanghai, China. 201204. Tel: +86 21 2026 1156. Fax: +86 21 5073 0190. Email
address: tengxiaoming@hotmail.com

Running title

X mosaicism female's outcomes after IVF/ICSI

1 **Abstract**

2 **Objective:** The present study focused on the clinical pregnancy and cumulative live
3 birth rate (CLBR) of female patients with X chromosome mosaicism (XM) after the
4 first in-vitro-fertilization (IVF)/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) treatment, and
5 the possible impact of different mosaic subtypes.

6 **Design:** Retrospective cohort study

7 **Setting:** Single Center study

8 **Population:** Infertility couples

9 **Methods:** In total, 76 couples with XM female partners and normal male partners
10 were included (2014–2019) as the X group, with another 76 couples with normal
11 karyotype included as the control group. Subgroup X1 included 41 45,X/46,XX cases,
12 Subgroup X2 included 22 47,XXX/46,XX cases, and Subgroup X3 included 12
13 45,X/47,XXX/46,XX cases.

14 **Main Outcome Measures:** The ovarian stimulation, embryo results and pregnancy
15 outcomes were analyzed.

16 **Results:** The X group presented similar CLBR but required a higher total
17 gonadotropin (Gn) dosage than the control group (1800 IU vs. 1612 IU). Following
18 subgroup analysis, the number of follicles during oocyte retrieval and average number
19 of fertilized oocytes was lower in subgroup X1 than in X3. The clinical pregnancy
20 rate and CLBR were similar in all groups.

21 **Conclusion:** Females with XM may present a similar CLBR until one year after
22 oocyte retrieval, but may require a higher total Gn dosage. Females with 45,X cells

1 may recover fewer follicles during oocyte retrieval, resulting in fewer embryos. A
2 higher 45,X cell ratio (over 5%) may lead to a lower CBLR.

3 **Funding:** This study was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of
4 China (2016YFC1000602).

5 **Keywords**

6 X chromosome mosaicism, IVF/ICSI outcome, cumulative live birth rate

7 **Tweetable abstract**

8 Females with X chromosome mosaicism may present a similar cumulative live birth
9 rate, but may require a higher total Gn dosage. More than 5% 45,X cell may affect
10 CBLR.

11

1 **Introduction**

2 Karyotyping is widely used in the diagnosis of chromosomal diseases, allowing
3 the detection of aneuploidy, structural variation, and mosaicism [1, 2]. Double X
4 chromosomes are essential for female development [3-5].

5 A total or partial absence of one X chromosome will affect female health
6 throughout life [6], affecting multiple organ systems with varying severity of clinical
7 manifestations [7-11]. The specific comorbidities for women with Turner syndrome,
8 including infertility, cardiac malformations, bone dysgenesis, and autoimmune
9 diseases, may depend on a complex relationship between genes and transcriptional
10 and epigenetic factors affecting gene expression across the genome [12]. Some
11 manifestations could be modulated by medical treatment [9, 10], with no effective
12 treatment for the diminished ovarian reserve (DOR). In the other direction, most
13 women presenting 47,XXX mosaicism are reportedly fertile. Moreover, there may be
14 a slightly increased risk for premature ovarian failure (POF).

15 For female somatic cells, one X chromosome is derived from the oocyte and the
16 other from the sperm. XM could be caused by post-zygotic non-disjunction during the
17 cleavage/blastula stage [13, 14]. Typically, the individual presents 45,X/47,XXX or
18 45,X/47,XXX/46,XX mosaicism. If one X chromosome is missed during mitosis,
19 45,X/46,XX or 47,XXX/46,XX (partial trisomy rescue) could develop. These
20 variations could also be detected in females presenting infertility [15].

21 Despite undergoing in-vitro-fertilization (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm
22 injection (ICSI), the impact of X chromosome mosaicism (XM) on outcomes of

1 assisted reproductive technology (ART) remains unclear[16, 17]. This study included
2 76 XM cases (X group) and 76 normal karyotype cases (control group). Their history,
3 as well as embryo results of the first IVF or ICSI cycle, were collected. The
4 cumulative live birth rate (CLBR) was followed until one year after oocyte retrieval

5 **Methods**

6 **Study design and experimental subjects**

7 This cohort study was conducted in couples experiencing infertility, who
8 underwent their first IVF or ICSI cycle from June 1, 2014, to September 9, 2019.
9 Cases using donor egg or donor sperm, as well as those with women aged ≥ 45 years,
10 were excluded.

11 The sample size was calculated according to Walters' normal approximation and
12 Fisher's exact conditional test based on previous research data. In the present study, 76
13 XM cases were enrolled in the X group, in which the karyotype of the female partner
14 was 45,X/46,XX , 47,XXX/46,XX , 45,X/47,XXX/46,XX or
15 45,X/47,XXX/48,XXXX/46,XX, and the karyotype of all the male partners was
16 46,XY. Additionally, 76 couples with normal karyotype were selected as the control
17 group by SPSS 22.0 propensity score matching, according to the female age, female
18 body mass index (BMI), insemination method, and ovarian stimulation protocol.

19 The history, examination results (Tables 1, 2, and 4), embryological data, and
20 pregnancy outcomes (Tables 3 and 5) were analyzed.

21 The X group was further divided into subgroups (Table 1):

22 Subgroup X1 included 41 cases with 45,X/46,XX female;

Subgroup X2 included 22 cases with 47,XXX/46,XX female;

Subgroup X3 included 12 cases with 45,X/47,XXX/46,XX female.

Karyotyping

The protocol for peripheral blood lymphocyte karyotyping was followed as previously described [18]. The karyotype results were reported according to the International System for Human Cytogenomic Nomenclature 2016 (ISCN 2016). For each patient, no less than 50 metaphase cells were analyzed, with cells utilized from two separate culture bottles. The exact cell numbers for every type of karyotype were reported.

Ovarian stimulation, IVF/ICSI-ET, and follow-up

In the present study, the ovarian stimulation protocol employed has been previously described [19]. The initial gonadotropin dosage (Gn) depended on the female age, basic follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), antral follicle count (AFC), and BMI. Follicle development was monitored by ultrasound examination and serum estradiol (E2) concentration.

The standard operating procedure and indications of oocyte retrieval, insemination method, embryo evaluation, and fresh embryo transfer (ET) have been previously detailed [18]. Pregnant patients visited the clinic every two weeks until 12 weeks of gestation, while others were contacted by phone call. The delivery data were collected by phone calls or through the inpatient information system. No cases were lost during follow-up in the present study.

Indexes of outcomes

To determine whether XM affects IVF and ICSI outcomes, the following indexes were analyzed: Gn days, Gn dosage, E2 on trigger day, follicular number during oocyte retrieval, average oocyte number, normal fertilization rate, cleavage rate, embryo utilization rate, fresh ET rate, clinical pregnancy rate (CPR), implantation rate, miscarriage rate before 12 weeks of gestation, and CLBR.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Differences with a two-sided P value less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data are expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Missing values were ignored directly by default. As appropriate, Student's t-test or the non-parametric test (Mann-Whitney U test) was used to analyze numerical data, while Pearson's Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test was used to analyze categorical data. The Kruskal-Wallis analysis or LSD one-way analysis of variance by ranks pairwise comparison was used to analyze multiple sets of data. Bonferroni correction was used as needed.

There was no patient involvement or public involvement in the design and conduct of this research.

Results

Baseline comparison

The X and control groups revealed similar female age, primary/secondary infertility ratio, the median duration of infertility, insemination method, height, BMI, basic FSH, ovarian stimulation protocol, times of gestation, and time of pregnancy

1 loss before IVF/ICSI treatment (Table 2).

2 The basic information was similar between subgroups X1, X2, and X3 (Table 4).

3 **Ovarian stimulation data**

4 Although Gn days and E2 levels on the trigger day were similar, with similar
5 follicular numbers during oocyte retrieval, the Gn dosage was significantly higher in
6 the X group than in the control group (1800 vs. 1612IU, $P=0.028$) (Table 3).

7 **Embryological indexes**

8 The X and control groups revealed similar outcomes during fertilization and
9 embryo development (Table 3).

10 Following subgroup analysis, the number of follicles during oocyte retrieval, the
11 average number of fertilized oocytes, and average cleavage number were significantly
12 lower in subgroup X1 than in X3. The fertilization rate was considerably lower in
13 subgroup X1 than in subgroup X2. The utilization rate was markedly higher in
14 subgroup X1 than in subgroup X3 (Table 5).

15 **Pregnancy outcomes**

16 The fresh ET rate, average ET number, implantation rate, CPR, miscarriage rate
17 before 12 weeks of gestation, and CLBR were all similar between the X group and
18 control group and were similar between subgroups X1, X2, and X3. However, the
19 CLBR in X1 seemed lower (26.83%) (Table 3,5).

20 Based on the ratio of 45,X cell(s), the CLBR was calculated in subgroups (Table
21 6, one case did not report the ratio). In subgroup X1, the CLBR was 60% (3/5) when
22 45,X cells were less than 5%, 25.8% (8/31) when 45,X cells were 5%~10%, and

0%(0/4) when 45,X cells reached or exceeded 10%. In subgroup X3, the CLBR was 75%(3/4), 66.6%(2/3), and 25%(1/4). Although the differences were not statistically significant, a higher 45,X cell ratio seemed to result in a lower CLBR (Figure 1).

Discussion

Main findings

The cause of low-grade mosaicism for X aneuploidy

In the X group, the percentage of 46,XX cells ranged between 78.79% and 98.00%. In subgroup X1, the percentage of 45,X cells among the total cells ranged between 3.03% and 17.17%. In subgroup X2, the percentage of 47,XXX cells among the total cells ranged from 2.00 to 10.00%. In subgroup X3, the percentage of 45,X cells among total cells ranged between 2.00% and 12.00%, and the percentage of 47,XXX cells among total cells was 2.00%-10.00%.

A previous study has indicated that low-grade mosaicism for X chromosomal aneuploidy is an age-related phenomenon not associated with reproductive issues such as recurrent abortions [20], and these cases usually demonstrate a loss of one X chromosome, presenting 45, X/46,XX. One observational study has suggested that the time of blood sampling in relation to the menstrual cycle can influence lymphocyte XM. Physiological variations in blood hormone concentration, especially estrogen, might play a role in regulating the level of X chromosome aneuploidy[21].

In this study, we identified four types of mosaicism. Overall, 35 cases presented 47,XXX, with one 48,XXXX case. These cases could not be explained by previously

1 established rationales. The possibility of multiple anomalies occurring during
2 leukocytogenesis or karyotyping is limited. Post-zygotic non-disjunction that causes
3 X chromosome aneuploidy in daughter cells should be considered. It remains unclear
4 whether this situation occurred randomly or owing to defects in gene functions.

5 ***The history and treatment of XM infertile female***

6 All patients in the X group underwent spontaneous and complete puberty, with
7 no secondary amenorrhea. Their age ranged from 27 to 44 years, and height from 150
8 to 172 cm. One patient presented cardiac malformations (interventricular septal
9 defect) and underwent surgery during childhood. One patient presented a duplex
10 uterus and duplex cervix. Spontaneous gestation and miscarriage histories were
11 similar to those in the control group.

12 During ovarian stimulation, a higher Gn dosage was required in the X group. The
13 number of follicles during oocyte retrieval was significantly lower in subgroup X1
14 than in X3. The average number of fertilized oocytes was significantly lower in
15 subgroup X1 than in X3. Even with a higher Gn dosage, the number of follicles and
16 oocytes were lower in subgroup X1. These results indicated that XM, especially the
17 45,X cell line, may affect follicular development and oogenesis.

18 ***The pregnancy outcomes in XM infertile female***

19 The CLBR was similar between the X and control groups. This result indicated
20 that XM may not affect embryo implantation and fetal development. However,
21 subgroup X1 showed a tendency toward lower CLBR than other subgroups, and a
22 higher 45,X cell ratio seemed to lead to lower CLBR. The 45,X cell line may affect

1 oogenesis and lead to fewer eggs, and in turn, fewer babies.

2 In the X group, one patient experienced preeclampsia during pregnancy, and
3 another underwent premature delivery. No congenital abnormalities were detected in
4 the resulting babies.

5 ***The genetic consultation of XM infertile female***

6 Reportedly, infertile females with XM are not rare. Chromosomal abnormalities
7 may affect the health and outcomes of assisted reproductive treatment. Genetic
8 counselling should be offered to couples experiencing these chromosomal anomalies.

9 In patients with Turner syndrome, risks during pregnancy include aortic
10 disorders, hepatic disease, thyroid disease, type 2 diabetes, and cesarean section
11 delivery [3]. XM may cause various effects according to different karyotypes. The
12 risk of POF and cardiac aortic disorders should be mentioned. Preimplantation genetic
13 testing should be considered in cases of advanced maternal age, recurrent spontaneous
14 abortion, or recurrent implantation failure, and prenatal diagnosis is highly
15 recommended. Non-invasive prenatal testing should not be recommended owing to
16 maternal mosaicism [20-22].

17 **Strengths and limitations**

18 The main strengths of our study are the relatively large study group, with follow-
19 up until one year after oocyte retrieval. Subgroup analyses were conducted based on
20 different mosaic cell types and ratios.

21 Mosaicism was not confirmed by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) or
22 comparative genome hybridization (CMA) of blood lymphocytes or samples from

1 other tissues. During blood sampling, the menstrual cycle phase was not recorded.
2 Bone mineral density was not determined. Levels of the anti-Mullerian hormone
3 (AMH) were not investigated. We failed to collect karyotype information of the
4 babies or fetal tissue from spontaneous miscarriage.

5 **Interpretation**

6 Our findings may have clinical implications. During ovarian stimulation, a
7 higher Gn dosage was required for XM female. The 45,X cell line may affect
8 oogenesis and lead to fewer eggs and fewer babies. Genetic counselling should be
9 offered to XM patients.

10 **Conclusion**

11 In summary, females with XM may present similar CPR and CLBR one year
12 after oocyte retrieval. However, females with XM may require a higher Gn dosage
13 during IVF/ICSI treatment. Females with 45,X/46,XX may encounter fewer follicles
14 during oocyte retrieval, and in turn fewer embryos. Patients with XM associated
15 infertility should receive genetic counseling before undergoing ART. A large cohort
16 with long-term follow-up of the mothers and resultant babies should be undertaken.

17

18 **Acknowledgments**

19 We thank all the patients, clinicians, embryologists, nurses, and colleagues
20 involved in our study.

21 **Disclosure of Interests**

22 The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research,

authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Contribution to Authorship

XT planned the study. SL conducted the survey and submitted the study. XT and SL are responsible for the overall content as guarantors. JY regulated ovarian stimulation. HW did statistical work. SX conducted genetic counseling. MG rechecked karyotype. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethical Approval

This study was approved on July 5, 2019, by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai First Maternity and Infant Hospital (approval no. KS1965).

Funding

This study was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2016YFC1000602).

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Ghosh, S., et al., *Cytogenetic analysis of patients with primary amenorrhea in Eastern India*. J Obstet Gynaecol, 2018. **38**(2): p. 270-275.
2. Berglund, A., et al., *Changes in the cohort composition of turner syndrome and severe non-diagnosis of Klinefelter, 47,XXX and 47,XYY syndrome: a nationwide cohort study*. Orphanet J Rare Dis, 2019. **14**(1): p. 16.
3. Folsom, L.J. and J.S. Fuqua, *Reproductive Issues in Women with Turner Syndrome*. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am, 2015. **44**(4): p. 723-37.
4. Raznahan, A., et al., *Sex-chromosome dosage effects on gene expression in humans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2018. **115**(28): p. 7398-7403.
5. Sangrithi, M.N. and J.M.A. Turner, *Mammalian X Chromosome Dosage Compensation: Perspectives From the Germ Line*. Bioessays, 2018. **40**(6): p. e1800024.
6. Cameron-Pimblett, A., C. La Rosa, and T.F.J. King, *The Turner syndrome life course project: Karyotype-phenotype analyses across the lifespan*. 2017. **87**(5): p. 532-538.

- 1 7. Le Gall, J., et al., *Sex chromosome aneuploidies and copy-number variants: a further*
2 *explanation for neurodevelopmental prognosis variability?* Eur J Hum Genet, 2017. **25**(8):
3 p. 930-934.
- 4 8. Hong, D.S., et al., *Influence of the X-chromosome on neuroanatomy: evidence from*
5 *Turner and Klinefelter syndromes.* J Neurosci, 2014. **34**(10): p. 3509-16.
- 6 9. Oktay, K., et al., *Fertility Preservation in Women with Turner Syndrome: A*
7 *Comprehensive Review and Practical Guidelines.* J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol, 2016. **29**(5):
8 p. 409-416.
- 9 10. Bucerzan, S., et al., *Clinical and genetic characteristics in a group of 45 patients with*
10 *Turner syndrome (monocentric study).* Ther Clin Risk Manag, 2017. **13**: p. 613-622.
- 11 11. Bois, E., et al., *Otologic disorders in Turner syndrome.* Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head
12 Neck Dis, 2018. **135**(1): p. 21-24.
- 13 12. Viuff, M., et al., *Epigenetics and genomics in Turner syndrome.* Am J Med Genet C Semin
14 Med Genet, 2019. **181**(1): p. 68-75.
- 15 13. Garcia-Mengual, E., et al., *Male infertility: establishing sperm aneuploidy thresholds in*
16 *the laboratory.* J Assist Reprod Genet, 2019. **36**(3): p. 371-381.
- 17 14. Muzinic, V., S. Ramic, and D. Zeljezic, *Chromosome Missegregation and Aneuploidy*
18 *Induction in Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes In vitro by Low Concentrations of*
19 *Chlorpyrifos, Imidacloprid and alpha-Cypermethrin.* Environ Mol Mutagen, 2019. **60**(1):
20 p. 72-84.
- 21 15. Liu, X.Y., et al., *45,X mosaicism in northeast China: a clinical report and review of the*
22 *literature.* J Assist Reprod Genet, 2013. **30**(3): p. 407-12.
- 23 16. Giang, H., et al., *Mosaic Turner syndrome shows reduced penetrance in an adult*
24 *population study.* Prenat Diagn, 2018.
- 25 17. Doger, E., et al., *Reproductive and obstetric outcomes in mosaic Turner's Syndrome: a*
26 *cross-sectional study and review of the literature.* Reprod Biol Endocrinol, 2015. **13**: p.
27 59.
- 28 18. Liang, S., et al., *Effects of chromosome 9 inversion on IVF/ICSI: A 7-year retrospective*
29 *cohort study.* Mol Genet Genomic Med, 2019. **7**(9): p. e856.
- 30 19. Yang, F., et al., *Follicular hyperandrogenism downregulates aromatase in luteinized*
31 *granulosa cells in polycystic ovary syndrome women.* Reproduction, 2015. **150**(4): p.
32 289-296.
- 33 20. Jelsig, A.M., K. Brondum-Nielsen, and A.Z. Tumer, *X-chromosome loss can be an age-*
34 *related phenomenon in women.* Ugeskr Laeger, 2018. **180**(23).
- 35 21. Gersak, K., et al., *Possible influence of menstrual cycle on lymphocyte X chromosome*
36 *mosaicism.* J Assist Reprod Genet, 2015. **32**(1): p. 111-6.
- 37 22. Fleddermann, L., et al., *Current genetic counseling practice in the United States*
38 *following positive non-invasive prenatal testing for sex chromosome abnormalities.* J
39 Genet Couns, 2019.
- 40 23. Suo, F., et al., *Non-invasive prenatal testing in detecting sex chromosome aneuploidy: A*
41 *large-scale study in Xuzhou area of China.* Clin Chim Acta, 2018. **481**: p. 139-141.
- 42 24. Kim, S.C., et al., *Clinically significant maternal X chromosomal copy number variation*
43 *detected by noninvasive prenatal test.* J Obstet Gynaecol Res, 2019.
- 44