

Quantitative resistance differences between and within natural populations of *Solanum chilense* against the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*

Parvinderdeep S. Kahlon, Melissa Verin, Ralph Hückelhoven and Remco Stam*

Running title: Quantitative resistance in a wild tomato species

Chair of Phytopathology, TUM School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Emil-Ramann-Str. 2, 85354, Freising, Germany

*Author for correspondence: Remco Stam, Chair of Phytopathology, TUM School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Emil-Ramann-Str. 2, 85354, Freising, Germany, Email: stam@wzw.tum.de

Funding: This work was funded by grant to R.S. through the German Science Foundation (DFG) in frame of the collaborative research center SFB924.

Abstract

The wild tomato species *Solanum chilense* is divided in geographically and genetically distinct populations that show signs of defense gene selection and differential phenotypes when challenged with several phytopathogens, including the oomycete causal agent of late blight *Phytophthora infestans*. To better understand the phenotypic diversity of this disease resistance in *S. chilense* and to assess the effect of plant genotype vs. pathogen isolate, respectively, we evaluated infection frequency in a systematic approach and with large sample sizes. We studied 85 genetically distinct individuals representing nine geographically separated populations of *S. chilense*. This showed that differences in quantitative resistance properties can be observed between but also within populations at the level of individual plants. Data also did not reveal clear indications for complete immunity in any of the genotypes. We further evaluated the resistance of a subset of the plants against *P. infestans* isolates with diverse virulence properties. This confirmed that the relative differences in resistance phenotypes between individuals were mainly determined by the plant genotype under consideration with modest effects of pathogen isolate used in the study. Thus, our report suggest that quantitative resistance against *P. infestans* in natural populations of a wild tomato species *S. chilense* is likely not the result of specific adaptations of hosts to the pathogen but of basal defence responses that depend on the host genotype and are pathogen isolate-unspecific.

Keywords: *Solanum chilense*, *Phytophthora infestans*, quantitative resistance, natural populations.

Introduction

Plant immunity can be observed in a qualitative manner, in which plants are either completely resistance or show clear disease symptoms, or in a quantitative way, in which certain plants of the same species are more resistant and others are less resistant. Such quantitative resistance was formally introduced as horizontal resistance in the field of phytopathology by Vanderplank in 1963 and he further extended his concept in 1968. By his definition horizontal resistance is a product of multiple underlying genes, that all have minor effects on the amount of resistance observed. This may explain, why quantitative resistance is observed to be pathogen-genotype independent in many cases. This in contrast to vertical resistance or also called qualitative resistance depends on a single major resistance (*R*) gene and is pathogen genotype dependent. Thus, plants with quantitative or horizontal resistance show a range of intermediate infection phenotypes but no complete resistance. Today the theories and concepts proposed by Vanderplank are well integrated in plant pathology and in use by plant disease epidemiologist in the field (Coutinho *et al.*, 2020).

For natural populations, knowledge on quantitative resistances is limited, when compared to agriculture. However, a number of species has been reported to show quantitative resistance in their natural populations against several pathogens as highlighted in a review by Laine *et al.* (2011). Burdon (1980) was one of the first to show in detail the differences in resistance to the fungal pathogen *Cymadothea trifolii* and *Pseudopeziza trifolii* in a population of white clover, *Trifolium repens*, with a significant difference observed among the least and most resistant genotypes. Another notable example is a study on two populations of *Senecio vulgaris*. The species was shown to have up to 10 different resistance phenotypes against the powdery mildew fungus *Erysiphe fischeri* within an area of 1 m² of the population (Bevan *et al.*, 1993). Some recent reports also highlight interesting aspects underlying the quantitative resistance observed. In the case of oak, *Quercus robur*, quantitative resistance to two exotic pathogens *Erysiphe alphitoides* and *Phytophthora cinnamomi* is shown to be present in naive populations, that had not previously been exposed to the pathogens and the resistance is suggested to be delivered by genes encoding proteins with extracellular signaling functions (Bartholomé *et al.*, 2020). A study on six natural genotypes of bittersweet, *Solanum dulcamara*, showed differences in tolerance and resistance against *P. infestans* and interestingly highlights a mechanism of overcompensation in

70 some genotypes with increase in shoot length and number of flowers in plants with higher
71 disease levels (Masini *et al.*, 2019). Genetic variation in resistance can also be seen in plant-
72 insect interactions. 16 genotypes of wild strawberry, *Fragaria vesca*, show high variation in
73 tolerance to the herbivore *Spodoptera littoralis* but no effect of prior treatment with the
74 important herbivore defence related phytohormone jasmonic acid were observed (Wang *et al.*,
75 2020). Ultimately, these studies highlight host genotype specific differences in resistance to
76 biotic stress in wild plant species and show the potential of natural populations to be a valuable
77 resource to explore and exploit quantitative resistance for evolutionary and economical aspects.
78 Here, we investigate phenotypic variation in resistance of the wild tomato species *Solanum*
79 *chilense*. *S. chilense*'s natural habitat is southern Peru and northern Chile. The demography of
80 this species can be described by four clearly defined genotype groups based on four geographical
81 regions: One northern, one central and two southern groups (Böndel *et al.*, 2015). The regions
82 are geographically separated from each other and thus all have their own specific climate. As a
83 result, the populations growing in these four regions are genetically different and abiotic stress
84 adaptations have been reported for example for genes involved in cold- and drought-stress
85 responses (Fischer *et al.*, 2013; Nosenko *et al.*, 2016; Böndel *et al.*, 2018). An advantage of
86 working with *S. chilense* is that it is perennial. Hence, each plant represents a unique genotype
87 from a distinct population but can be kept in the greenhouse and regularly cut back to maintain
88 identical genetic material for years and comparable experiments.

89 The species has also been established as a genetic model for studies on plant-pathogen
90 interactions. Some accessions of the species possess resistance genes against the fungal pathogen
91 *Verticillium dahlia* or to tomato mottle virus (Tabaeizadeh *et al.*, 1999; Griffiths & Scott 2001).
92 Genes of the *Pto* resistance pathway, conferring resistance to *Pseudomonas sp.*, are shown to be
93 under balancing selection (Rose *et al.*, 2011). Stam and coworkers previously showed that also *R*
94 genes of the nucleotide-binding site, leucine-rich repeat receptor (NLR) gene family show
95 signatures of adaptation through the species. Using targeted resequencing of these important
96 receptor genes they showed that it depends on the population whether *NLRs* are under positive or
97 balancing selection and that only a few *NLRs* show consistent positive or balancing selection
98 signatures through the species. This led to the conclusion that indeed *S. chilense* is a highly
99 variable host species, but that some adaptations, also in pathogen defence-associated genes,
100 depend on or even drive colonization of new habitats (Stam *et al.*, 2019a). Most recently, we

showed that genetic diversity in the species also has immediate effect on the observed resistance phenotypes of the host populations. Two specific *Cladosporium fulvum* resistance gene dependent responses show presence-absence variations between and within populations of the central geographical group. Interestingly, in this study we also found complete loss of major *R* gene mediated resistance responses in the southern part of the species range, which we hypothesize to be related to the extreme environments and probably absence of the pathogen in these regions (Kahlon *et al.*, 2020).

The presence and absence of these *R* genes results in qualitative differences in resistance. However, as mentioned above, many interactions in nature are of quantitative nature. Populations from geographically distinct locations in the *S. chilense* species range show such quantitative variation in the resistance against three common filamentous pathogens of tomatoes, *P. infestans*, *Alternaria solani* and a *Fusarium* species (Stam *et al.*, 2017). The resistance properties against these three pathogens do not follow geographic patterns, but instead show a mosaic of resistance quantities, which led to the conclusion that local pathogen pressures and separation of individual niches likely differ even within geographical regions.

P. infestans was choice of pathogen for this study. It is an oomycete belonging to the Peronosporaceae family and has large economical relevance (Kamoun *et al.*, 2015). It was the cause of the Irish potato famine and it causes significant losses as late blight pathogen on tomatoes and other *Solanaceae* species (Kaushal *et al.*, 2020). It was one of the first oomycetes to have its genome sequenced (Haas, 2009), making it one of the best studied oomycetes at the genomic level. *P. infestans* contains more than 500 genes coding for so-called effectors and for many of these effectors it has been shown that they are essential for infection. It is also well known that the effector repertoire varies between isolates, with complete presence-absence variations as well as point mutations occurring in important effector genes (Thilliez *et al.*, 2019). *P. infestans* occurs globally, with large variation between field populations: some being highly diverse, some being clonal, some following sexual and some asexual reproduction mode (Fry *et al.*, 2015). The origin of *P. infestans* has been either in the Andes (Gómez-Alpizar *et al.*, 2007, Martin *et al.*, 2014) or in the Toluca Valley in Mexico (Goss *et al.*, 2014). *P. infestans* has likely been present in areas home to wild tomato species for a long time. A recent publication confirms that *P. infestans* can regularly be detected on wild potato and several tomato populations, growing in close proximity to cultivated potato fields in the Peruvian Andes (Lindqvist-Kreuze

et al., 2020). Where cultivated potato and tomato crops are decimated when infected with these *P. infestans* isolates, these wild plants show often limited infection symptoms. Infection lesions appear to be smaller and do not spread over the whole plant (Lindqvist-Kreuze *et al.*, 2020), suggesting a high level of quantitative resistance to be present in the wild plant populations. To evaluate above hypothesised presence of quantitative resistance from former mentioned studies, in this study, we looked in detail at the phenotypic variation observed in natural populations of *S. chilense*, tested the quantitative resistance against various isolates of *P. infestans* and elucidated the role of plant genotype and the pathogen isolate in determining quantitative resistance.

Materials and methods

Plants material used and maintained

Seeds of nine accessions/populations of *S. chilense* (LA1958, LA1963, LA2747, LA2932, LA3111, LA3786, LA4107, LA4117A and LA4330.) were obtained from the C. M. Rick Tomato Genetics Resource Center of the University of California, Davis (TGRC UC-Davis, <http://tgrc.ucdavis.edu/>). Each population represents a random collection of seeds from a wild population and is propagated by TGRC in a way to maintain maximum genetic diversity. Thus, each of the populations used is genetically diverse. Each individual plant within a population is genetically unique and can be considered a unique genotype. For each population 9-10 plants were grown in controlled greenhouse conditions (16h light and 18-20°C temperature). Mature plants of at least one-year-old were used in the study and were maintained throughout the study by bi-weekly cutting. The plants are perennial and can be maintained for a longer time and providing the benefit of allowing repeated testing of the same plants.

Pathogen used and maintained

P. infestans isolates EC1, 88096, 3928 and T-30.4 were obtained from Wageningen University, Pi100, Pi078, Pi054, were obtained from INRAE Avignon. All the isolates were maintained on Rye A Agar (Stam, 2016a) and were sub-cultured on Rye B Agar (Stam, 2016b) before experiments and incubated 9 days in the dark at 16°C and 1 day in the light at room temperature. The sporangia were scratched from the plates after flooding with water, with the help of a pipette

tip and stored at 4°C for 1-2 hours prior to infection assays. The final concentration used for the infection assays and pathogen phenotype assays were 3000 sporangia/ml.

Detached leaf infection assays with Pi100 isolate

The infection assays were performed on 85 plants representing nine populations of *S. chilense* using a drop inoculation method with Pi100 spores of *P. infestans* on the detached leaf as described in Stam *et al.* (2017). Leaves on our glass house plants differed in age and size. Therefore, the assays were performed in three biological replicates, each several weeks apart. For each biological replicate seven-eight randomly selected leaves were harvested per plant from each of the mother plants and mother plants were cut back between experiments. Surface sterilization of leaves with 70% ethanol was performed by dipping the leaves in the solution briefly, rinsing with water and gently dabbing with a dry tissue to remove the excessive water. The leaves, with the abaxial site facing upwards were then placed in plastic boxes (50x32.5x6.5cm), containing bedding of two layers of wet tissue paper. Drop inoculation was performed on the individual leaflets of each leaf and boxes were kept in the dark at 18-20°C. Seven days post-inoculation, the infection frequency was recorded per individual leaf by calculating the ratio of the number of leaflets showing mycelial growth divided by the total number of leaflets inoculated.

Phenotyping different *P. infestans* isolates on culture medium and plants

To investigate the growth phenotype of these isolates, drop inoculations of the same sporangia solution used for the infections were done on Rye B Agar culture medium and incubated at 18-20°C in the dark. Phenotyping was performed with up to five technical replicates and three biological replicates per strain. Each biological replicate was performed at least 10 days apart, with freshly propagated starter cultures. The diameter of the mycelial growth (in cm) was taken 10 days post-inoculation.

Additional infection assays were performed on the central population LA 3111 (nine plants) using the same drop inoculation method with 3000 sporangia/ml of seven isolates of *P. infestans* (Pi100, Pi078, Pi054, EC1, 88096, 3928 and T-30.4) on the detached leaves. The infection frequency was obtained from individual plants, using the same methodology as described above.

Data representation and Statistical analysis

Figures were made using the R package {ggplot2}, analysis of variance were performed using the function aov() in R software, version 3.4.4, (R core Team, 2020), with post hoc Tukey Test function TukeyHSD(), from the package {stats} and p-value was considered significant when lower than 0.05. Generalised linear Mixed Models (GLMM) were generated using glmer() from the package {lme4} (Bartes *et al.*, 2015), as shown in Stam *et al.* (2017) and we used the binomial variable (y) which consisted of number of successful and non-successful infection events per leaf, experimental date was used as a random effect in the both models.

Results

Quantitative resistance differences between populations are independent of the pathogen isolate

In previous study on *S. chilense* after inoculation with the *P. infestans* isolate - called EC1 originating from South America, phenotypic differences were observed between the populations (Stam *et al.*, 2017). We wanted to know whether populations of *S. chilense* possess similar quantitative resistance differences upon inoculation with a very aggressive *P. infestans* isolate - Pi100, originating from *Solanum lycopersicum* in Europe. Every infection event has a certain amount of stochasticity, as spore germination rates are not uniform, even under optimal conditions (Minogue and Fry, 1981). To reduce the variance caused by this effect, we performed a minimum of 1588 drop inoculations per population. This number accumulates from a total of three independent experiments with inoculation of all individual leaflets of each seven to eight leaves from nine to ten individual plants per population.

We observed differences in quantitative resistance in the different populations upon infection with *P. infestans* isolate Pi100 (Figure 1). In our study, all plants have been infected. ANOVA with post hoc Tukey honest significant difference tests, show significant differences between populations in 23 out of 36 pairwise comparisons (Table S1). We calculated the Pearson's correlation coefficient between the overlapping population's mean score of infection frequency from data EC1 (Stam *et al.*, 2017) and our new data Pi100. This coefficient of 0.724162 indicates a positive correlation between the two data sets. Due to a limited sample size (n=6 overlapping populations) we cannot reasonably judge the significance of this correlation. We further had up

to six non overlapping plants per population between the experiments accounting for additional variance within our samples. However these results suggest that the variation in the infection frequency is the result of genetic differences in the host plants.

Individual plant genotypes appear to drive resistance against single *P. infestans* isolate

Next, we wanted to know whether the observed variance in the infection frequencies (Figure 1) is intrinsic to the assays or to the population as whole, or whether significant differences between the individual plants in the population contribute to the large variance.

To evaluate the infection frequency within the population, we separated the data of the drop inoculation on all detached leaves (Figure 1) into infection frequency for each of the individual plants (Figure 2). We observed high variance in the successful infection frequency in individual plants. In the majority of cases (68% of the plants) this variance was smaller than the variance observed for the population as a whole (Table 1 and S2). This indicates that the differences in successful infection of *P. infestans* can at least partly be attributed to genetic differences within the host populations, however other factors beyond our control cannot be disregarded in this outcome (i.e. physiological differences between individual leaf explants from perennial plants).

To test whether the observed differences in infection frequency for each of the plants are statistically significant, we performed an ANOVA, with post hoc Tukey honest significant difference test for all plant-plant comparisons within a population (Table S3). For each population, 40 pairwise comparisons were evaluated (amounting to 360 comparisons), out of which 68 showed to be significantly different at $p < 0.05$. The number of significantly different comparisons varies between the populations. Most frequent significant differences were found within the populations LA1963 (18) followed by LA3111 (8), LA3786 (8), LA2932 (8), LA4107 (7), LA4117A (7), LA4330 (7), and LA1958 (5), whereas LA 2747 showed no significant difference in the plants' pairwise comparison. This confirmed that the plant genotypes within populations showed different resistance phenotypes against a single isolate of *P. infestans*.

Growth of different isolates of *P. infestans* on culture medium is significantly different

To elaborate on our first indication that the differences in the plant's resistance are similar irrespective of the isolate of *P. infestans*, we aimed at infection our plants with several genetically diverse isolates. To first judge general differences of those seven isolates apart from

the host plant, we evaluated their growth rate *in vitro*. We used *P. infestans* isolates with known differences in effector diversity, originating from different geographical locations and different hosts. To measure phenotypic growth differences, we performed drop inoculation of sporangia solution (3000/ml) of the *Phytophthora* isolates on the culture medium Rye B Agar B (Figure 3) and measured the radial outgrowth. The outgrowth of the isolates was significantly different for 66.67% of the pairwise comparisons confirming growth differences of these isolates on the culture medium (14 pairwise comparisons out of 21 pairwise comparisons - ANOVA, with post hoc Tukey honest significant difference test, Table S4).

Infection with seven different isolates of *P. infestans* supports host genotype dependency

Knowing that the selected isolates are genetically and phenotypically different, we further evaluated infection frequency of the seven isolates of *P. infestans* on the detached leaves from the nine individual plants of the central population LA3111. We observed that the plant showing the highest resistance (i.e. the lowest infection frequency), upon inoculation with Pi100 (Plant 11) is always the most resistant irrespective of the *P. infestans* isolate used. Similarly, the plant showing the lowest resistance (i.e. the highest infection frequency) to Pi100 (Plant 10) is always the least resistant irrespective of the isolate used (Figure 4).

To test if we observe an effect of the pathogen isolate on the infection frequency on plant genotypes, we performed ANOVA, with post hoc Tukey honest significant difference tests and found 10.05% of the pairwise comparisons to be significantly different (Table S5). To ensure that these observed differences in infection frequency do not correspond to a general difference in growth rate of the *P. infestans* isolates, we compared the mean growth of *P. infestans* isolates on the plates with the mean of infection frequency of these isolates on the plants. We saw no correlation upon evaluation with a Pearson's correlation test (coefficient of -0.064, n=7).

Finally upon testing the effect of the plant genotype and the pathogen isolate on the infection frequency we found both significant but independent (multi-way ANOVA) (Table 2). This supports that no clear effect exists of the interaction between specific plant genotypes and specific isolates, as would be expected for qualitative, race-specific resistance, but rather independent effects on infection frequency. We performed a GLMM model using the inoculation date as random effect and as expected, the additive model (Plant + Pathogen) provides the best

fit (AIC and BIC criteria), while the plant genotype explains most of the differences in infection frequency (Table 3).

Discussion

S. chilense is known for its resistance properties against various pathogens (Tabaeizadeh *et al.*, 1999; Griffiths & Scott, 2001; Ji *et al.*, 2007; Verlaan *et al.*, 2013). It has also been studied extensively in relation to habitat adaptation (Xia *et al.*, 2010; Fischer *et al.*, 2013; Nosenko *et al.*, 2016; Bönzel *et al.*, 2018) and as a model for the evolution of pathogen defence mechanisms in plants (Stam *et al.*, 2019a, Stam *et al.*, 2019b, Kahlon *et al.*, 2020). Previously, *S. chilense* populations from different geographical regions were shown to express different levels of resistance against three filamentous tomato pathogens (Stam *et al.*, 2017). In this report, we further characterize the resistance in this species against the oomycete pathogen *P. infestans* as quantitative resistance which is largely determined by host genotypes.

In our previous work, we found significant differences in resistance properties of the different *S. chilense* populations but also had some very important remaining questions (Stam *et al.*, 2017). We observed a large amount of variation in the infection success rate for each of the populations – in some populations ranging from 0/10 infections on one leaf to 10/10 on another leaf. This left the question of whether infection frequency is generally variable and spore germination of *P. infestans* on *S. chilense* is strongly affected by stochastic effects. Using a different *P. infestans* isolate as in our previous study and studying a very high number of infection events, we again observed a high amount of variance within the populations, but also significant differences between the populations (Figure 1) that correlated with our previous results (Stam *et al.*, 2017). The variance was reduced when looking into individual plants per population (Figure 2), which suggests that the resistance outcome is at least in part dependent on the plant genotype under consideration. One possible explanation for the observed variance between plants is the age and morphology of the used leaves, as older, thicker leaves might be harder to penetrate by the pathogen. We minimized this effect by regularly cutting back our plants and randomly selecting leaves for infection, but it remains possible that the selected leaves from some plants were less uniform than for other plants, because *S. chilense* is known to be a morphologically diverse species, with large variation between and within populations (Raduski and Igić, 2020) and many

quantitative resistance phenomena have been described to be age and physiology-dependent (Niks *et al.*, 2015). We also observed high variability of infection frequency on individual leaves from the same population or even from the same plant. This may have limited the number of statistical significant differences between our genotypes. However, due to the high number of repetitions, we consider these results robust and valuable. They reflect not just a single developmental stage or specific leaf level as considered in most model plant experiments but rather the spectrum of pathogen resistance responses in each given plant. That within individual plants the variance observed was still high indicates other effects as well. These might include stochastic effects affecting spore germination rates. Such variation in spore germination rate is commonly observed with *P. infestans*, also under conducive laboratory conditions (Minogue and Fry, 1981) and infections of wild and cultivated tomato with the pathogen *A. solani* also often resulted in no visible lesions on some of the leaves of generally susceptible accessions (Chaerani *et al.*, 2005).

Another limitation of our previous study was that we tested only a single isolate of *P. infestans*. It is well-known that *P. infestans* strains are genetically diverse, with complete presence-absence polymorphisms of certain key virulence effector genes (Thilliez *et al.*, 2019). Also, different isolates of *P. infestans* have been shown to be tremendously different in their aggressiveness on a single host (Cooke *et al.*, 2012). Testing a single isolate prevented drawing general conclusions on the observed resistance in *S. chilense*, as outcomes could have been isolate specific.

To address this, we looked into the infection frequency of the central population upon inoculation with seven different isolates of *P. infestans*. The infection frequency for most resistant and least resistant plant showed similar outcome regardless of the *P. infestans* isolate used (Figure 4). Looking into the growth phenotype of these isolates on culture medium (Figure 3) and correlating it to infection frequency on plant it becomes evident that there is no correlation in infection frequency on plants and radial growth on plates. We further confirmed the larger role of plant genotype over pathogen isolate with GLMM. Multiway ANOVA showed no role of interactions between certain plant genotype with certain pathogen isolate in resistance outcome. Indicating to that the observed quantitative resistance in our study is not the result of mutual adaptation. Thus, the differences observed in our infection assays are more clearly defined by the plant genotype than the pathogen isolate although we observed influence of pathogen isolate (Table 2&3). This does not need to depend on isolate germination and growth speed on plate, but

can be related to various other factors that contribute to the general aggressiveness of individual isolates (Pariaud *et al.*, 2009).

Even though we used 7 different isolates, from different hosts, we used only one Latin American isolate from a clonal lineage that is spreading throughout Peru (Lindqvist-Kreuze *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, there might still be a possibility that adapted pathogens (e.g. collected directly from *S. chilense*) would show different results. As shown by Thrall and Burdon, (2003) in more resistant flax populations more virulent rust was observed. This mechanism is also shown in resistant plants of *Plantago lanceolata* and powdery mildew *Podosphaera plantaginis* (Laine, 2005). However, field observations by Lindqvist-Kreuze *et al.*, 2020 and our own observations from field visits in Peru and Chile suggest that in nature, *S. chilense* is rarely visibly infected with *P. infestans* and the pathogen was to our knowledge never successfully isolated from plants from southern populations. Thus we expect relatively little influence of specific local adaptations and hypothesize that our results represent general defence differences that are potentially functional against a wide array of pathogens. The absence of strong signatures of local adaptation to a pathogen is also shown in case of 12 species of wild potatoes against 13 populations of the nematode *Globodera pallia*. Similar as in our study host genotype was the deciding factor in resistance rather than the pathogen isolate under consideration (Gautier *et al.*, 2020). Such basal resistances have been shown to be prevalent in the plant kingdom and are expected to be based on more conserved, or core, defence mechanisms, like the recognition of microbe-/pathogen-associated molecular patterns (M/PAMPS). The presence of basal resistance properties, which is sometimes also described as exapted resistance, (e.g. resistance that is present in a plant, without recent adaptation to the pathogen: Newcombe, 1988), has been studied in the context of invasive *Phytophthora* species on several hosts. In case of Norway spruce, *Picea abies*, and Nordmann fir, *Abies nordmanniana*, in Swedish Christmas tree farms, which currently are not under threat by *Phytophthora*, it has been shown that after inoculation with different isolates of *Phytophthora* sp. (isolated from water and soil samples from the same region), all the seedlings of these plants showed a basal level of resistance under laboratory conditions (Pettersson *et al.*, 2018). A study on 72 trees of black alder, *Alnus glutinosa* from 12 different sites (Redondo *et al.*, 2020), showed that all the plants possess a basal level of resistance against *Phytophthora uniformis* before invasion by the pathogen, but also that seedlings from pathogen-invaded locations were more resistant than seedlings from uninvaded locations. Exapted resistance is also shown in the case of

powdery mildew, *Erysiphe alphitoides*, and *Phytophthora cinnamomi* in European oak (*Quercus rubur*) where multiple genes were shown to be responsible for the variation in resistance between the trees of naive, not previously infected, populations (Bartholomé *et al.*, 2020).

The molecular mechanisms underlying the observed phenotypes in our study remain thus far unknown. We did not observe any host genotype-pathogen isolate combination that led to complete resistance or showed hypersensitive reactions, suggesting that no major *R* genes against the used isolates are active in those populations. It has been shown that the perception of conserved M/PAMPs can differ within and between wild tomato species (Roberts *et al.*, 2019). The mechanisms of resistance can also vary within a species as in case of natural accessions of *Arabidopsis thaliana* where few accessions showed resistant against the bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato (*Pst*) DC3000. Resistance mechanisms, such as elevated phytohormone levels or the presence of leaf surface barriers, were shown to differ between the accessions (Velásquez *et al.*, 2017). Differences in defence activation within the species are also shown against a generalist herbivore African cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis*, on the host plant Arugula, *Eruca sativa*, where the activated defence mechanism was associated with geographical origin of the population (Organ *et al.*, 2016).

In a study with a panel of six domesticated and six wild tomato accessions, infection severity of *Botrytis cinerea* isolates was shown to be determined by complexity of both host and pathogen genotype and a few pathogen genes associated with host preferences (Soltis *et al.*, 2019). Similar multigenic effects has been indicated in a genome-wide association mapping analysis of 88 genotypes of quinoa, *Chenopodium quinoa*, showing large variation in disease traits upon inoculation with one isolate of the downy mildew pathogen *Peronospora variabilis* (Colque-Little *et al.*, 2021). With the recent availability of a reference genome sequence for *S. chilense* (Stam *et al.* 2019b), studies to identify the multiple genes underlying the observed differences in quantitative resistance will be the next step.

Our findings show an example of quantitative resistance in *S. chilense*, a plant species where we earlier reported qualitative resistance against another pathogen (Kahlon *et al.*, 2020). We show that this quantitative variation exists between and within the natural populations of the species and is mainly driven by the plant genotype. The resistance can potentially be defined as exapted resistance as it appears to protect against non-local, un-adapted pathogen isolates. Overall we show that the plants of the wild tomato species *S. chilense* have their own unique resistance

properties and that the species is amenable for detailed studies on quantitative resistance in the lab. In future studies it would also be interesting to look into structural and molecular factors underlying these quantitative differences and possibly associate this with genetic differences.

References:

- Bartholomé, J., Brachi, B., Marçais, B., Mougou-Hamdane, A., Bodénès, C., Plomion, C., ... Desprez-Loustau, M. (2020). The genetics of exapted resistance to two exotic pathogens in pedunculate oak. *New Phytologist*, 226(4), 1088–1103. doi: 10.1111/nph.16319
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1–48. doi: 10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- Bevan, J. R., Clarke, D. D., & Crute, I. R. (1993). Resistance to *Erysiphe fischeri* in two populations of *Senecio vulgaris*. *Plant Pathology*, 42(4), 636–646. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.1993.tb01544.x
- Böndel, K. B., Lainer, H., Nosenko, T., Mboup, M., Tellier, A., & Stephan, W. (2015). North–South Colonization Associated with Local Adaptation of the Wild Tomato Species *Solanum chilense*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32(11), 2932–2943. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msv166
- Böndel, K. B., Nosenko, T., & Stephan, W. (2018). Signatures of natural selection in abiotic stress-responsive genes of *Solanum chilense*. *Royal Society Open Science*, 5(1), 171198. doi: 10.1098/rsos.171198
- Burdon, J. J. (1980). Variation in Disease-Resistance Within a Population of *Trifolium repens*. *Journal of Ecology*, 68(3), 737–744. doi: 10.2307/2259453
- Caromel, B., Hamers, C., Touhami, N., Renaudineau, A., Bachellez, A., Massire, A., ... Lefebvre, V. (2015). *Screening tomato germplasm for resistance to late blight*. 15–16. Avignon University, France. Retrieved from https://colloque.inrae.fr/innohort2015/content/download/4123/44747/version/2/file/Abstracts_INNOHORT2015.pdf
- Chaerani, R., Groenwold, R., Stam, P. et al. Assessment of early blight (*Alternaria solani*) resistance in tomato using a droplet inoculation method. *J Gen Plant Pathol* 73, 96–103 (2007). doi: 10.1007/s10327-006-0337-1
- Colque-Little, C., Abondano, M. C., Lund, O. S., Amby, D. B., Piepho, H.-P., Andreassen, C., ... Schmid, K. (2021). Genetic variation for tolerance to the downy mildew pathogen *Peronospora variabilis* in genetic resources of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*). *BMC Plant Biology*, 21(1), 41. doi: 10.1186/s12870-020-02804-7
- Cooke, D. E. L., Cano, L. M., Raffaele, S., Bain, R. A., Cooke, L. R., Etherington, G. J., ... Kamoun, S. (2012). Genome analyses of an aggressive and invasive lineage of the Irish potato famine pathogen. *PLoS Pathogens*, 8(10), e1002940. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002940

446 Coutinho, T. A., Drenth, A., & Wingfield, M. J. (2020). James E. Vanderplank: South African
447 and globally recognised plant pathologist. *South African Journal of Science*, 116(11/12). doi:
448 10.17159/sajs.2020/8264

449 Fischer, I., Steige, K. A., Stephan, W., & Mboup, M. (2013). Sequence Evolution and Expression
450 Regulation of stress-responsive genes in natural populations of wild tomato. *PLoS ONE*,
451 8(10), e78182. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0078182

452 Fry, W. E., Birch, P. R. J., Judelson, H. S., Grünwald, N. J., Danies, G., Everts, K. L., ... Smart,
453 C. D. (2015). Five reasons to consider *Phytophthora infestans* a reemerging pathogen.
454 *Phytopathology*, 105(7), 966–981. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-01-15-0005-FI

455 Gautier, C., Fournet, S., Piriou, C., Renault, L., Yvin, J.-C., Nguema-Ona, E., ... Montarry, J.
456 (2020). Plant–parasite coevolution: A weak signature of local adaptation between Peruvian
457 *Globodera pallida* populations and wild potatoes. *Ecology and Evolution*, 10(9), 4156–4163.
458 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6248>

459 Gómez-Alpizar, L., Carbone, I., & Ristaino, J. B. (2007). An Andean origin of *Phytophthora*
460 *infestans* inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear gene genealogies. *Proceedings of the*
461 *National Academy of Sciences*, 104(9), 3306–3311. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0611479104

462 Goss, E. M., Tabima, J. F., Cooke, D. E. L., Restrepo, S., Fry, W. E., Forbes, G. A., ...
463 Grünwald, N. J. (2014). The Irish potato famine pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* originated
464 in central Mexico rather than the Andes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
465 111(24), 8791–8796. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1401884111

466 Griffiths, P. D., & Scott, J. W. (2001). Inheritance and linkage of tomato mottle virus resistance
467 genes derived from *Lycopersicon chilense* Accession LA 1932. *Journal of the American*
468 *Society for Horticultural Science*, 126(4), 462–467. doi: 10.21273/JASHS.126.4.462

469 Ji, Y., Scott, J. W., Hanson, P., Graham, E., & Maxwell, D. P. (2007). Sources of resistance,
470 inheritance, and location of genetic loci conferring resistance to members of the tomato-
471 infecting begomoviruses. In H. Czosnek (Ed.), *Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl Virus Disease: Management, Molecular Biology, Breeding for Resistance* (pp. 343–362). Dordrecht: Springer
472 Netherlands. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-4769-5_20

474 Kahlon, P. S., Seta, S. M., Zander, G., Scheikl, D., Hükelhoven, R., Joosten, M. H. A. J., &
475 Stam, R. (2020). Population studies of the wild tomato species *Solanum chilense* reveal
476 geographically structured major gene-mediated pathogen resistance. *Proceedings of the Royal*
477 *Society B: Biological Sciences*, 287(1941), 20202723. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2020.2723

478 Kamoun, S., Furzer, O., Jones, J. D. G., Judelson, H. S., Ali, G. S., Dalio, R. J. D., et al. 2015.
479 The Top 10 oomycete pathogens in molecular plant pathology. *Molecular Plant Pathology*.
480 16:413–434.

481 Kaushal, A., Sadashiva, A. T., Ravishankar, K. V., Singh, T. H., Prasanna, H. C., Rai, A. K.,
482 & Jatav, V. K. (2020). A rapid disease resistance breeding in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*
483 L.). In S. S. Gosal & S. H. Wani (Eds.), *Accelerated Plant Breeding, Volume 2: Vegetable*

484 *Crops* (pp. 17–55). Cham: Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-47298-
485 6_2

486 Laine, A.-L. (2005). Spatial scale of local adaptation in a plant-pathogen metapopulation.
487 *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 18(4), 930–938. doi: 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2005.00933.x

488 Laine, Anna-Liisa, Burdon, J. J., Dodds, P. N., & Thrall, P. H. (2011). Spatial variation in
489 disease resistance: from molecules to metapopulations: Spatial variation in disease resistance.
490 *Journal of Ecology*, 99(1), 96–112. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01738.x

491 Lindqvist-Kreuze, H., Gamboa, S., Izarra, M., Pérez, W., Correa, M. Y., Astete, A., ...
492 Gonzáles, P. (2020). Population structure and host range of the potato late blight pathogen
493 *Phytophthora infestans* in Peru spanning two decades. *Plant Pathology*, 69(2), 334–346. doi:
494 10.1111/ppa.13125

495 Martin, M. D., Ho, S. Y. W., Wales, N., Ristaino, J. B., and Gilbert, M. T. P. 2014. Persistence
496 of the Mitochondrial Lineage Responsible for the Irish Potato Famine in Extant New World
497 *Phytophthora infestans*. *Mol Biol Evol*. 31:1414–1420.

498 Masini, L., Grenville-Briggs, L. J., Andreasson, E., Råberg, L., & Lankinen, Å. (2019).
499 Tolerance and overcompensation to infection by *Phytophthora infestans* in the wild perennial
500 climber *Solanum dulcamara*. *Ecology and Evolution*, 9(8), 4557–4567. doi:
501 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5057>

502 Minogue, K. P., & Fry, W. E. (1981). Effect of temperature, relative humidity, and rehydration
503 rate on germination of dried sporangia of *Phytophthora infestans*. *Phytopathology*, 71(11),
504 1181. doi: 10.1094/Phyto-71-1181

505 Newcombe, G. (1998). A review of exapted resistance to diseases of *Populus*. *European Journal*
506 *of Forest Pathology*, 28(4), 209–216. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0329.1998.tb01175.x>

507 Niks, R. E., Qi, X., & Marcel, T. C. (2015). Quantitative resistance to biotrophic filamentous
508 plant pathogens: Concepts, misconceptions, and mechanisms. *Annual Review of*
509 *Phytopathology*, 53(1), 445–470. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-080614-115928

510 Nosenko, T., Böndel, K. B., Kumpfmüller, G., & Stephan, W. (2016). Adaptation to low
511 temperatures in the wild tomato species *Solanum chilense*. *Molecular Ecology*, 25(12), 2853–
512 2869. doi: 10.1111/mec.13637

513 Ogran, A., Landau, N., Hanin, N., Levy, M., Gafni, Y., & Barazani, O. (2016). Intraspecific
514 variation in defense against a generalist lepidopteran herbivore in populations of *Eruca sativa*
515 (Mill.). *Ecology and Evolution*, 6(1), 363–374. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1805>

516 Pariaud, B., Ravigné, V., Halkett, F., Goyeau, H., Carlier, J., & Lannou, C. (2009).
517 Aggressiveness and its role in the adaptation of plant pathogens. *Plant Pathology*, 58(3), 409–
518 424. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.2009.02039.x

519 Pettersson, M., Frampton, J., Rönnberg, J., Brurberg, M. B., & Talgø, V. (2019). Presence of
520 *Phytophthora* species in Swedish christmas tree plantations. *European Journal of Plant*
521 *Pathology*, 153(4), 1221–1236. doi: 10.1007/s10658-018-01638-2

522 Vanderlank, J. E. (1963). *Plant Diseases: Epidemics and Control*. Academic Press.

523 Vanderplank, J. E. (1968). *Disease Resistance in Plants* (2nd ed.). Academic Press.

524 Raduski, A. R., & Igić, B. (2020). Biosystematic Studies on the Status of *Solanum chilense*
525 (Dunal) Reiche. *BioRxiv*, 2020.06.14.151423. doi: 10.1101/2020.06.14.151423

526 Redondo, M. A., Stenlid, J., & Oliva, J. (2020). Genetic variation explains changes in
527 susceptibility in a naïve host against an invasive forest pathogen: The case of alder and the
528 *Phytophthora alni* Complex. *Phytopathology*®, 110(2), 517–525. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-07-
529 19-0272-R

530 Roberts, R., Mainiero, S., Powell, A. F., Liu, A. E., Shi, K., Hind, S. R., ... Martin, G. B. (2019).
531 Natural variation for unusual host responses and flagellin-mediated immunity against
532 *Pseudomonas syringae* in genetically diverse tomato accessions. *New Phytologist*, 223(1),
533 447–461. doi: 10.1111/nph.15788

534 Rose, L. E., Grzeskowiak, L., Hörger, A. C., Groth, M., & Stephan, W. (2011). Targets of
535 selection in a disease resistance network in wild tomatoes: Disease resistance in tomatoes.
536 *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 12(9), 921–927. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2011.00720.x

537 Soltis, N. E., Atwell, S., Shi, G., Fordyce, R., Gwinner, R., Gao, D., ... Kliebenstein, D. J.
538 (2019). Interactions of tomato and *Botrytis cinerea* genetic diversity: parsing the contributions
539 of host differentiation, domestication, and pathogen variation. *The Plant Cell*, 31(2), 502–519.
540 doi: 10.1105/tpc.18.00857

541 Stam, R. (2016a). *Rye A Agar*. doi: 10.17504/protocols.io.fkrbkv6

542 Stam, R. (2016b). *Rye B Agar*. doi: 10.17504/protocols.io.fksbkwe

543 Stam, R., Nosenko, T., Hörger, A. C., Stephan, W., Seidel, M., Kuhn, J. M. M., ... Tellier, A.
544 (2019a). The de novo reference genome and transcriptome assemblies of the wild tomato
545 species *Solanum chilense* highlights birth and death of NLR genes between tomato species.
546 *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, 9(12), 3933–3941. doi: 10.1534/g3.119.400529

547 Stam, R., Scheikl, D., & Tellier, A. (2017). The wild tomato species *Solanum chilense* shows
548 variation in pathogen resistance between geographically distinct populations. *PeerJ*, 5, e2910.
549 doi: 10.7717/peerj.2910

550 Stam, R., Silva-Arias, G. A., & Tellier, A. (2019b). Subsets of NLR genes show differential
551 signatures of adaptation during colonization of new habitats. *New Phytologist*, 224(1), 367–
552 379. doi: 10.1111/nph.16017

553 Tabaeizadeh, Z., Agharbaoui, Z., Harrak, H., & Poysa, V. (1999). Transgenic tomato plants
554 expressing a *Lycopersicon chilense* chitinase gene demonstrate improved resistance to
555 *Verticillium dahliae* race 2. *Plant Cell Reports*, 19(2), 197–202. doi: 10.1007/s002990050733

556 Thilliez, G. J. A., Armstrong, M. R., Lim, T., Baker, K., Jouet, A., Ward, B., ... Hein, I. (2019).
557 Pathogen enrichment sequencing (PenSeq) enables population genomic studies in oomycetes.
558 *New Phytologist*, 221(3), 1634–1648. doi: 10.1111/nph.15441

559 Thrall, P. H., & Burdon, J. J. (2003). Evolution of virulence in a plant host-pathogen
560 metapopulation. *Science*, 299(5613), 1735–1737. doi: 10.1126/science.1080070

561 Velásquez, A. C., Oney, M., Huot, B., Xu, S., & He, S. Y. (2017). Diverse mechanisms of
562 resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* in a thousand natural accessions of *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
563 *New Phytologist*, 214(4), 1673–1687. doi: 10.1111/nph.14517

564 Verlaan, M. G., Hutton, S. F., Ibrahim, R. M., Kormelink, R., Visser, R. G. F., Scott, J. W., ...
565 Bai, Y. (2013). The tomat yellow leaf curl virus resistance genes Ty-1 and Ty-3 are allelic and
566 code for DFDGD-Class RNA-Dependent RNA Polymerases. *PLoS Genetics*, 9(3), e1003399.
567 doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003399

568 Wang, M., Muola, A., Anderson, P., & Stenberg, J. A. (2020). Wild strawberry shows genetic
569 variation in tolerance but not resistance to a generalist herbivore. *Ecology and Evolution*,
570 10(23), 13022–13029. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6888>

571 Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer.

572 Xia, H., Camus-Kulandaivelu, L., Stephan, W., Tellier, A., & Zhang, Z. (2010). Nucleotide
573 diversity patterns of local adaptation at drought-related candidate genes in wild tomatoes:
574 Drought adaptation in wild tomatoes. *Molecular Ecology*, 19(19), 4144–4154. doi:
575 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04762.x

576 **Tables and Figures**

577 **Table 1: Variance observed in infection frequency of overall populations and number of**
 578 **plants with high and low variance as compared to overall population**

Population	Variance in infection frequency	Number of plants with higher variance than overall population	Number of plants with lower variance than overall population	Number of plants tested
LA3786	0.0815094	4	6	10
LA1963	0.044541	2	8	10
LA1958	0.047965	3	6	9
LA2747	0.0615848	4	5	9
LA3111	0.0605912	3	6	9
LA2932	0.0441113	3	7	10
LA4107	0.0828558	4	5	9
LA4330	0.0375442	3	7	10
LA4117A	0.0367892	2	7	9

580 **Table 2: Multiway ANOVA test outcome to evaluate effect of interaction of plant genotype**
581 **of central population LA3111 and *P. infestans* isolate on infection frequency.**

Component	<i>Df</i>	<i>F</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
Plant	8	21.971	<2e-16
Isolate	6	22.794	<2e-16
Plant:Isolate	48	1.179	0.19

582 Table 3: GLMM showing plant genotype and pathogen isolate effect on infection frequency
 583 observed in the central population LA3111 upon inoculation with 7 isolates of *P. infestans*. The
 584 lower AIC and BIC predicts a better fitting model. To construct the models we used the binomial
 585 variable (y) which is infection outcome, random effect considered in all models was date of the
 586 infection.

<i>Model</i>	<i>AIC</i>	<i>BIC</i>
y~ Plant	4881.3	4934.0
y~ Isolate	4981.0	5023.1
y~ Plant+Pathogen	4548.5	4632.8

587

Figure 1: Infection frequencies in different populations upon inoculation with *P. infestans* isolate Pi100.

The box plots show the median of the infection frequency of a leaf which is the ratio of infected leaflets over total inoculated leaflets. Each population consisted of 9-10 plants. The assay was performed on three separate dates, each time with seven to eight leaves for each individual plant. Each data point indicates the infection frequency of an individual leaf obtained from inoculations of up to 14 leaflets per leaf. The Y-axis shows infection frequency ranging from 0 (no infected leaflets on a leaf) to 1 (all leaflets show infection). The colors represent the geographic regions of the population.

597 **Figure 2: Evaluation of infection frequency in individual plants from different populations.**
598 Each individual facet shows different populations (as tested in Figure 1) each box plot shows a
599 single plant tested for infection phenotype. Experiments were performed on three separate dates
600 (with an exception for 1 plant only on two dates), each time with 8 leaves per plant (with an
601 exception in 2 plants with 7 leaves per plant). Y-axis represents infection frequency and X-axis
602 represents different plants; color shows the geographical region of the population (as in Figure
603 1).

604 **Figure 3: Growth of different isolates of *P. infestans* on culture medium.**

605 The radial plot shows the outgrowth of mycelia (in cm) of different isolates of *P. infestans* 10
606 days post drop inoculation on Rye B agar medium. Different colors indicate different isolates of
607 *P. infestans*.

608 **Figure 4: Infection frequency of 7 different isolates of *P. infestans* on plants within**
609 **population LA3111.**

610 Each facet shows an individual plant of the population LA3111, the box plot represents the
611 infection fraction of plants when inoculated with seven isolates of *P. infestans* depicted in
612 different colors. Y-axis represents infection frequency (0-1 as in Figures 1 and 2) and X-axis
613 represents different *P. infestans* isolates.

614 **Data Accessibility Statement**

615 Raw Data and R scripts are available as supplements

616

617 **Author contributions**

618 Conceptualization: RS, PSK and RH; Investigation: PSK; Data interpretation and evaluation
619 PSK, MV, RH and RS; Writing: PSK and RS. All authors critically reviewed and approved the
620 manuscript.

621

622 **Conflicts of interests**

623 The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

624

625 **Acknowledgments**

626 We like to thank Regina Dittebrand (TUM, Chair of Phytopathology) for assistance in the set-up
627 of the detached leaf assays, Prof. Aurélien Tellier (TUM, Section of Population Genetics) for
628 sharing the *S. chilense* populations and Sabine Zuber, Bärbel Breulmann and Anneliese Keil for
629 maintaining them at the TUM's GHL plant technology center. We thank Prof. Vivianne
630 Vleeshouwers (Wageningen University and Research, Netherlands) and Dr. Bernard Caromel
631 (INRAE Avignon, France) for kindly providing the diverse *P. infestans* isolates. This work was
632 supported by a research grant to RS in frame of the collaborative research center SFB924
633 supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG).