

# NON-HOMOGENEOUS P-LAPLACIAN EQUATIONS ON THE SIERPINSKI GASKET

ABHILASH SAHU AND M. GURU PREM PRASAD

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the Sierpiński gasket in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathcal{S}_0$  denote the boundary of  $\mathcal{S}$ . In this paper, we study the following non-homogeneous  $p$ -Laplacian equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda|u|^{q-2}u + f \text{ in } \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0 \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{S}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p, q, \lambda$  are real numbers such that  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $1 < p < q$  and the function  $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is suitably chosen. The existence of at least two nontrivial weak solutions to the above non-homogeneous equation on the Sierpiński gasket will be established.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p, q$  and  $\lambda$  be real numbers such that  $1 < p < q$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the Sierpiński gasket in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathcal{S}_0$  denote the boundary of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Consider the following non-homogeneous  $p$ -Laplacian equation on the Sierpinski gasket.

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda|u|^{q-2}u + f \text{ in } \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0; \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{S}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_p$  denotes the  $p$ -Laplacian and  $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. Let us assume the following hypothesis.

(H1) If  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu = 1$  then

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu < K_{p,q} (\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}},$$

where

$$K_{p,q} = \frac{(q-p)(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}}{\lambda^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}} (q-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}.$$

If  $u \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$  and satisfies

$$\lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^{q-2} u v d\mu + \int_{\mathcal{S}} f v \in \mathcal{E}_p(u, v)$$

for all  $v \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ , then  $u$  is called a weak solution of (1.1).

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A80, 35J61.

*Key words and phrases.* Sierpiński gasket, weak  $p$ -Laplacian, weak solutions,  $p$ -energy, Euler functional, non-homogeneous equation.

The motivation to study non-homogeneous  $p$ -Laplacian equation on the Sierpinski gasket came from the study of these equations on regular domains and a brief details is presented below.

Tarentello [11] studied the following problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= |u|^{p-2}u + f \text{ on } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

and proved that if  $f$  satisfies some suitable conditions then (1.2) admits two distinct solutions. In 2007, Hirano studied the following problem

$$-\Delta u + u = |u|^{p-2}u + f$$

where  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $f \geq 0$  and  $f \not\equiv 0$ . With these conditions, he was able to show the existence of multiple solutions. Marcos do Ó et al. [6] studied the following quasi linear non-homogeneous elliptic equation.

$$-\Delta u + V(x)|u|^{N-2}u = f(x, u) + \epsilon h(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 2,$$

where  $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  behaves suitably. They used Ekeland variational principle and mountain pass theorem to show the existence of solutions. Hirano and Kim [4] studied the problem:

$$-\Delta u + \alpha u = |u|^{2^*-2}u + f \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 3,$$

where  $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $f \geq 0$ ,  $f \not\equiv 0$  and  $f \in L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . For  $3 \leq N \leq 5$  with some suitable conditions, they showed that above problem possesses at least three solutions. A vast literature is available for homogeneous Laplacian problem on the Sierpiński gasket, readers can see [2, 5, 9]. Also, there are some literature on homogeneous  $p$ -Laplacian equation on the Sierpiński gasket, readers are encouraged to see [7, 8, 10]. But in case of non-homogeneous problem on the Sierpiński gasket, to our knowledge there is no literature available. This motivated us to study the non-homogeneous problem on the Sierpiński gasket.

The outline of our paper is as follows. In Section 2, we discuss about the weak  $p$ -Laplacian on Sierpiński gasket and state the main theorem. In Section 3, we define the Euler functional ( $I$ ) associated to the problem (1.1). We define fibering map ( $\phi_u$ ) and do its analysis in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we give the detailed proof of main theorem stated in Section 2.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$  be three points on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  equidistant from each other. Let  $F_i(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - q_i) + q_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $F(A) = \bigcup_{i=1}^3 F_i(A)$ . It is well known that  $F$  has a unique fixed point  $\mathcal{S}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{S} = F(\mathcal{S})$  (see, for instance, [1, Theorem 9.1]), which is called the Sierpiński gasket. Another way to view this Sierpiński gasket is  $\mathcal{S} = \overline{\bigcup_{j \geq 0} F^j(\mathcal{S}_0)}$ , where  $F^j$  denotes  $F$  composed with itself  $j$  times. We know that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . It is well known that the Hausdorff dimension of  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\frac{\ln 3}{\ln 2}$  and the  $\frac{\ln 3}{\ln 2}$ -dimensional Hausdorff

measure is finite ( $0 < \mathcal{H}^{\frac{\ln 3}{\ln 2}}(\mathcal{S}) < \infty$ ) (see, [1, Theorem 9.3]). Throughout this paper, we will use this measure.

We define the  $p$ -energy with the help of a three variable real valued function  $A_p$  which is convex, homogeneous of degree  $p$ , invariant under addition of constant, permutation of indices and the markov property. The  $m^{\text{th}}$  level Sierpiński gasket is  $\mathcal{S}^{(m)} = \cup_{j=0}^m F^j(\mathcal{S}_0)$ . We construct the  $m^{\text{th}}$  level crude energy as

$$E_p^{(m)}(u) = \sum_{|\omega|=m} A_p(u(F_\omega q_1), u(F_\omega q_2), u(F_\omega q_3))$$

and  $m^{\text{th}}$  level renormalized  $p$ -energy is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_p^{(m)}(u) = (r_p)^{-m} E_p^{(m)}(u),$$

where  $r_p$  is the unique (with respect to  $p$ , independent of  $A_p$ ) renormalizing factor and  $0 < r_p < 1$ . Now we can observe that  $\mathcal{E}_p^{(m)}(u)$  is a monotonically increasing function of  $m$  because of renormalization. So we define the  $p$ -energy function as

$$\mathcal{E}_p(u) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_p^{(m)}(u)$$

which exists for all  $u$  as an extended real number. Now we define  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_p)$  as the space of continuous functions  $u$  satisfying  $\mathcal{E}_p(u) < \infty$ . The space  $\text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_p)$  modulo constant functions forms a Banach space endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}$  defined as

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p} = \mathcal{E}_p(u)^{1/p}.$$

Now we will proceed to define energy form from energy function as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{E}_p(u, v) := \frac{1}{p} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_p(u + tv) \right|_{t=0}.$$

Note that we do not know whether  $\mathcal{E}_p(u + tv)$  is differentiable or not but we know by the convexity of  $A_p$  that  $\mathcal{E}_p(u)$  is a convex function. So, we interpret the equation (2.1) as an interval valued equation. That is,

$$\mathcal{E}_p(u, v) = [\mathcal{E}_p^-(u, v), \mathcal{E}_p^+(u, v)]$$

is a nonempty compact interval and the end points are the one-sided derivatives. For more details, see [3].

We recall some results which will be required to prove our results.

**Lemma 2.1.** [10, Lemma 3.2] *There exists a constant  $K_p > 0$  such that for all  $u \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_p)$  we have*

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq K_p \mathcal{E}_p(u)^{1/p} (r_p^{1/p})^m$$

*whenever  $x$  and  $y$  belong to the same or adjacent cells of order  $m$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** [8, Lemma 2.2] *If  $u \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$  then there exists a real positive constant  $K$  such that  $\|u\|_\infty \leq K \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $\text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ . Then  $\{u_n\}$  is an equicontinuous family of functions. Moreover, it has a subsequence which converges to a continuous function  $u_0$ . Also,  $u_0 \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\{u_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists a constant  $K_p > 0$  such that for all  $u \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}_p)$  we have  $|u(x) - u(y)| \leq K_p(\mathcal{E}_p(u))^{1/p}(r^{1/p})^m$  whenever  $x$  and  $y$  belongs to the same cell or adjacent cells of order  $m$ . Let  $B = \sup\{\|u_n\|_{\mathcal{E}_p} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. As  $0 < r_p < 1$ , we can choose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K_p B (r_p^m)^{1/p} < \epsilon$  and choose  $\delta = 2^{-m}$ . Then  $\|x - y\|_\infty < \delta$  implies that  $|u_n(x) - u_n(y)| < \epsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\{u_n\}$  is an equicontinuous family of functions. As  $\{u_n\} \subset \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $\|u_n\|_\infty \leq K\|u_n\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and hence  $\|u_n\|_\infty \leq KB$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $\{u_n\}$  is a uniformly bounded family of functions. By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$ , call it  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  converging to a continuous function  $u_0$ , that is,  $\|u_{n_k} - u_0\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we claim that  $u_0 \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ . To see this, we consider

$$\mathcal{E}_p(u_0) = \sup_m \mathcal{E}_p^{(m)}(u_0) = \sup_m \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_p^{(m)}(u_n) \leq \sup_m \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_p(u_n) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_p(u_n).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following theorem is our main result.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f$  satisfies hypothesis (H1). Then Problem (1.1) has at least two nontrivial weak solutions.*

### 3. EULER FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

The Euler functional conjoin with the problem (1.1) is defined as

$$(3.1) \quad I(u) = \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu.$$

Now, we will define a subset of  $\text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$  in such a way that the Euler functional is bounded below over it. Consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}) &= \left\{ u \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p) \setminus \{0\} : \lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu + \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \in \mathcal{E}_p(u, u) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ u \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p) \setminus \{0\} : \lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu + \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu = \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that  $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$  if and only if

$$(3.2) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu = 0.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *The Euler functional  $I$  is coercive and bounded below on  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ .*

*Proof.* As  $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ , we have  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u = 0$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \\
&= \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \frac{1}{q} \left( \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u \right) - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \\
&= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p + \left( \frac{1}{q} - 1 \right) \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \\
&\geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p + \left( \frac{1}{q} - 1 \right) \int_{\mathcal{S}} |f| |u| d\mu \\
&\geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p + \left( \frac{1}{q} - 1 \right) \|u\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |f| d\mu \\
&\geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p + \left( \frac{1}{q} - 1 \right) K \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |f| d\mu
\end{aligned}$$

This implies  $I(u) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p} \rightarrow +\infty$  since,  $p > 1$ . Hence, the functional  $I$  is coercive and bounded below.  $\square$

#### 4. FIBERING MAP ANALYSIS

We will define fibering maps for each  $u \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$  as follows:  $\phi_u : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as  $\phi_u(t) = I(tu)$ , that is,

$$(4.1) \quad \phi_u(t) = \frac{t^p}{p} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \frac{\lambda t^q}{q} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu - t \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu.$$

As  $\phi_u$  is a smooth functions we can find its derivatives as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad \phi'_u(t) = t^{p-1} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \lambda t^{q-1} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu.$$

$$(4.3) \quad \phi''_u(t) = (p-1)t^{p-2} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - (q-1)\lambda t^{q-2} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu.$$

Observe that  $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$  if and only if  $\phi'_u(1) = 0$  and more generally,  $tu \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$  if and only if  $\phi'_{tu}(1) = 0$ , equivalently,  $\phi'_u(t) = 0$ . For further study, we will subdivide  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$  into sets corresponding to local minima, local maxima and point of inflection at 1. Define the sets as follows :

$$\mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S}) = \{u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}) : \phi''_u(1) > 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}^0(\mathcal{S}) = \{u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}) : \phi''_u(1) = 0\}$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S}) = \{u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}) : \phi''_u(1) < 0\}$$

Now define the map

$$M_u(t) := t^{p-1} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \lambda t^{q-1} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu$$

and observe that  $\phi'_u(t) = 0$  if and only if  $M_u(t) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu$ .

Our aim is to study the nature of graph of  $M_u$  for which we compute

$$M'_u(t) = (p-1)t^{p-2}\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \lambda(q-1)t^{q-2} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu$$

Then  $M'_u(t) = 0$ . This implies,

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{p-2} \left( (p-1)\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \lambda(q-1)t^{q-p} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu \right) = 0 \\ \implies & \lambda(q-1)t^{q-p} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu = (p-1)\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p \\ \implies & t = \left( \frac{(p-1)\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p}{\lambda(q-1) \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote

$$(4.4) \quad t_0 = \left( \frac{(p-1)\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p}{\lambda(q-1) \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-p}}.$$

It can be observed that  $M_u(t) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $M_u(t)$  is increasing on  $(0, t_0)$  and decreasing on  $(t_0, +\infty)$ .

If  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu > 0$  and sufficiently small (i.e.  $0 < \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu < M_u(t_0)$ ) then  $M_u(t) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu$  has two solutions. Hence,  $\phi'_u(t) = 0$  has two solutions, let us say  $0 < t_1 < t_0 < t_2$ . Also, we can compute to see that  $\phi''_u(t_1) > 0$  and  $\phi''_u(t_2) < 0$ . Therefore,  $t_1 u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$  and  $t_2 u \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$ . If  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \leq 0$  then  $M_u(t) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu$  has only one solution. This implies,  $\phi'_u(t) = 0$  has only one solution, let us say  $t_3 > t_0$ . Again we can compute to see that  $\phi''_u(t_3) < 0$  implying that  $t_3 u \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{u \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p) : \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q = 1\}$ . Let  $f$  satisfies hypothesis (H1). Then  $\inf\{K_{p,q}\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu : u \in \mathcal{B}\} = \delta > 0$  is achieved on  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us define a map  $P : \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$P(u) = K_{p,q}\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu.$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} P(u) &= K_{p,q}\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \\ &\geq K_{p,q}\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - \|u\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |f| d\mu \\ &\geq K_{p,q}\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - K\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |f| d\mu \end{aligned}$$

As  $\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p} > 1$ , we get  $P$  is coercive and bounded below. Hence,  $\delta$  is a finite quantity. Let  $\{u_m\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be a sequence such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( K_{p,q}\|u_m\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_m \right) = \delta$ . If  $\|u_m\|_{\mathcal{E}_p} \rightarrow \infty$  then  $P(u_m) \rightarrow \infty$  as

$P$  is coercive. This implies  $\delta = \infty$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\{u_m\}$  is bounded in  $\text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ . Using Lemma 2.3, we can obtain a function  $u_0 \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$  such that up to a subsequence  $u_m \rightarrow u_0$  uniformly. By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we obtain  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_m|^q d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^q d\mu = 1$  which gives  $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ . We know  $\mathcal{E}_p(u_0) \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_p(u_k)$  then  $K_{p,q} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} K_{p,q} \|u_m\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}}$  and  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_m d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu$ . This implies,

$$K_{p,q} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( K_{p,q} \|u_m\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_m d\mu \right) = \delta \leq K_{p,q} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu.$$

So,  $\delta = K_{p,q} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu$  which proves that,  $\delta$  is achieved.  $\delta > 0$  holds true by hypothesis (H1).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *For any  $\rho > 0$ , we have*

$$\inf_{\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q = \rho} \frac{K_{p,q} (\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{\left( \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \geq \delta \rho^{1/q}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu = \rho$  then  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} \left| \frac{u}{\rho^{1/q}} \right|^q = 1$ . From previous lemma we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{p,q} \left\| \frac{u}{\rho^{1/q}} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f \left( \frac{u}{\rho^{1/q}} \right) d\mu \geq \delta \\ \text{i.e. } & \frac{K_{p,q} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}}}{\rho^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}}} - \frac{1}{\rho^{1/q}} \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \geq \delta \\ \text{i.e. } & \frac{K_{p,q} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}}}{\rho^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \geq \delta \rho^{1/q} \\ \text{i.e. } & \frac{K_{p,q} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}}}{\left( \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q-p}}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \geq \delta \rho^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

This holds true for all  $u \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$  such that  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q = \rho$ . Hence,

$$\inf_{\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q = \rho} \frac{K_{p,q} (\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{\left( \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu \geq \delta \rho^{1/q}.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $f$  is nonzero and satisfies hypothesis (H1) then  $\mathcal{N}^0(\mathcal{S})$  is an empty set.*

*Proof.* To prove  $\mathcal{N}^0(\mathcal{S})$  is empty, we need to show that for any  $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ ,  $\phi_u(t)$  has no critical point which is a saddle point. From above,  $M_u(t)$  has a unique global maximum of at  $t_0$ .

Now, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
M_u(t_0) &= t_0^{p-1} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \lambda t_0^{q-1} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu \\
&= \left( \frac{(p-1) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p}{\lambda(q-1) \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \lambda \left( \frac{(p-1) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p}{\lambda(q-1) \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu \\
&= \frac{(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}} (\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{(\lambda(q-1) \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}} - \frac{\lambda(p-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}} (\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{(\lambda(q-1) \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}} \\
&= \frac{(\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{(\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}} \left( \frac{(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}}{(\lambda(q-1))^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}} - \frac{\lambda(p-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{(\lambda(q-1))^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}} \right) \\
&= \frac{(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}}{(\lambda(q-1))^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}} \frac{(\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{(\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}} \left( 1 - \frac{p-1}{q-1} \right) \\
&= \left( \frac{q-p}{q-1} \right) \left( \frac{p-1}{\lambda(q-1)} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}} \frac{(\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{(\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}} \\
&= \frac{(q-p)(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}}{\lambda^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}} (q-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}} \frac{(\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{(\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u|^q d\mu)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Hypothesis (H1) we have  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} f u d\mu < \frac{(q-p)(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}}{\lambda^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}} (q-1)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}} (\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}} = M_u(t_0)$ , so it must have critical points which is either a local minima or local maxima but not a saddle point. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. MAIN RESULTS

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\gamma^+ = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})} I(u)$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that  $\gamma^+ \leq -\frac{(p-1)(q-p)}{pq} C_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{u} \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$  be a solution of the problem

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = f \text{ in } \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_0 \\ u = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}_0 \end{cases}$$

Strichartz and Wong [10] proved existence of a solution  $\hat{u}$  to the above problem. So,

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} f \hat{u} d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{S}} -\Delta_p(\hat{u}) \hat{u} = \|\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p > 0.$$

Since hypothesis (H1) holds, we know that there exists  $t_1 > 0$  such that  $t_1 \hat{u} \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$ . We have,

$$I(t_1 \hat{u}) = \frac{1}{p} \|t_1 \hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |t_1 \hat{u}|^q d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{S}} t_1 f \hat{u} d\mu.$$

As  $t_1\hat{u} \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$ , it implies that  $t_1\hat{u} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ . Hence,  $t_1^{p-1}\|\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \lambda t_1^{q-1} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |\hat{u}|^q d\mu - t_1 \int_{\mathcal{S}} f \hat{u} d\mu = 0$ . Since  $\phi''_{t_1\hat{u}}(1) > 0$ , we get

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & (p-1)\|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - (q-1)\lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |t_1\hat{u}|^q d\mu > 0 \\ \text{i.e. } & (q-1)\lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |t_1\hat{u}|^q d\mu < (p-1)\|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p \\ \text{i.e. } & \lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |t_1\hat{u}|^q d\mu < \left(\frac{p-1}{q-1}\right) \|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} I(t_1\hat{u}) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) \|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p + \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |t_1\hat{u}|^q d\mu \\ &= -\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) \|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p + \left(\frac{q-1}{q}\right) \lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |t_1\hat{u}|^q d\mu \\ &< -\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) \|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p + \left(\frac{q-1}{q}\right) \left(\frac{p-1}{q-1}\right) \|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p \\ &< -\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) \|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p + \left(\frac{p-1}{q}\right) \|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p \\ &= (p-1) \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

It gives that  $\gamma^+ = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})} I(u) \leq I(t_1\hat{u}) < \frac{(p-1)(p-q)}{pq} C_1$  where  $C_1 = \|t_1\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p > 0$ . Hence proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $f$  satisfies Hypothesis (H1). Then  $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})} I(u)$  is achieved.*

*Proof.* Since,  $I$  is bounded below  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ , it is also bounded below on  $\mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$ . Hence, there exist a sequence  $\{u_n\} \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})} I(u).$$

Claim(1) :  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded on  $\text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ .

If it is unbounded on  $\text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$  then there exist a sub sequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  such that  $\|u_{n_k}\|_{\mathcal{E}_p} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . So,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(u_{n_k}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n) = +\infty = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})} I(u)$ . This implies,  $\mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$  must be an empty set, which is a contradiction. Hence  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ . Using Lemma 2.3, we get a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$ , still call it  $\{u_n\}$ , converging uniformly to  $u_0$  and  $u_0 \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ .

Claim(2) :  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu > 0$ .

We consider

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u_n) &= \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_n|^q d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_n d\mu. \\
&= \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \frac{1}{q} \left( \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_n d\mu \right) - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_n d\mu. \\
&= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_n d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies  $\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_n d\mu = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - I(u_n) \geq -I(u_n)$ . Taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu \geq -\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right) \gamma^+ > 0$  as we know that  $\gamma^+ < 0$  from Lemma 5.1.

$$\underline{\text{Claim(3)}} : \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu < K_{p,q} \frac{(\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{\left(\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^q d\mu\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}}.$$

Since,  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu > 0$ , we get  $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ . So,  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^q d\mu = a > 0$ . From Corollary 4.2 we infer that  $\frac{K_{p,q} (\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{\left(\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^q d\mu\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}} -$

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu \geq \delta a^{1/q} > 0. \text{ This implies } 0 < \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu < \frac{K_{p,q} (\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{\left(\int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^q d\mu\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}}.$$

Hence, there exists  $t_{u_0} > 0$  such that  $\phi'_{u_0}(t_{u_0}) = 0$  and  $t_{u_0} u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$  from Lemma 4.3. By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_n|^q = \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^q$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_n d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu$ . If  $\mathcal{E}_p(u_0) < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_p(u_n)$  then  $\phi'_{u_0}(t) < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi'_{u_n}(t)$ . Since  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$ ,  $\phi'_{u_n}(1) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also,  $0 = \phi'_{u_0}(t_{u_0}) < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi'_{u_n}(t_{u_0})$ . This implies  $\phi'_{u_n}(t_{u_0}) > 0$  for some large  $n$ . Hence,  $t_{u_0} \geq 1$ . Because of  $t_{u_0} u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$ , if  $t_{u_0} > 1$ , then

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})} I(u) \leq I(t_{u_0} u_0) = \phi_{u_0}(t_{u_0}) < \phi_{u_0}(1) < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{u_n}(1) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})} I(u)$$

which is a contradiction. Thus,  $t_{u_0} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_p(u_0) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_p(u_n)$  Hence,

$$I(u_0) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})} I(u)$$

This proves  $u_0$  is a minimizer of  $I$  on  $\mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$ . □

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\gamma^- = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})} I(v)$ . Then  $\gamma^-$  is achieved.*

*Proof.* Since  $I$  is bounded below on  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})$ , there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(v_n) = \gamma^-$ . As  $I$  is coercive and bounded below, it can be inferred that  $v_n$  is bounded in  $\text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ . Applying Lemma 2.3, obtain a subsequence of  $\{v_n\}$ , still call it  $\{v_n\}$  such that  $v_n$  converges to a function  $v_0$  uniformly and  $v_0 \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ . By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{S}} |v_n|^q d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{S}} |v_0|^q d\mu \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{S}} f v_n d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{S}} f v_0 d\mu.$$

Using Corollary 4.2, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} f v_0 d\mu < \frac{K_{p,q} (\|v_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p)^{\frac{q-1}{q-p}}}{\left(\int_{\mathcal{S}} |v_0|^q d\mu\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}}}.$$

Hence, there exists  $t_{v_0}$  such that  $t_{v_0}v_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$ . If we presume  $\mathcal{E}_p(v_0) < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_p(v_n)$  then we get  $\phi'_{v_0}(t) < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi'_{v_n}(t)$ . Since  $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$ ,  $\phi'_{v_n}(1) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also,  $0 = \phi'_{v_0}(t_{v_0}) < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi'_{v_n}(t_{v_0})$  which implies  $\phi'_{v_n}(t_{v_0}) > 0$  for some large  $n$ . Hence,  $t_{v_0} \leq 1$ . As  $t_{v_0}v_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$ , we get

$$I(t_{v_0}v_0) = \phi_{v_0}(t_{v_0}) < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{v_n}(t_{v_0}) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{v_n}(1) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(v_n) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})} I(v)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\mathcal{E}_p(v_0) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_p(v_n)$ . This implies  $\phi'_{v_0}(1) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi'_{v_n}(1) = 0$  and  $\phi''_{v_0}(1) \leq 0$ . From Lemma 4.3,  $\mathcal{N}^0(\mathcal{S})$  is an empty set. Hence  $\phi''_{v_0}(1) < 0$  and  $v_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$ . So,

$$I(v_0) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(v_n) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})} I(v)$$

Therefore  $v_0$  is a minimizer of  $I$  on  $\mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$ . □

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$  be such that  $I(u_0) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})} I_\lambda(u)$  and  $v_0 \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$  be such that  $I_\lambda(v_0) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})} I(v)$ . Then for each  $w \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ , the following hold true :*

- (i) *there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$  there exists a unique  $t_\epsilon > 0$  such that  $t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon w) \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$ . Also,  $t_\epsilon \rightarrow 1$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*
- (ii) *there exists  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  such that for each  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1)$  there exists a unique  $\tilde{t}_\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\tilde{t}_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon w) \in \mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$ . Also,  $\tilde{t}_\epsilon \rightarrow 1$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let us define a function  $\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\mathbb{F}(x, y, z, t) = xt^{p-1} - \lambda yt^{q-1} - z.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial \mathbb{F}}{\partial t}(x, y, z, t) = (p-1)xt^{p-2} - (q-1)\lambda yt^{q-2}.$$

Since  $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+(\mathcal{S})$ ,  $\phi'_{u_0}(1) = 0$  and  $\phi''_{u_0}(1) > 0$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{F}\left(\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p, \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^q d\mu, \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu, 1\right) = \phi'_{u_0}(1) = 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \mathbb{F}}{\partial t}\left(\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p, \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^q d\mu, \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu, 1\right) = \phi''_{u_0}(1) > 0.$$

The function  $\mathbf{f}_1(\epsilon) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} f(u_0 + \epsilon w) d\mu$  is a continuous function and  $\mathbf{f}_1(0) > 0$  by Theorem 5.2. By the continuity of  $\mathbf{f}_1$ , there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{f}_1(\epsilon) > 0$  for all  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ . So, for each  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$  there exists  $t_\epsilon$  such that  $t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon w) \in \mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$ . This implies that

$$\mathbb{F}\left(\|u_0 + \epsilon w\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p, \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0 + \epsilon w|^q d\mu, \int_{\mathcal{S}} f(u_0 + \epsilon w) d\mu, t_\epsilon\right) = \phi'_{u_0 + \epsilon w}(t_\epsilon) = 0.$$

By the implicit function theorem, there exists an open set  $X \subset (0, \infty)$  containing 1, an open set  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  containing  $(\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p, \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^q d\mu, \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu)$  and a continuous function  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  such that for all  $\mathfrak{h} \in Y$ ,  $\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{h}, g(\mathfrak{h})) = 0$ . So there exists a unique solution to the equation  $t = g(\mathfrak{h}) \in X$ . Hence,

$$t_\epsilon = g \left( \|u_0 + \epsilon w\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p, \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0 + \epsilon w|^q d\mu, \int_{\mathcal{S}} f(u_0 + \epsilon w) d\mu \right)$$

Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and using the continuity of  $g$ , we get

$$1 = g \left( \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p, \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^q d\mu, \int_{\mathcal{S}} f u_0 d\mu \right)$$

Therefore,  $t_\epsilon \rightarrow 1$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

(ii) This can be proved by taking the function

$$\mathfrak{f}_2(\epsilon) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} f(v_0 + \epsilon w) d\mu$$

in place of  $\mathfrak{f}_1(\epsilon)$  and proceeding in a similar fashion as in the proof of (i).  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** *If  $u_0$  is a minimizer of  $I$  on  $\mathcal{N}^+(\mathcal{S})$  and  $v_0$  is a minimizer of  $I_\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{N}^-(\mathcal{S})$ , then  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  are weak solutions to the problem (1.1).*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ . Using Lemma 5.4(i), there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$  there exists  $\bar{t}_\epsilon$  such that  $I_\lambda(\bar{t}_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon\psi)) \geq I_\lambda(u_0)$  and  $t_\epsilon \rightarrow 1$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(u_0)) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(t_\epsilon u_0) + I_\lambda(t_\epsilon u_0) - I_\lambda(u_0)) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(t_\epsilon u_0)) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\|t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon\psi)\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \|t_\epsilon u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p) - \frac{\lambda}{q} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \int_{\mathcal{S}} |t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon\psi)|^q d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{S}} |t_\epsilon u_0|^q d\mu \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \int_{\mathcal{S}} f(t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon\psi)) d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f t_\epsilon u_0 d\mu \right) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_p^+(u_0, \psi) - \lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^{q-2} u_0 \psi d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{S}} f \psi d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second equality follows by using  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(\bar{t}_\epsilon u_0) - I_\lambda(u_0)) = 0$  because the limit is the same as  $\phi'_{u_0}(1)$ , which is zero. This implies that

$$\lambda \int_{\mathcal{S}} |u_0|^{q-2} u_0 \psi d\mu + \int_{\mathcal{S}} f \psi d\mu \leq \mathcal{E}_p^+(u_0, \psi).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(u_0)) \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(t_\epsilon u_0) + I_\lambda(t_\epsilon u_0) - I_\lambda(u_0)) \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(u_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(t_\epsilon u_0)) \\
&= \mathcal{E}_p^-(u_0, \psi) - \lambda \int_S |u_0|^{q-2} u_0 \psi d\mu - \int_S f \psi d\mu
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lambda \int_S |u_0|^{q-2} u_0 \psi d\mu + \int_S f \psi d\mu \geq \mathcal{E}_p^-(u_0, \psi).$$

So,

$$\mathcal{E}_p^-(u_0, \psi) \leq \lambda \int_S |u_0|^{q-2} u_0 \psi d\mu + \int_S f \psi d\mu \leq \mathcal{E}_p^+(u_0, \psi).$$

Hence

$$\lambda \int_S |u_0|^{q-2} u_0 \psi d\mu + \int_S f \psi d\mu \in \mathcal{E}_p(u_0, \psi)$$

for all  $\psi \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ . Therefore,  $u_0$  is a weak solution to the problem (1.1).

Using similar arguments as in Lemma 5.4(ii), there exists  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  such that for each  $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1)$  there exists  $t_\epsilon$  such that  $I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon\psi)) \geq I_\lambda(v_0)$  and  $t_\epsilon \rightarrow 1$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(v_0)) \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(t_\epsilon v_0) + I_\lambda(t_\epsilon v_0) - I_\lambda(v_0)) \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(t_\epsilon v_0)) \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \|t_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon\psi)\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p - \|t_\epsilon v_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_p}^p \right) - \frac{\lambda}{q} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \int_S |t_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon\psi)|^q d\mu - \int_S |t_\epsilon v_0|^q d\mu \right) \right) \\
&\quad - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \int_S f(t_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon\psi)) d\mu - \int_S f t_\epsilon v_0 d\mu \right) \\
&= \mathcal{E}_p^+(v_0, \psi) - \lambda \int_S |v_0|^{q-2} v_0 \psi d\mu - \int_S f \psi d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$\lambda \int_S |v_0|^{q-2} v_0 \psi d\mu + \int_S f \psi d\mu \leq \mathcal{E}_p^+(v_0, \psi).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(v_0)) \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(t_\epsilon v_0) + I_\lambda(t_\epsilon v_0) - I_\lambda(v_0)) \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I_\lambda(t_\epsilon(v_0 + \epsilon\psi)) - I_\lambda(t_\epsilon v_0)) \\
&= \mathcal{E}_p^-(v_0, \psi) - \lambda \int_S |v_0|^{q-2} v_0 \psi d\mu - \int_S f \psi d\mu
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lambda \int_S |v_0|^{q-2} v_0 \psi d\mu + \int_S f \psi d\mu \geq \mathcal{E}_p^-(v_0, \psi).$$

So,

$$\mathcal{E}_p^-(v_0, \psi) \leq \lambda \int_S |v_0|^{q-2} v_0 \psi d\mu + \int_S f \psi d\mu \leq \mathcal{E}_p^+(v_0, \psi)$$

Hence

$$\lambda \int_S |v_0|^{q-2} v_0 \psi d\mu + \int_S f \psi d\mu \in \mathcal{E}_p(v_0, \psi)$$

for all  $\psi \in \text{dom}_0(\mathcal{E}_p)$ . Therefore,  $v_0$  is a weak solution of the problem (1.1).  $\square$

Now we give the proof of Theorem 2.4 below.

*Proof.* (proof of Theorem 2.4) Combining all the above results, we get two distinct non-trivial solutions of the problem (1.1).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kenneth Falconer. *Fractal geometry: Mathematical foundations and applications*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, West Sussex, third edition, 2014.
- [2] Kenneth J. Falconer and Jiaxin Hu. Non-linear elliptical equations on the Sierpiński gasket. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 240(2):552–573, 1999.
- [3] P. Edward Herman, Roberto Peirone, and Robert S. Strichartz.  $p$ -energy and  $p$ -harmonic functions on Sierpinski gasket type fractals. *Potential Anal.*, 20(2):125–148, 2004.
- [4] N. Hirano and Wan Se Kim. Multiple existence of solutions for a nonhomogeneous elliptic problem with critical exponent on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . *J. Differential Equations*, 249(8):1799–1816, 2010.
- [5] Jun Kigami. Harmonic calculus on p.c.f. self-similar sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 335(2):721–755, 1993.
- [6] João Marcos do Ó, Everaldo Medeiros, and Uberlandio Severo. On a quasilinear nonhomogeneous elliptic equation with critical growth in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . *J. Differential Equations*, 246(4):1363–1386, 2009.
- [7] Amit Priyadarshi and Abhilash Sahu. Boundary value problem involving the  $p$ -laplacian on the sierpiński gasket. *Fractals*, 26(1):1850007–1–1850007–13, 2018.
- [8] Abhilash Sahu and Amit Priyadarshi. Semilinear elliptic equation involving the  $p$ -laplacian on the sierpiński gasket. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, pages 1–14, 2018.
- [9] Robert S. Strichartz. The Laplacian on the Sierpinski gasket via the method of averages. *Pacific J. Math.*, 201(1):241–256, 2001.

- [10] Robert S. Strichartz and Carto Wong. The  $p$ -Laplacian on the Sierpiński gasket. *Nonlinearity*, 17(2):595–616, 2004.
- [11] G. Tarantello. On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 9(3):281–304, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUWAHATI, GUWAHATI-781039, ASSAM, INDIA  
*Email address:* `sahu.abhilash16@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUWAHATI, GUWAHATI-781039, ASSAM, INDIA  
*Email address:* `mgpp@iitg.ac.in`